

**EVERY NONEMPTY OPEN SET OF THE DIGITAL N -SPACE IS
EXPRESSIBLE AS THE UNION OF FINITELY MANY NONEMPTY
REGULAR OPEN SETS ***

SHIN-YA TAKIGAWA AND HARUO MAKI

Received March 23, 2007

ABSTRACT. In this paper, it is proved that every nonempty open set of the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ is expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets.

1 Introduction and a main theorem The aim of this paper is to prove the following property on the digital n -space (cf. [8]):

Theorem 1.1 *Every nonempty open set of the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ is expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets.*

For $n = 1$ (resp. $n = 2$), the digital n -space is called as the *digital line*, so called the *Khalimsky line*, (resp. the *digital plane*), cf. [10], [12], [11], [6], [14], [9], [8]. The digital line is the set of the integers, $\mathbf{Z}$, equipped with the topology κ having $\{\{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\} | m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ as a subbase. This is denoted by $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$. Thus, a set U is open in $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$ if and only if whenever $x \in U$ is an even integer, then $x-1, x+1 \in U$. For an odd integer x , the singleton $\{x\}$ is open; for an even integer x , the singleton $\{x\}$ is closed in $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$. It is observed that the digital line is not T_1 , but all non-closed singletons are open. In 1977, Dunham [7] proved that every topological space where all non-closed singletons are open, is a $T_{1/2}$ -space. Such $T_{1/2}$ -spaces arises from a different angle, i.e. the investigation of the generalized closed sets. The initiation of the generalized closed sets was done by Levine [13]; a subset A in a topological space is called generalized closed if the closure of A contains the every open super set of A . He studied their most fundamental properties. The spaces in which the concepts of the generalized closed sets and closed sets coincides are called $T_{1/2}$ -spaces. Ganster and Dontchev [4] introduced a new separation axiom $T_{3/4}$, as the class of topological spaces where every δ -generalized closed sets is δ -closed [16]. They showed that the class of $T_{3/4}$ -spaces is properly placed between the classes of $T_{1/2}$ - and T_1 -spaces and also that the digital line is a $T_{3/4}$ -spaces but not T_1 . The digital plane $(\mathbf{Z}^2, \kappa^2)$ is the topological product of two same digital lines $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$, that is, $\kappa^2 = \kappa \times \kappa$. The digital plane is not $T_{1/2}$. More topological properties in the digital line and the digital plane are investigated (cf. [8], [9], [2], [14], [6], [3]). The digital line and the digital plane have the following property: every open set is expressible as the union of finitely many regular open sets [8, Theorems A, C]. In the present paper, we prove the same property above for the digital n -space (Theorem 1.1) and corollaries.

In Section 3, we construct regular open sets induced from a given open set of the digital n -space (cf. Theorem 3.2). The theorem is proved using lemmas in Section 2. In Section 4, Theorem 1.1 is proved; the proof shows an explicit construction of finitely many regular

*2000 Math. Subject Classification — Primary: 54C08, 68U05, Secondary: 54D10, 68U10.

Key words and phrases — digital topology, digital lines, Khalimsky lines, digital planes, digital n -spaces, regular open sets, δ -open sets.

open sets in the digital n -space. The digital 2-space is a topological model of a computer screen and the points are the pixels.

2 Lemmas for digital n -spaces In this section we construct regular open sets induced from a given open set of the digital n -space (cf. Theorem 3.2 in Section 3 below). We prepare some notations and definitions (Definition 2.1, Definition 2.4). We prove lemmas needed later (i.e., Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.5).

