

CAUCHY'S THEOREM FOR B-ALGEBRAS

JOEMAR C. ENDAM¹ AND JENETTE S. BANTUG²

Received September 5, 2017 ; revised December 3, 2017

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish the Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras. We also present some implications of Lagrange's Theorem and Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras. In particular, the concept of B_p -algebras is introduced.

1 Introduction In [9], the notion of B-algebras was introduced by J. Neggers and H.S. Kim. A *B-algebra* is an algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ (that is, a nonempty set X with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0) satisfying the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$: (I) $x*x = 0$, (II) $x*0 = x$, (III) $(x*y)*z = x*(z*(0*y))$. A B-algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is *commutative* [9] if $x*(0*y) = y*(0*x)$ for all $x, y \in X$. In [10], J. Neggers and H.S. Kim introduced the notions of a subalgebra and normality of B-algebras and some of their properties are established. A nonempty subset N of X is called a *subalgebra* of X if $x*y \in N$ for any $x, y \in N$. It is called *normal* in X if for any $x*y, a*b \in N$ implies $(x*a)*(y*b) \in N$. A normal subset of X is a subalgebra of X . There are several properties of B-algebras as established by some authors [1–12]. The following properties are used in this paper, for any $x, y, z \in X$, we have (P1) $0*(0*x) = x$ [9], (P2) $x*y = 0*(y*x)$ [11], (P3) $x*(y*z) = (x*(0*z))*y$ [9], (P4) $x*y = x*z$ implies $y = z$ [3], (P5) $(0*x)*(y*x) = 0*y$ [9]. In [2], J.S. Bantug and J.C. Endam established the Lagrange's Theorem for B-algebras. In this paper, we provide some partial results on the converse of this theorem. In particular, we establish the Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras. As a consequence, we also introduce the concept of B_p -algebras. Throughout this paper, X means a B-algebra $(X; *, 0)$.

2 Preliminaries This section presents some concepts and results needed in this paper. We start with some examples of B-algebras.

Example 2.1. [9] Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following table of operation:

$*$	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

Example 2.2. [9] Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ be a set with the following table of operation:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	2	1	3	4	5
1	1	0	2	4	5	3
2	2	1	0	5	3	4
3	3	4	5	0	2	1
4	4	5	3	1	0	2
5	5	3	4	2	1	0

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 08A05, 06F35.

Key words and phrases. B-algebras, Lagrange's Theorem, Cauchy's Theorem, B_p -algebras.

In [7], if S is a subset of X , then $\langle S \rangle_B$ is the intersection of all subalgebra H of X such that $S \subseteq H$, and the subalgebra $\langle S \rangle_B$ of X is called the *subalgebra generated by S* . If $X = \langle S \rangle_B$, then S is called a *set of generators* for X . Moreover, $\langle S \rangle_B$ is the smallest subalgebra of X containing S . If either $S = \emptyset$ or $S = \{0\}$, then $\langle S \rangle_B = \{0\}$. If S is a subalgebra of X , then $\langle S \rangle_B = S$. In particular, $\langle X \rangle_B = X$.

Let $x \in X$. In [9], J. Neggers and H.S. Kim defined $x^n = x^{n-1} * (0 * x)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $x^0 = 0$. Then $x^m * x^n = x^{m-n}$ if $m \geq n$ and $x^m * x^n = 0 * x^{n-m}$ otherwise. In [7], for each $x \in X$, N.C. Gonzaga and J.P. Vilela defined $-x = 0 * x$ and $x^{-n} = (-x)^n$ for each $n \geq 1$. In [5], J.C. Endam and R.C. Teves defined $x^m = 0 * x^{-m}$ for $m \leq -1$. If $m \geq 1$, then $x^m = 0 * (0 * x^m) = 0 * x^{-m}$. In effect, $x^m = 0 * x^{-m}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Furthermore, in [7], we have $x^m * x^n = x^{m-n}$, $(x^m)^n = x^{mn}$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\langle x \rangle_B = \{x^n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n = 0$, then the smallest such positive integer is denoted by $|x|_B$. If no such positive integer n exists, then we say that $|x|_B$ is infinite. If $A \subseteq X$, then we denote $|A|_B$ as the cardinality of A .

