

OPERATOR INEQUALITIES OF ANDO-HIAI TYPE AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

MASATOSHI FUJII* AND EIZABURO KAMEI**

Received December 7, 2008

*Dedicated to the memory of Professor Masahiro Nakamura
with deep sorrow and the greatest respect*

ABSTRACT. In the discussion of the equivalence between Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai inequality, the key is the inequality that if $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I \quad \text{for } p, r \geq 1.$$

Here \sharp_α is the α -geometric mean in the sense of Kubo-Ando. In this note, we assume that $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I$ for some $p, r \geq 1$ instead of $A \geq B > 0$. Then we show that

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{\mu+r}} B^\mu \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \delta \leq \mu \leq p,$$

and for each $t \in [0, r]$

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p \quad \text{for } -t \leq \delta \leq p.$$

As an application, we discuss recent development of grand Furuta inequality due to Furuta himself.

1. Introduction. A real-valued continuous function on $[0, \infty)$ is called operator monotone if it is order-preserving, i.e.,

$$A \geq B \geq 0 \implies f(A) \geq f(B).$$

The Löwner-Heinz inequality says that the function t^α is operator monotone for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, cf. [18] and [12]. It induces the α -geometric operator mean defined for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ as

$$A \sharp_\alpha B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^\alpha A^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

if $A > 0$, i.e., A is invertible, by the Kubo-Ando theory [17]. Incidentally, we use \sharp_s for $s \notin [0, 1]$ instead of \sharp_s because it is not an operator mean.

Now, one of the most interesting operator inequalities related to the α -geometric mean is the Ando-Hiai inequality [1], say (AH). So we cite it first:

Ando-Hiai inequality. For $A, B > 0$,

$$(1) \quad A \sharp_\alpha B \leq I \implies A^r \sharp_\alpha B^r \leq I \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

By the way, one of the motivation of the Ando-Hiai inequality might be the Furuta inequality, see [2], [7], [9], [10], [14], [15] and [19]:

Furuta inequality. If $A \geq B > 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$

$$(A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq A^{\frac{p+r}{q}}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63 and 47A64.

Key words and phrases. Positive operators, geometric mean, Ando-Hiai inequality, Furuta inequality and grand Furuta inequality.

holds for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ satisfying $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.

Recently, we discussed in [7] the equivalence between the Ando-Hiai inequality and the Furuta inequality, whose key is that if $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I \quad \text{for } p, r \geq 1.$$

It is a "usual order" version of the chaotic Furuta inequality [3]:

$\log A \geq \log B$ if and only if

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I \quad \text{for } p, r \geq 0.$$

To clarify the difference between the usual order and the chaotic order, i.e., $\log A \geq \log B$, we point out that the essence of the Furuta inequality is as follows:

(FI) If $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$(2) \quad A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A \quad \text{for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } r \geq 0.$$

2. Recent development of grand Furuta inequality. For reader's convenience, we cite the grand Furuta inequality (GFI). It was established by Furuta [11] and interpolates Ando-Hiai and Furuta inequalities.

(GFI) If $A \geq B > 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, then

$$[A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^s A^{\frac{r}{2}}]^{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} \leq A^{1-t+r}$$

holds for $r \geq t$ and $p, s \geq 1$.

We note that (GFI) for $t = 1$, $r = s$ (resp. $t = 0$, $s = 1$) is just (AH) (resp. (FI)).

For fixed $A > 0$, $B \geq 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$, we define an operator function $F(\lambda, \mu)$ by

$$(3) \quad F(\lambda, \mu) = A^{-\lambda} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+\lambda}{(p-t)\mu+\lambda}} (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{\mu}$$

for $\lambda \geq t-1$ and $\mu \geq \frac{1-t}{p-t}$.

As another simultaneous extension of (FI) and (AH), we presented the following inequality in [8] recently.

Theorem A. If $A \geq B \geq 0$ and $A > 0$, then $F(r, s) \leq F(0, 1)$, i.e.,

$$A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)s+r}} (A^t \sharp_s B^p) \leq A^t \sharp_{\frac{1-t}{p-t}} B^p$$

holds for $r \geq t$ and $s \geq 1$.