We recall first the following: for the digital line $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$, $U(2y) := \{2y - 1, 2y, 2y + 1\}$ is the smallest open set containing $2y$ and $U(2y + 1) := \{2y + 1\}$ is the smallest open set containing $2y + 1$, where $y \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. The *digital n -space* is the topological product of n -copies of the digital line $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$ and this topological space is denoted by $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ (eg. [12, Definition 4], [8]). Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. For the point x , the following subset $U^n(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i)$ is called the smallest open neighbourhood of x in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, where $U(x_i)$ is the smallest open neighbourhood of x_i in the i -th component space $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$ of the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. It is shown that, for any open set V containing a point x of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, $x \in U^n(x) \subset V$ hold; moreover, if W is any open set containing a point x such that $W \subset U^n(x)$, then $W = U^n(x)$ holds. Note that for a point $y \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $y = (2a_1, 2a_2, \dots, 2a_n)$ if and only if $\{y\}$ is closed in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, where $a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). For a closed singleton $\{y\}$, where $y = (2a_1, 2a_2, \dots, 2a_n)$, we have that $U^n(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(2a_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{2a_i - 1, 2a_i, 2a_i + 1\}$. Moreover, for a point $z \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $z = (2b_1 + 1, 2b_2 + 1, \dots, 2b_n + 1)$ if and only if $\{z\}$ is open in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, where $b_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). For an open singleton $\{z\}$, where $z = (2b_1 + 1, 2b_2 + 1, \dots, 2b_n + 1)$, $U^n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(2b_i + 1) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{2b_i + 1\} = \{z\}$.

We introduce the following definition:

Definition 2.1 (i) (cf. [8, Section 6]) In $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, we define the following sets $(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ and $(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$:

$$(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n} := \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid \{x\} \text{ is open in } (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)\};$$

$$(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n} := \{x \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid \{x\} \text{ is closed in } (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)\}.$$

For a subset A of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, define the subsets A_{κ^n} and $A_{\mathcal{F}^n}$ by $A_{\kappa^n} := A \cap (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ and $A_{\mathcal{F}^n} := A \cap (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$, respectively.

(ii) For a subset A of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ and an integer a with $0 \leq a \leq n$, in general we define the following sets $A_{\text{mix}(a)}$:

$$A_{\text{mix}(0)} := \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in A \mid z_i \text{ is odd for each } i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n\};$$

$$A_{\text{mix}(a)} := \{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in A \mid \#\{i \mid z_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = a\}, \text{ where } 1 \leq a \leq n \text{ and } \#B \text{ is the cardinality of a finite set } B.$$

It is shown that $A_{\text{mix}(0)} = A_{\kappa^n} = \{(2y_1 + 1, 2y_2 + 1, \dots, 2y_n + 1) \in A \mid y_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = A \cap (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(0)}$ and $A_{\text{mix}(n)} = A_{\mathcal{F}^n} = \{(2y_1, 2y_2, \dots, 2y_n) \in A \mid y_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = A \cap (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$ for any subset A of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. Sometimes, $A_{\text{mix}(a)}$ is denoted by $(A)_{\text{mix}(a)}$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.2 we prepare the following Lemma 2.2–Lemma 2.5.

Lemma 2.2 Let $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $0 \leq a \leq n$. If $y \in (U^n(z))_{\text{mix}(a)}$ for a point $z \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, then $y = z$.

Proof. Suppose that $y \in (U^n(z))_{\text{mix}(a)}$, where $z \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$. Let $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$. We prove that $y = z$ considering the following three cases:

Case 1. $a = 0$: For $a = 0$, $z \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ and so $\{z\}$ is open, i.e., $U^n(z) = \{z\}$. Then, we have that $(U^n(z))_{\text{mix}(0)} = \{z\}$, and so $y = z$. **Case 2.** $a = n$: For this case, $U^n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(z_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{z_i - 1, z_i, z_i + 1\}$ because z_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) are even. Then, we have