Let H and K be subalgebras of X . In [4], we define the subset HK of X to be the set $HK = \{x \in X : x = h * (0 * k) \text{ for some } h \in H, k \in K\}$. Clearly, we have $H \subseteq HK$, $H \subseteq KH$, $K \subseteq HK$, and $K \subseteq KH$. Moreover, if $H \subseteq K$, then $HK = KH = K$. Also, HK is a subalgebra of X if and only if $HK = KH$ if and only if $HK = \langle H \cup K \rangle_B$. A B-algebra X is called a *cyclic B-algebra* [7] if there exists $x \in X$ such that $X = \langle x \rangle_B$. Every cyclic B-algebra is commutative, but the converse need not be true. In [5], if $X = \langle x \rangle_B$ is a cyclic B-algebra with $|X|_B = m > 1$ and if H is a nontrivial subalgebra of X , then $H = \langle x^k \rangle_B$ for some integer $k > 1$ such that k divides m and $|H|_B$ divides m . Furthermore, for every positive divisor d of m , there exists a unique subalgebra H of X with $|H|_B = d$.

Let H be a subalgebra of X and $x \in X$. Let $xH = \{x * (0 * h) : h \in H\}$ and $Hx = \{h * (0 * x) : h \in H\}$, called the *left* and *right B-cosets* of H in X , respectively. If X is commutative, then $xH = Hx$ for all $x \in X$. Observe that $0H = H = H0$ and $x = x * (0 * 0) \in xH$ and $x = 0 * (0 * x) \in Hx$. It is easy to see that $xH = H$ if and only if $x \in H$.

Theorem 2.3. [2] *Let H be a subalgebra of X and $a, b \in X$. Then*

- i. $aH = bH$ if and only if $(0 * b) * (0 * a) \in H$*
- ii. $Ha = Hb$ if and only if $a * b \in H$.*

In [2], if H is a subalgebra of X , then $\{xH : x \in X\}$ forms a partition of X and there is a one-one correspondence of the set of all left B-cosets of H in X onto the set of all right B-cosets of H in X . Thus, we define the number of distinct left (or right) B-cosets, written $[X : H]_B$, of H in X as the *index* of H in X . If X is finite, then clearly $[X : H]_B$ is finite.

Theorem 2.4. [2] (*Lagrange's Theorem for B-algebras*) *Let H be a subalgebra of a finite B-algebra X . Then $|X|_B = [X : H]_B |H|_B$.*

Corollary 2.5. [2] *Let $|X|_B = p$, where p is prime. Then X is cyclic.*

Theorem 2.6. [2] *If H, K are finite subalgebras of X , then $|HK|_B = \frac{|H|_B |K|_B}{|H \cap K|_B}$.*

3 Some Implications of Lagrange's Theorem for B-algebras We now prove some results where Lagrange's Theorem plays a role.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a noncyclic B-algebra with $|X|_B = p^2$, where p is prime. Then $|x|_B = p$ for every nonzero $x \in X$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $x \neq 0$. By Lagrange's Theorem, $|x|_B$ divides $|X|_B = p^2$. Hence, $|x|_B$ is equal to 1, p , or p^2 . If $|x|_B = p^2$, then $\langle x \rangle_B = X$ and so X is cyclic, a contradiction. Since $x \neq 0$, $|x|_B \neq 1$. Thus, $|x|_B = p$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *If X is a B-algebra with prime order, then X has only the trivial subalgebras.*

Proof. Suppose that $|X|_B = p$, where p is prime. Let H be a subalgebra of X . By Lagrange's Theorem, $|H|_B$ is 1 or p . Thus, $H = \{0\}$ or $H = X$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let $|X|_B = p^n$, where p is prime and $n \geq 1$. Then X contains an element of order p .*