If we take $t = 0$ and $s = 1$ in Theorem A, then we have the satellite form of (FI), due to Kamei [14], cf. Kamei-Nakamura [16]:

(SF) If $\log A \geq \log B$ for $A, B > 0$, then

$$(4) \quad A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq B \quad \text{for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } r \geq 0,$$

which means that Theorem A interpolates (AH) with (SF) instead of (FI). Motivated by Theorem A, Furuta [13] showed the following inequality including both (GFI) and Theorem A very recently.

Theorem 1. (Furuta) Let $F(\lambda, \mu)$ be as in above for fixed $A > 0$, $B \geq 0$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$. If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then

$$(i) \quad F(r, w) \geq F(r, 1) \geq F(r, s) \geq F(r, s')$$

holds for $r \geq t$, $w \in [\frac{1-t}{p-t}, 1]$ and $s' \geq s \geq 1$, and

$$(ii) \quad F(q, s) \geq F(t, s) \geq F(r, s) \geq F(r', s)$$

holds for $q \in [t-1, t]$, $s \geq 1$ and $r' \geq r \geq t$.

We would like to mention that Theorem 1 is surprising from the viewpoint of Tanahashi's work [20] on the best possibility of (GFI).

3. Operator inequalities of Ando-Hiai type. In this section, we propose operator inequalities of Ando-Hiai type. We will point out that Theorem 1 is reduced to our Ando-Hiai type theorem mentioned in the below.

For the sake of convenience, we cite a useful lemma which we will use frequently in the below.

Lemma 2. For $X, Y > 0$ and $a, b \in [0, 1]$,

- (i) *transposition*: $X \sharp_a Y = Y \sharp_{1-a} X$,
- (ii) *multiplicativity*: $X \sharp_{ab} Y = X \sharp_a (X \sharp_b Y)$.

Now we prepare the following lemma:

Lemma 3. If $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I$ for some $p, r \geq 0$, then

- (i) $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq B^\delta$ for $0 \leq \delta \leq p$,
- (ii) $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\lambda+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A^\lambda$ for $-r \leq \lambda \leq 0$.

Proof. We can prove them by the use of Lemma 2. For (i), we note that

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p = B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p+r}} A^{-r} = B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p}} (B^p \sharp_{\frac{p}{p+r}} A^{-r}).$$

Since $B^p \sharp_{\frac{p}{p+r}} A^{-r} = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I$ by the assumption, we have

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p}} I = I \sharp_{\frac{\delta}{p}} B^p = B^\delta.$$

Similarly, if $-r \leq \lambda \leq 0$, then we have

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\lambda+r}{p+r}} B^p = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\lambda+r}{r}} (A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p) \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\lambda+r}{r}} I = I \sharp_{\frac{-\lambda}{r}} A^{-r} = A^\lambda,$$

which shows (ii).

We here state our main theorem:

Theorem 4. Suppose that $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p+r}} B^p \leq I$ for some $p, r \geq 0$. Then (i) for each $\delta \in [0, p]$

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{\mu+r}} B^\mu \quad \text{for } \mu \in [\delta, p],$$

- (ii) for each $t \in [0, r]$

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p \leq A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p \quad \text{for } \delta \in [-t, p].$$

Proof. The former (i) follows from Lemma 2 (ii) and Lemma 3 (i) that

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{\mu+r}} (A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\mu+r}{p+r}} B^p) \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{\mu+r}} B^\mu.$$

The latter (ii) is obtained by Lemma 2 (ii) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p+r}} B^p &= B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p+r}} A^{-r} = B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p+t}} (B^p \sharp_{\frac{p+t}{p+r}} A^{-r}) \\ &= B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p+t}} (A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{-t+r}{p+r}} B^p) \leq B^p \sharp_{\frac{p-\delta}{p+t}} A^{-t} = A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p+t}} B^p. \end{aligned}$$

4. Lemma related to a generalized Ando-Hiai inequality. In this section, we prepare two lemmas in order to apply Theorem 4 to Theorem 1. The first one is a generalized Ando-Hiai inequality itself, see [5] and [6].

Lemma 5. *If $A \sharp_{\alpha} B \leq I$ for some $A, B > 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, then*

- (i) $A^r \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r + 1 - \alpha}} B \leq I \quad \text{for } r \geq 1,$
- (ii) $A \sharp_{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha + (1 - \alpha)s}} B^s \leq I \quad \text{for } s \geq 1,$
- (iii) $A^r \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r}{\alpha r + (1 - \alpha)s}} B^s \leq I \quad \text{for } r, s \geq 1.$

As prologue of a proof of Theorem 1, we put

$$(5) \quad B_1 = (A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^p A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{\frac{1}{p-t}}$$

for fixed $A > 0, B \geq 0, t \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$. Then we remark that the operator function $F(\lambda, \mu)$ is expressed as

$$F(\lambda, \mu) = A^{-\lambda} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+\lambda}{(p-t)\mu+\lambda}} B_1^{(p-t)\mu},$$

and we have the following lemma connecting Theorem 1 with Theorem 4.