that $(U^n(z))_{\text{mix}(n)} = (U^n(z))_{\mathcal{F}^n} = \{z\}$ and so $y = z$. **Case 3.** $1 \leq a \leq n-1$: Since $a = \# \{i|z_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ and $z \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, we can set $\{i|z_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a)\}$ and $\{j|z_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(b)\}$, where $b = n - a$ and $e(s), o(t) \in \{i \in \mathbf{Z} | 1 \leq i \leq n\} (1 \leq s \leq a, 1 \leq t \leq b)$. Then, we have that $z_{o(1)}, z_{o(2)}, \dots, z_{o(b)}$ are odd and $z_{e(1)}, z_{e(2)}, \dots, z_{e(a)}$ are even. It is noted that, in $(\mathbf{Z}, \kappa)$, $U(z_{e(s)}) = \{z_{e(s)} - 1, z_{e(s)}, z_{e(s)} + 1\}$ holds for each integer s with $1 \leq s \leq a$, because $z_{e(s)}$ is even. Moreover, we have that $U(z_{o(t)}) = \{z_{o(t)}\}$ holds for each integer t with $1 \leq t \leq b$, because $z_{o(t)}$ is odd. Since $y \in U^n(z) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(z_i)$ and $y \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, we have that, for each $o(t)$ -th component of z (i.e., $z_{o(t)} (1 \leq t \leq b)$), $z_{o(t)} = y_{o(t)}$ holds. It is shown that y_j is even for any integer $j \notin \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(b)\}$, because $y \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$. Then, we have that, for such component y_j of y , y_j is expressible as $y_j = z_j, z_j - 1$ or $z_j + 1$, where $j \notin \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(b)\}$. Since $j \in \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a)\}$, we have that $z_j - 1$ and $z_j + 1$ are odd and so $y_j = z_j$. Thus, we conclude that $y_{e(s)} = z_{e(s)}$ for each integer s with $1 \leq s \leq a$. Therefore, we have that $y = z$ for all cases. $\square$

Lemma 2.3 Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, where a' and a are integers such that $a' \leq a, 1 \leq a' \leq n$ and $1 \leq a \leq n$. Suppose that $U^n(x) \cap U^n(y)$ contains exactly the $2^{a'}$ open singletons, say $\{q^{(1)}\}, \{q^{(2)}\}, \dots, \{q^{(2^{a'})}\}$. Then the following properties hold.

- (i) $\{q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(2^{a'})}\} = (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n} = (U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n} \subset (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$.
- (ii) $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} \subset \{i|y_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$.
- (ii)' If $a' = a$ especially, then $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{i|y_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$.
- (iii) $x \in U^n(y)$ holds.
- (iii)' If $a' = a$ especially, then $x = y$.

Proof. (i) We note that there exist exactly 2^a (resp. $2^{a'}$) open singletons, say $\{q''^{(i)}\} (1 \leq i \leq 2^a)$ (resp. $\{q'^{(i)}\} (1 \leq i \leq 2^{a'})$) in $U^n(y)$ (resp. $U^n(x)$). Using assumptions, we may choose the open singletons such that $q^{(i)} = q'^{(i)} = q''^{(i)} \in U^n(x) \cap U^n(y)$ for each integer i with $1 \leq i \leq 2^{a'}$. Thus, we show that $\{q^{(1)}, q^{(2)}, \dots, q^{(2^{a'})}\} = (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n} = (U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$. It is shown that, in general, $A_{\kappa^n} \subset B_{\kappa^n}$ holds if $A \subset B$. Then, we have that $(U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n} \subset (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$ holds.