Proof. Let $x \in X$ and $x \neq 0$. Then $H = \langle x \rangle_B$ is a cyclic subalgebra of X . By Lagrange's Theorem, $|H|_B$ divides $|X|_B = p^n$. Hence, $|H|_B = p^m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $0 < m \leq n$. It follows that for every divisor d of p^m , there exists a subalgebra of order d . In particular, for p , there exists a subalgebra K of H such that $|K|_B = p$. By Corollary 2.5, K is cyclic and so there exists $y \in K$ such that $K = \langle y \rangle_B$ and y is of order p . Hence, X contains an element of order p . \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a finite commutative B-algebra such that X contains two distinct elements of order 2. Then $|X|_B$ is a multiple of 4.*

Proof. Let x and y be two distinct elements of order 2. Let $H = \{0, x\}$ and $K = \{0, y\}$. Now, H and K are subalgebras of X . Since X is commutative, $HK = \{0, x, y, x * (0 * y)\}$ is a subalgebra of X of order 4. By Lagrange's Theorem, $|HK|_B = 4$ divides $|X|_B$. Thus, $|X|_B$ is a multiple of 4. \square

The above result need not be true if X is not commutative. For instance, consider the B-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in Example 2.2. Note that X is not commutative. Now, 3 and 4 are elements of X with $|3|_B = 2$ and $|4|_B = 2$. However, 4 does not divide $|X|_B = 6$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a B-algebra with $|X|_B = pq$, where p and q are prime numbers. Then every proper subalgebra of X is cyclic.*

Proof. Let H be a proper subalgebra of X . By Lagrange's Theorem, $|H|_B$ is 1, p , q , or pq . Since H is proper, $|H|_B$ is p or q . By Corollary 2.5, H is cyclic. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let H and K be subalgebras of a finite B-algebra X such that $|H|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$ and $|K|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$. Then $|H \cap K|_B > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that H and K are subalgebras of a finite B-algebra X such that $|H|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$ and $|K|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$. By Theorem 2.6, $|H \cap K|_B = \frac{|H|_B |K|_B}{|HK|_B}$. Since $|H|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$ and $|K|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$, it follows that $|H|_B |K|_B > |X|_B$. Since $|HK|_B \leq |X|_B$, it follows that $\frac{|X|_B}{|HK|_B} \geq 1$. Therefore, $|H \cap K|_B = \frac{|H|_B |K|_B}{|HK|_B} > \frac{|X|_B}{|HK|_B} \geq 1$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let $|X|_B = pq$, where p and q are distinct primes with $p > q$. Then X has at most one subalgebra of order p .*

Proof. Suppose that H and K are subalgebras with $|H|_B = p = |K|_B$. Then $|H|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$ and $|K|_B > \sqrt{|X|_B}$. By Proposition 3.6, $|H \cap K|_B > 1$. Thus, $|H \cap K|_B = p$ and so $H = K$. \square

4 Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras This section establishes the Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras and it also provides some implications of this theorem. We start with a simple observation given in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \in X$. Then $a \in Z(X)$ if and only if $[X : C(a)]_B = 1$ if and only if $C(a) = X$.*

Let $a \in X$. An element $b \in X$ is said to be a *conjugate* of a in X if there exists $c \in X$ such that $b = c * (c * a)$. Let $R = \{(a, b) \in X \times X : b \text{ is a conjugate of } a\}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $a \in X$. Then the relation R on X is an equivalence relation.*