Lemma 6. *Notation as in above. If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then*

- (i) $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p-t+r}} B_1^{p-t} \leq I \quad \text{for } r \geq t,$
- (ii) $B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s+q}{(p-t)s+t}} A^{-t} \leq A^{-q} \quad \text{for } q \in [t-1, t].$

Proof. Since $A \geq B \geq 0$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, Löwner-Heinz inequality ensures that $A^t \geq B^t$, so that

$$(\dagger) \quad A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{t}{p}} B_1^{p-t} = A^{-\frac{t}{2}} B^t A^{-\frac{t}{2}} \leq I.$$

Applying (i) in Lemma 5 for $r_1 = \frac{r}{t}$ and $\alpha = \frac{t}{p}$, we have

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p-t+r}} B_1^{p-t} = (A^{-t})^{r_1} \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r_1}{1-\alpha+\alpha r_1}} B_1^{p-t} \leq I.$$

On the other hand, since it has been proved that $(A^t \sharp_s B^p)^{\frac{1}{(p-t)s+t}} \leq B \leq A$ in [2; Theorem 2], we have

$$A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1}{(p-t)s+t}} B_1^{(p-t)s} = A^{-\frac{t}{2}} (A^t \sharp_s B^p)^{\frac{1}{(p-t)s+t}} A^{-\frac{t}{2}} \leq A^{1-t}.$$

Therefore it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s+q}{(p-t)s+t}} A^{-t} &= A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{t-q}{(p-t)s+t}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \\ &= A^{-t} \sharp_{t-q} (A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1}{(p-t)s+t}} B_1^{(p-t)s}) \\ &\leq A^{-t} \sharp_{t-q} A^{1-t} = A^{-q}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (ii).

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1, in which Theorem 4 is the main tool and Lemma 6 is the starting point of a proof.

Proof of Theorem 1. (i) By Lemma 6 (i), we know that $A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p-t+r}} B_1^{p-t} \leq I$. So we take $\delta = 1 - t$, $p_1 = p - t$ and $\mu = (p - t)w$ in Theorem 4. Since $0 \leq \delta \leq \mu \leq p_1$ by the assumption, it implies that

$$F(r, w) = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+r}{(p-t)w+r}} B_1^{(p-t)w} \geq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+r}{p-t+r}} B_1^{p-t} = F(r, 1).$$

Successively we take $\delta = 1 - t$, $p_1 = (p - t)s$ and $\mu = p - t$. Then we have $0 \leq \delta \leq \mu \leq p_1$ by $p, s \geq 1$ and so the second inequality of (i) is obtained by Theorem 4 (i).

Finally we take $\delta = 1 - t$, $\mu = (p - t)s$ and $p_1 = (p - t)s'$. Then $0 \leq \delta \leq \mu \leq p_1$ by $s' \geq s \geq 1$ and so the final inequality of (i) holds.

(ii) The first inequality follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 6 (ii). As a matter of fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, s) &= A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1}{(p-t)s+t}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \\ &= B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s-1+t}{(p-t)s+t}} A^{-t} \\ &= B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s-1+t}{(p-t)s+q}} (B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s+q}{(p-t)s+t}} A^{-t}) \\ &\leq B_1^{(p-t)s} \sharp_{\frac{(p-t)s-1+t}{(p-t)s+q}} A^{-q} \\ &= A^{-q} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+q}{(p-t)s+q}} B_1^{(p-t)s} = F(q, s). \end{aligned}$$

Next we prove the second inequality by applying Theorem 4 (ii). For this, we have to obtain the inequality

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{(p-t)s+r}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \leq I.$$

Fortunately it is implied by applying Lemma 5 (ii) to Lemma 6 (i) and $\frac{\alpha}{(1-\alpha)s+\alpha} = \frac{r}{(p-t)s+r}$ for $\alpha = \frac{r}{p-t+r}$. We here put $p_1 = (p - t)s$ for convenience. Then it is rephrased as