(ii) First assume that $a = n$, i.e., $\{j|y_j \text{ is even } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{s \in \mathbf{Z} | 1 \leq s \leq n\}$. Then, the proof of (ii) is obvious. We consider the case of $a < n$. Let $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e'(1), e'(2), \dots, e'(a')\}$, $\{j|x_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{o'(1), o'(2), \dots, o'(n-a')\}$, $\{i|y_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a)\}$ and $\{j|y_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(n-a)\}$. In above, we assume that $e'(t_1) < e'(t_2), o'(t_1) < o'(t_2), e(t_1) < e(t_2)$ and $o(t_1) < o(t_2)$ for integers t_1, t_2 with $t_1 < t_2$. We denote $q^{(\alpha)} = (2m_1^{(\alpha)} + 1, 2m_2^{(\alpha)} + 1, \dots, 2m_n^{(\alpha)} + 1)$ for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$ where $m_i^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. We proceed the proof of (ii). Let $d \in \{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e'(1), e'(2), \dots, e'(a')\}$. We claim that y_d is even. For the integer d , there exists a unique integer k' such that $d = e'(k')$, where $1 \leq k' \leq a'$, and so $x_d = x_{e'(k')}$ is even. We note that $U^n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i), U(x_{e'(s)}) = \{x_{e'(s)} - 1, x_{e'(s)}, x_{e'(s)} + 1\}$ and $U(x_{o'(t)}) = \{x_{o'(t)}\}$ hold, where $1 \leq s \leq a'$ and $1 \leq t \leq n-a'$. Since $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x))_{\text{mix}(0)} = (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$ for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$, we have that for the d -th component of $q^{(\alpha)} (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$,

$$(*) \quad 2m_d^{(\theta)} + 1 = x_{e'(k')} - 1 \text{ and } 2m_d^{(\theta')} + 1 = x_{e'(k')} + 1 \text{ for some distinct integers } \theta, \theta' \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{a'}\}.$$

Now, we suppose that y_d is odd. We note that $U^n(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(y_i), U(y_{e(s)}) = \{y_{e(s)} - 1, y_{e(s)}, y_{e(s)} + 1\}$ and $U(y_{o(t)}) = \{y_{o(t)}\}$ hold, where $1 \leq s \leq a$ and $1 \leq t \leq n-a$. Then, for

the odd integer y_d there exists a unique integer $y_{o(k)} (1 \leq k \leq n-a)$ such that $y_d = y_{o(k)}$. By considering the d -th component of $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$, we have that

$$(**) \quad 2m_d^{(\alpha)} + 1 = y_{o(k)} = y_d \text{ for any integer } \alpha \text{ with } 1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}.$$

Using (*) and (**), we have that $2m_d^{(\theta)} + 1 = x_{e'(k')} - 1 = y_d$ and $2m_d^{(\theta')} + 1 = x_{e'(k')} + 1 = y_d$, and hence $-1 = 1$ holds in $\mathbf{Z}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude that y_d is even and so $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} \subset \{i|y_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$.

(ii)' Since $a \leq a'$ and $a' \leq a$, (ii)' is proved by using (ii).

(iii) Let x_d (resp. y_d) be the d -th component of x (resp. y). We claim that $x_d \in U(y_d)$ for each integer d with $1 \leq d \leq n$. We recall that $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$ and $q^{(\alpha)} = (2m_1^{(\alpha)} + 1, 2m_2^{(\alpha)} + 1, \dots, 2m_n^{(\alpha)} + 1)$, for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$.