Proof. Since $a = 0 * (0 * a)$, a is conjugate to a . Thus, R is reflexive. Let $(a, b) \in R$. Then there exists $c \in X$ such that $b = c * (c * a)$. Multiplying both sides by $0 * c$ twice, we have $(0 * c) * ((0 * c) * b) = (0 * c) * [(0 * c) * (c * (c * a))]$. By (P2), (P3), (I), and (P1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (0 * c) * ((0 * c) * b) &= (0 * c) * [(0 * c) * (c * (c * a))] \\ &= (0 * c) * [((0 * c) * (0 * (c * a))) * c] \\ &= (0 * c) * [(0 * c) * (a * c)] * c \\ &= (0 * c) * [(0 * a) * c] \\ &= ((0 * c) * (0 * c)) * (0 * a) \\ &= 0 * (0 * a) \\ &= a. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, a is conjugate to b . Thus, R is symmetric. Let $(a, b), (b, c) \in R$. Then there exist $u, v \in X$ such that $b = u * (u * a)$ and $c = v * (v * b)$. Now, by (P2) and (P3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c &= v * (v * b) \\ &= v * [v * (u * (u * a))] \\ &= v * [(v * (0 * (u * a))) * u] \\ &= v * [(v * (a * u)) * u] \\ &= (v * (0 * u)) * (v * (a * u)) \\ &= (v * (0 * u)) * [(v * (0 * u)) * a] \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(a, c) \in R$ and so R is transitive. Therefore, R is an equivalence relation on X . \square

The equivalence relation R in Theorem 4.2 is called *conjugacy* on X . The equivalence class of $a \in X$, denoted by $[a]_c$, of the relation R is called the *conjugacy class* of a in X .

Example 4.3. Consider the B-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in Example 2.2. Then there are three distinct conjugacy classes in X , namely, $[0]_c = \{0\}$, $[1]_c = [2]_c = \{1, 2\}$, $[3]_c = [4]_c = [5]_c = \{3, 4, 5\}$.

Remark 4.4. *Let $a \in X$. Then $a \in Z(X)$ if and only if $[a]_c = \{a\}$.*

The following theorem shows that the number of conjugates of a is equal to the index of $C(a)$ in X .

Theorem 4.5. *Let $a \in X$. Then $|[a]_c|_B = [X : C(a)]_B$.*

Proof. Let $a \in X$. Let \mathcal{L} denote the set of all distinct left B-cosets of $C(a)$ in X . Then $|\mathcal{L}|_B = [X : C(a)]_B$. By definition, $b * (b * a) \in [a]_c$ for all $b \in X$. Define $f : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow [a]_c$ by $f(bC(a)) = b * (b * a)$. Suppose that $f(bC(a)) = f(cC(a))$. Then by (P2), (P3), (P5), (I), (III), and Theorem 2.3(i), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(bC(a)) = f(cC(a)) &\Rightarrow b * (b * a) = c * (c * a) \\
&\Rightarrow 0 * (b * (b * a)) = 0 * (c * (c * a)) \\
&\Rightarrow (b * a) * b = (c * a) * c \\
&\Rightarrow (0 * c) * ((b * a) * b) = (0 * c) * ((c * a) * c) \\
&\Rightarrow (0 * c) * ((b * a) * b) = ((0 * c) * (0 * c)) * (c * a) \\
&\Rightarrow (0 * c) * ((b * a) * b) = 0 * (c * a) \\
&\Rightarrow (0 * c) * ((b * a) * b) = a * c \\
&\Rightarrow [(0 * c) * ((b * a) * b)] * (0 * b) = (a * c) * (0 * b) \\
&\Rightarrow [((0 * c) * (0 * b)) * (b * a)] * (0 * b) = a * ((0 * b) * (0 * c)) \\
&\Rightarrow ((0 * c) * (0 * b)) * [(0 * b) * (0 * (b * a))] = a * [0 * ((0 * c) * (0 * b))] \\
&\Rightarrow ((0 * c) * (0 * b)) * ((0 * b) * (a * b)) = a * [0 * ((0 * c) * (0 * b))] \\
&\Rightarrow ((0 * c) * (0 * b)) * (0 * a) = a * [0 * ((0 * c) * (0 * b))] \\
&\Rightarrow (0 * c) * (0 * b) \in C(a) \\
&\Rightarrow bC(a) = cC(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, f is a one-one function. Let $y \in [a]_c$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $y = x * (x * a) = f(xC(a))$. Hence, f is onto. Therefore, f is a one-one function from \mathcal{L} onto $[a]_c$. Consequently, $|[a]_c|_B = |\mathcal{L}|_B = [X : C(a)]_B$. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a finite B-algebra. Then $|X|_B = \sum_a [X : C(a)]_B$, where the summation is over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.2, $X = \bigcup_a [a]_c$, where the union runs over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives. Since the distinct conjugacy classes are mutually disjoint, we have $|X|_B = \left| \bigcup_a [a]_c \right|_B = \sum_a |[a]_c|_B$. By Theorem 4.5, it follows that $|X|_B = \sum_a [X : C(a)]_B$, where the summation is over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives. \square