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{p_1+r}} B_1^{p_1} \leq I.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 4 (ii) that

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p_1+r}} B_1^{p_1} \leq A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+t}{p_1+t}} B_1^{p_1}$$

and putting $\delta = 1 - t$,

$$F(r, s) = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{1-t+r}{p_1+r}} B_1^{p_1} \leq A^{-t} \sharp_{\frac{1}{p_1+t}} B_1^{p_1} = F(t, s).$$

Finally we apply Lemma 5 (iii) for $\alpha = \frac{r}{p-t+r}$, $r_1 = \frac{r'}{r} \geq 1$ and $s \geq 1$ to Lemma 6 (i). Then

$$A^{-r'} \sharp_{\frac{r'}{(p-t)s+r'}} B_1^{(p-t)s} = (A^{-r})^{r_1} \sharp_{\frac{\alpha r_1}{(1-\alpha)s+\alpha r_1}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \leq I.$$

Therefore it follows from Theorem 4 (ii) for $\delta = 0$ that

$$F(r', s) = A^{-r'} \sharp_{\frac{r'}{(p-t)s+r'}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{(p-t)s+r}} B_1^{(p-t)s} = F(r, s),$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 7. From our viewpoint, we review Theorem A by proving it. As a matter of fact, Lemma 6 (i) is extended by Lemma 5 (iii) as follows:

Notation as in Lemma 6. If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r}{(p-t)s+r}} B_1^{(p-t)s} \leq I \quad \text{for } r \geq t \text{ and } s \geq 1.$$

Furthermore Theorem 4 (i) implies that

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{p_1+r}} B_1^{p_1} \leq A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{\delta+r}{\mu+r}} B_1^\mu$$

for $0 \leq \delta \leq \mu \leq p_1 = (p-t)s$.

Therefore we have Theorem A by taking $\delta = 1-t$ in above.

References

- [1] T. Ando and F. Hiai, Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 197(1994), 113-131.
- [2] M. Fujii, Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach, *J. Operator Theory*, 23(1990), 67-72.
- [3] M. Fujii T. Furuta and E. Kamei, Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's theorem, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 179(1993), 161-169.
- [4] M. Fujii and E. Kamei, Mean theoretic approach to the grand Furuta inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(1996), 2751-2756.
- [5] M. Fujii and E. Kamei, Ando-Hiai inequality and Furuta inequality, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 416(2006), 541-545.
- [6] M. Fujii and E. Kamei, Variants of Ando-Hiai inequality, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 187(2008), 169-174.
- [7] M. Fujii, E. Kamei and R. Nakamoto, An Analysis on the internal structure of the celebrated Furuta inequality, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, 62(2005), 421-427.
- [8] M. Fujii, E. Kamei and R. Nakamoto, Grand Furuta inequality and its variant, *J. Math. Inequal.*, to appear.
- [9] T. Furuta, $A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1+2r)q \geq p+2r$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 101(1987), 85-88.
- [10] T. Furuta, Elementary proof of an order preserving inequality, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 65(1989), 126.
- [11] T. Furuta, Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log-majorization, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 219(1995), 139-155.
- [12] T. Furuta, *Invitation to Linear Operators*, Taylor & Francis, London and New York, (2001).
- [13] T. Furuta, Monotonicity of order preserving operator functions, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, to appear.
- [14] E. Kamei, A satellite to Furuta's inequality, *Math. Japon.*, 33(1988), 883-886.
- [15] E. Kamei, Parametrization of the Furuta inequality, *Math. Japon.*, 49(1999), 65-71.
- [16] E. Kamei and M. Nakamura, Remark on chaotic Furuta inequality. *Sci. Math. Japon.*, 53(2001), 535-539.
- [17] F. Kubo and T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.*, 246(1980), 205-224.
- [18] G. K. Pedersen, Some operator monotone functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(1972), 309-310.
- [19] K. Tanahashi, Best possibility of the Furuta inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124(1996), 141-146.
- [20] K. Tanahashi, The best possibility of the grand Furuta inequality, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(2000), 511-519.

(*)DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY,
ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA,
OSAKA, 582-8582, JAPAN
e-mail: mfujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

(**) MAEBASHI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
KAMISADORI, MAEBASHI,
GUNMA, 371-0816, JAPAN
e-mail: kamei@maebashi-it.ac.jp