Case 1. x_d and y_d are both odd: Since the point $q^{(1)} \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n} = (\prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i))_{\kappa^n}$ and $U(x_d) = \{x_d\}$, we have that $x_d = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1$. Since $q^{(1)} \in (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$, we have that $y_d = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1$. Thus we have that $x_d = y_d$, i.e., $x_d \in U(y_d) = \{y_d\}$. **Case 2.** x_d is odd and y_d is even: Since $q^{(1)} \in (U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$, we have $x_d = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1$ and $2m_d^{(1)} + 1 \in \{y_d + 1, y_d - 1\}$. Thus we have that $x_d = y_d + 1$ or $x_d = y_d - 1$, i.e., $x_d \in U(y_d) = \{y_d - 1, y_d, y_d + 1\}$. **Case 3.** x_d is even: Using (ii), it is obtained that y_d is also even. Since $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x) \cap U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$ for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$, we have that $2m_d^{(1)} + 1 \in \{y_d + 1, y_d - 1\}$ and also $2m_d^{(1)} + 1 \in \{x_d + 1, x_d - 1\}$. If $x_d + 1 = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1 = y_d + 1$, then $x_d = y_d$. If $x_d - 1 = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1 = y_d - 1$, then $x_d = y_d$. If $x_d + 1 = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1 = y_d - 1$ holds, then there is a contradiction. Indeed, $x_d < 2m_d^{(1)} + 1 < y_d$ and $x_d - 1$ is odd. We use the following notation: let $\{e'(1), e'(2), \dots, e'(a')\} := \{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ and $\{o'(1), o'(2), \dots, o'(n-a')\} := \{j|x_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\}$ (if $a' < n$). Define the following point $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$ by $w_{e'(i)} := x_{e'(i)} - 1$ for each integer i with $1 \leq i \leq a'$ and $w_{o'(j)} := x_{o'(j)}$ for each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq n - a'$ (if $a' < n$). Then, we have that $w \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$; $w \notin (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$ because $w_d = x_d - 1 \notin \{y_d + 1, y_d - 1\}$ and $U(y_d) = \{y_d - 1, y_d, y_d + 1\}$. This contradicts (i). Similarly, the following case, $x_d - 1 = 2m_d^{(1)} + 1 = y_d + 1$, does not occur. Indeed, take the point $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)$, where $w_{e'(i)} := x_{e'(i)} + 1$ for each integer i with $1 \leq i \leq a'$ and $w_{o'(j)} := x_{o'(j)}$ for each integer j with $1 \leq j \leq n - a'$ (if $a' < n$). Then, it is shown that $w \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$; $w \notin (U^n(y))_{\kappa^n}$. This contradicts (i). Thus, for this case, we can show that $x_d \in U(y_d) = \{y_d - 1, y_d, y_d + 1\}$.

Therefore, it follows from Case 1 through Case 3 that $x_d \in U(y_d)$ for each integer d with $1 \leq d \leq n$, that is, $x \in U^n(y)$ holds. (iii)' Let x_d (resp. y_d) be the d -th component of x (resp. y). By (ii)', Case 2 in the proof of (iii) does not occur. Therefore, it is shown that $x_d = y_d$ holds for any integer d with $1 \leq d \leq n$ and hence $x = y$. $\square$

Definition 2.4 For an n -tuple $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ of integers $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), we define the following set $U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$:

$U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) := \bigcup \{U^n((k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n)) | m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, where $U^n((k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n))$ is the smallest open neighbourhood of a point $(k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n)$ in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$.

Lemma 2.5 (i) Assume that there exist two distinct points $q^{(1)}$ and $q^{(2)}$ satisfying the following property:

$$(*) \quad q^{(1)}, q^{(2)} \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n} \text{ for a point } x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}, \text{ where } 1 \leq a' \leq n.$$

Then, $Q_i^{(1)} - Q_i^{(2)} \in \{0, 2, -2\}$ for each integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, where $Q_i^{(\alpha)}$ is the i -th component of the point $q^{(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha = 1, 2$).

(ii) Let $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, where $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $a \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $1 \leq a \leq n$. If there exist points $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)} \in (U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)}$ such that $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(\alpha)})$ for each integer $\alpha=1,2$, where $q^{(1)}$ and $q^{(2)}$ satisfy the assumption (*) of (i) above, then $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$ holds.

(iii) Let $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$, where $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. If there exist points $y^{(1)}, y^{(2)} \in (U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\mathcal{F}^n}$ and a point $q \in (U^n(y^{(1)}) \cap U^n(y^{(2)}))_{\kappa^n}$, then $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$.