Consider the B-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in Example 2.2. Then $|X|_B = 6 = 1 + 2 + 3 = |[0]_c|_B + |[1]_c|_B + |[3]_c|_B = \sum_a |[a]_c|_B = \sum_a [X : C(a)]_B$.

Corollary 4.7. *If X is a finite B-algebra, then $|X|_B = |Z(X)|_B + \sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$, where the summation runs over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives, which do not belong to $Z(X)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.6, $|X|_B = \sum_a [X : C(a)]_B$, where the summation is over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives. Thus, we have $|X|_B = \sum_{a \in Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B +$

$\sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$. By Lemma 4.1, we have $\sum_{a \in Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B = |Z(X)|_B$. Hence, $|X|_B = |Z(X)|_B + \sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$, where the summation runs over a complete set of distinct conjugacy class representatives which do not belong to $Z(X)$. \square

Example 4.8. Consider the B-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ in Example 2.2. Then $Z(X) = \{0\}$. Hence, $|Z(X)|_B + \sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B = 1 + |[1]_c|_B + |[3]_c|_B = 1 + 2 + 3 = 6 = |X|_B$.

We now prove a partial converse of Lagrange's Theorem.

Lemma 4.9. *If X is a finite commutative B-algebra with $|X|_B = n$ such that n is divisible by a prime p , then X contains an element of order p and hence a subalgebra of order p .*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order of X . If $|X|_B = p$ where p is prime, then every element of X (except 0) has order p . Thus, in particular, the lemma is true when $|X|_B = 2$. Suppose that the lemma is true for all B-algebras of order r , where $2 \leq r < n$. Suppose that X is a B-algebra of order n . Let $a \in X$ with $a \neq 0$ and let $|a|_B = m$. Then either $p|m$ or $p \nmid m$. If $p|m$, then $m = pk$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. In this case, $(a^k)^p = a^m = 0$. Hence, $a^k \neq 0$ and $|a^k|_B = p$. Suppose $p \nmid m$. Since X is commutative, the cyclic subalgebra $H = \langle a \rangle_B$ of X is a normal subalgebra of X . By Lagrange's Theorem, $|X|_B = m[X : H]_B$. Since $p \nmid m$, we have $p|[X : H]_B = |X/H|_B$. Since $|X/H|_B < n$, there exists $bH \in X/H$ s.t. $|bH|_B = p$. Now, $b^p H = (bH)^p = H$. Hence, $b^p \in H$. Thus, $(b^m)^p = (b^p)^m = 0$ and so either $b^m = 0$ or $|b^m|_B = p$. If $b^m = 0$, then $(bH)^m = H$ which implies $p|m$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|b^m|_B = p$ and so b^m is the desired element of X . \square

Theorem 4.10. *(Cauchy's Theorem for B-algebras) Let X be a finite B-algebra with $|X|_B = n$ such that n is divisible by a prime p . Then X contains an element of order p and hence a subalgebra of order p .*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the order of X . If $n = 2$, then X is commutative and the result follows from Lemma 4.9. Suppose that the theorem is true for all B-algebras of order m s.t. $2 \leq m < n$. By Corollary 4.7, $|X|_B = |Z(X)|_B + \sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$. If

$X = Z(X)$, then X is commutative and the result follows from Lemma 4.9. If $X \neq Z(X)$, then there exists $a \in X$ s.t. $a \notin Z(X)$. Then $X \neq C(a)$ and so $[X : C(a)]_B > 1$. By Lagrange's Theorem, $|X|_B = [X : C(a)]_B |C(a)|_B > |C(a)|_B$. If $p || C(a)|_B$, then $C(a)$ has an element of order p and so X has an element of order p . If $p \nmid |C(a)|_B$ for all $a \notin Z(X)$, then $p|[X : C(a)]_B$ for all $a \notin Z(X)$. Since p divides each term of the summation and also divides $|X|_B$, we have $p||Z(X)|_B$. By Lemma 4.9, X contains an element of order p and hence a subalgebra of order p . \square

The following theorem proves that the converse of Lagrange's Theorem for B-algebras hold for finite commutative B-algebras.

Theorem 4.11. *Let X be a finite commutative B-algebra with $|X|_B = n$. If $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m|n$, then X has a subalgebra of order m .*

Proof. If $m = 1$, then $\{0\}$ is the required subalgebra of order m . If $n = 1$, then $m = n = 1$ and the result follows easily. Assume that $m > 1$ and $n > 1$. We proceed by induction on n . If $n = 2$, then $m = 2$ and X is the required subalgebra of order m . Suppose that the theorem is true for all finite commutative B-algebras of order k s.t. $2 \leq k < n$. Let

p be a prime integer s.t. $p|m$. Then there exists $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ s.t. $m = pm_1$. By Cauchy's Theorem, X has a subalgebra H of order p . Since X is commutative, H is normal and X/H is a B-algebra. Now, $1 \leq |X/H|_B = \frac{|X|_B}{|H|_B} < |X|_B$ and $|X/H|_B = \frac{n}{p}$. Now, $n = mm_2$ for some $m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Thus, $|X/H|_B = \frac{pm_1m_2}{p} = m_1m_2$ and so m_1 divides $|X/H|_B$. Hence, X/H has a subalgebra K/H s.t. $|K/H|_B = m_1$, where K is a subalgebra of X . Now, $|K|_B = |K/H|_B|H|_B = m_1p = m$. Hence, K is a subalgebra of order m . \square

As a consequence of Cauchy's Theorem, we now introduce the concept of B_p -algebras.

Definition 4.12. Let p be a prime number. A B-algebra X is called a B_p -algebra if the order of each element of X is a power of p . A subalgebra H of a B-algebra X is called B_p -subalgebra if H is a B_p -algebra.

The B-algebra in Example 2.1 is B_3 -algebra. We now prove some results where Cauchy's Theorem plays a role. The following theorem provides a necessary and sufficient condition for a finite B-algebra to be a B_p -algebra.

Theorem 4.13. *Let X be a nontrivial B-algebra. Then X is a finite B_p -algebra if and only if $|X|_B = p^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.*

Proof. Suppose that X is a finite B_p -algebra. If $q||X|_B$ for some prime $q \neq p$, then by Cauchy's Theorem, X has an element of order q , a contradiction. Thus, p is the only prime divisor of $|X|_B$, that is, $|X|_B = p^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Conversely, suppose that $|X|_B = p^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then by Lagrange's Theorem, the order of each element of X is a power of p . Therefore, X is a finite B_p -algebra. \square

The following theorem shows that the center of a B_p -algebra is nontrivial.

Theorem 4.14. *If X is a finite B_p -algebra with $|X|_B > 1$, then $|Z(X)|_B > 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that X is a finite B_p -algebra with $|X|_B > 1$. If $X = Z(X)$, then $|Z(X)|_B = |X|_B > 1$. Suppose that $Z(X) \subset X$ and consider $a \in X$ such that $a \notin Z(X)$. Then $C(a)$ is a proper subalgebra of a B_p -algebra X . By Theorem 4.13, $p||X|_B$. It follows that $p|[X : C(a)]_B$ for all $a \notin Z(X)$. Thus, p divides $\sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$. By Corollary 4.7, $|X|_B = |Z(X)|_B + \sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$. Since $p||X|_B$ and $p|\sum_{a \notin Z(X)} [X : C(a)]_B$, it follows that $p||Z(X)|_B$. Therefore, $|Z(X)|_B > 1$. \square