Proof. (i) Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $q^{(\alpha)} = (Q_1^{(\alpha)}, Q_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, Q_n^{(\alpha)})$ for $\alpha = 1, 2$, where $x_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $Q_i^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. From assumption, we can set that, for $a' < n$, $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{v(1), v(2), \dots, v(a')\} (v(1) < v(2) < \dots < v(a'))$ and $\{i|x_i \text{ is odd } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{v'(1), v'(2), \dots, v'(n-a')\} (v'(1) < v'(2) < \dots < v'(n-a'))$. Then, $Q_{v(s)}^{(\alpha)} \in \{x_{v(s)} + 1, x_{v(s)} - 1\} (1 \leq s \leq a')$ and $Q_{v'(t)}^{(\alpha)} = x_{v'(t)} (1 \leq t \leq n-a')$, because $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$ and $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}$. Thus, considering the differences of any i -components of $q^{(1)}$ and $q^{(2)}$, we have that $Q_i^{(1)} - Q_i^{(2)} \in \{0, 2, -2\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ for $a' < n$. For the case of $a' = n$, $\{i|x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{i \in \mathbf{Z} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{i|x_i \text{ is odd } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \emptyset$ hold. Then, we have that $Q_i^{(\alpha)} \in \{x_i - 1, x_i + 1\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$, because $U^n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{x_i - 1, x_i, x_i + 1\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ and $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$. Thus, we have also that $Q_i^{(1)} - Q_i^{(2)} \in \{0, 2, -2\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ for $a' = n$.

(ii) We prove that $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$ considering the following two cases. There exist points $(k_1 + 6m_1^{(\alpha)}, k_2 + 6m_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, k_n + 6m_n^{(\alpha)}) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, where $m_j^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq j \leq n)$ and $\alpha = 1, 2$, such that $y^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n((k_1 + 6m_1^{(\alpha)}, k_2 + 6m_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, k_n + 6m_n^{(\alpha)})))_{\text{mix}(a)}$. Using Lemma 2.2, we conclude that $y^{(\alpha)} = (k_1 + 6m_1^{(\alpha)}, k_2 + 6m_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, k_n + 6m_n^{(\alpha)})$ for each integer $\alpha = 1, 2$.

Case 1. $a < n$: Since $y^{(\alpha)} \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, we can assume that $\{i|k_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a)\} (e(1) < e(2) < \dots < e(a))$ and $\{j|k_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(b)\} (o(1) < o(2) < \dots < o(b))$, where $e(i), o(j) \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq a, 1 \leq j \leq b)$ and b is a positive integer with $a + b = n$. Then, we recall that $U^n(y^{(\alpha)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)})$, $U(k_{e(s)} + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)}) = \{k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)}, k_{e(s)} + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)}, k_{e(s)} + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)} + 1\}$ and $U(k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(\alpha)}) = \{k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(\alpha)}\}$ hold for each integers s and t with $1 \leq s \leq a$ and $1 \leq t \leq b$. Since $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(y^{(\alpha)}))_{\kappa^n}$ by assumptions of (ii) and $y^{(\alpha)} \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, we have

$$(1) \quad Q_{o(t)}^{(\alpha)} = k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(\alpha)} \text{ for each integers } t, \alpha \text{ with } 1 \leq t \leq b \text{ and } \alpha \in \{1, 2\};$$

$$(2) \quad Q_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)} \in \{k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)}, k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(\alpha)}\} \text{ for each integers } s, \alpha \text{ with } 1 \leq s \leq a \text{ and } \alpha \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then, for the values of $Q_{o(t)}^{(1)} - Q_{o(t)}^{(2)} (1 \leq t \leq b)$, using (1) and (i), we have the following possible two cases:

$$(1.1) \quad k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(1)} - (k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(2)}) = 0;$$

$$(1.2) \quad k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(1)} - (k_{o(t)} + 6m_{o(t)}^{(2)}) \in \{2, -2\}.$$

If there exists an $o(t)$ -th component satisfying (1.2), then $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ or $0 \equiv -2 \pmod{6}$ hold. Thus, this case does not occur. By (1.1), it is shown that $m_{o(t)}^{(1)} = m_{o(t)}^{(2)}$ for each integer t with $1 \leq t \leq b$.