Corollary 4.15. *If $|X|_B = p^2$, where p is prime, then X is commutative.*

Proof. Suppose that $|X|_B = p^2$, where p is prime. By Theorem 4.14, $|Z(X)|_B > 1$. Since $Z(X)$ is a subalgebra, $|Z(X)|_B$ divides p^2 by Lagrange's Theorem. Hence, $|Z(X)|_B$ is p or p^2 . If $|Z(X)|_B = p$. Then $Z(X) \neq X$ and so there exists $a \in X$ such that $a \notin Z(X)$. In [6], $C(a)$ is a subalgebra of X with $a \in C(a)$. Hence, $Z(X) \subset C(a)$. This implies that $|C(a)|_B = p^2$. Thus, $X = C(a)$ and so $a \in Z(X)$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|Z(X)|_B = p^2$ and so $X = Z(X)$. Consequently, X is commutative. \square

Proposition 4.16. *Let H and K be subalgebras of a commutative B-algebra X . If $|H|_B = m$ and $|K|_B = n$, then X has a subalgebra of order $\text{lcm}(m, n)$.*

Proof. Let H and K be subalgebras of a commutative B -algebra X with $|H|_B = m$ and $|K|_B = n$. Since $HK = KH$, HK is a subalgebra of X . Since H and K are finite, H and K are subalgebras of a finite B -algebra HK . By Lagrange's Theorem, $m \mid |HK|_B$ and $n \mid |HK|_B$. Hence, $\text{lcm}(m, n) \mid |HK|_B$. By Theorem 4.11, HK has a subalgebra of order $\text{lcm}(m, n)$ and so X has a subalgebra of order $\text{lcm}(m, n)$. \square

The version of Lagrange's Theorem for B -algebras in [2] is analogue to the Lagrange's Theorem for groups, and the version of Cauchy's Theorem for B -algebras in this paper is analogue to the Cauchy's Theorem for groups. It is then natural to seek an analogue results to the Sylow Theorems for groups.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for the remarks and suggestions which were incorporated into this revised version. This research is partially funded by the Commission on Higher Education (CHED), Philippines.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, P.J., Neggers, J., and Kim, H.S., *B-algebras and groups*, Sci. Math. Japn., **9**(2003), 159-165.
- [2] Bantug, J.S. and Endam, J.C., *Lagrange's Theorem for B-algebras*, Int. J. of Alg., **11**(1)(2017), 15-23.
- [3] Cho, J.R. and Kim, H.S., *On B-algebras and quasigroups*, Quasigroups and Related Systems, **8**(2001), 1-6.
- [4] Endam, J.C. and Vilela, J.P., *The Second Isomorphism Theorem for B-algebras*, App. Math. Sci., **8**(38)(2014), 1865-1872.
- [5] Endam, J.C. and Teves, R.C., *Some Properties of Cyclic B-algebras*, Int. Math. Forum, **11**(8)(2016), 387-394.
- [6] Endam, J.C., *Centralizer and Normalizer of B-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japn., (2016).
- [7] Gonzaga, N.C. and Vilela, J.P., *On Cyclic B-algebras*, App. Math. Sci., **9**(111)(2015), 5507-5522.
- [8] Kim, H.S. and Park, H.G., *On 0-commutative B-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japn., (2005), 31-36.
- [9] Neggers, J. and Kim, H.S., *On B-algebras*, Mat. Vesnik, **54**(2002), 21-29.
- [10] Neggers, J. and Kim, H.S., *A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras*, Int. Math. J., **2**(3)(2002), 207-214.
- [11] Walendziak, A., *Some Axiomatizations of B-algebras*, Math. Slovaca, **56**(3)(2006), 301-306.
- [12] Walendziak, A., *A note on normal subalgebras in B-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japn., (2005), 49-53.

Communicated by *Klaus Denecke*

¹Department of Mathematics
Negros Oriental State University
Kagawasan Ave., Dumaguete City, PHILIPPINES
jc_endam@yahoo.com

²Department of Mathematics
Silliman University
Hibbard Ave., Dumaguete City, PHILIPPINES
jenettesbantug@su.edu.ph