For the values of $Q_{e(s)}^{(1)} - Q_{e(s)}^{(2)} (1 \leq s \leq a)$, using (2) and (i), we have the following possible six cases:

$$(2.1) \quad k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) = 0;$$

$$(2.2) \quad k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) \in \{2, -2\};$$

$$(2.3) \quad k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) \in \{0, -2\};$$

$$(2.4) \quad k_{e(s)} + 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) = 2;$$

$$(2.5) \quad k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) = 0;$$

$$(2.6) \quad k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(1)} - (k_{e(s)} - 1 + 6m_{e(s)}^{(2)}) \in \{2, -2\}.$$

If there exists an $e(s)$ -th component satisfying (2.2), (2.3) or (2.6), then $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, $0 \equiv -2 \pmod{6}$ or $0 \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$. Thus, these cases don't occur. By (2.1), (2.4) and (2.5), it is shown that $m_{e(s)}^{(1)} = m_{e(s)}^{(2)}$ for each integer s with $1 \leq s \leq a$. Therefore, we conclude that $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$ for Case 1 ($a < n$).

Case 2. $a = n$: For this case, $\{i | k_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{i \in \mathbf{Z} | 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ and $\{i | k_i \text{ is odd } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \emptyset$ hold and so $U^n(y^{(\alpha)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)} - 1, k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)}, k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)} + 1\}$ holds. Since $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(y^{(\alpha)}))_{\kappa^n}$, we have that

$$(2)' \quad Q_i^{(\alpha)} \in \{k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(\alpha)}, k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(\alpha)}\} (1 \leq i \leq n) \text{ for each integer } \alpha \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Using (2)' and (i), we have the possible six cases on the values of $Q_i^{(1)} - Q_i^{(2)}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$) (cf. (2.1)–(2.6) in Case 1 above). Similarly, we conclude that $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$ for Case 2 ($a = n$).

(iii) Let $y^{(1)} = (y_1^{(1)}, y_2^{(1)}, \dots, y_n^{(1)})$, $y^{(2)} = (y_1^{(2)}, y_2^{(2)}, \dots, y_n^{(2)})$ and $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n)$. Since $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$, the integers $k_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$ are even and so $(k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\mathcal{F}^n}$ for any integers $m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Using Lemma 2.2 for $a = n$, we have that $y_i^{(1)} = k_i + 6m_i^{(1)}$ and $y_i^{(2)} = k_i + 6m_i^{(2)}$ for some integers $m_i^{(1)}$ and $m_i^{(2)}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $U^n(y^{(1)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(1)}, k_i + 6m_i^{(1)}, k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(1)}\}$ and $U^n(y^{(2)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \{k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}, k_i + 6m_i^{(2)}, k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}\}$. Thus we have that $q_i \in \{k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(1)}, k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(1)}\} \cap \{k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}, k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. We have the following possible four cases for the integer q_i . If there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $q_i = k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(1)} = k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}$ or $q_i = k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(1)} = k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}$, then $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ or $0 \equiv -2 \pmod{6}$. Thus, these cases don't occur. We have the following cases: $q_i = k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(1)} = k_i - 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}$ or $q_i = k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(1)} = k_i + 1 + 6m_i^{(2)}$. Therefore, we prove that $m_i^{(1)} = m_i^{(2)}$ for each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$ and so $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)}$. $\square$

For a subset E of a topological space (X, τ) , the intersection of all open sets of (X, τ) containing E is called the *kernel of E* and it is denoted by $\text{Ker}(E)$. Namely $\text{Ker}(E) := \bigcap \{U | E \subset U, U \in \tau\}$.

Lemma 2.6 (i) For every point x of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, $\text{Ker}(\{x\}) = U^n(x)$ holds and $\text{Ker}(\{x\})$ is open.

(ii) For every family $\{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}$ of subsets of the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, we have the equality $\text{Cl}(\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}) = \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(A_i) | i \in \mathbf{N}\}$, where $\mathbf{N}$ is the set of all natural numbers.

Proof. (i) It is known that, for any open set V containing x , $x \in U^n(x) \subset V$ and $U^n(x)$ is open in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. Then, $\text{Ker}(\{x\}) = U^n(x)$ holds. (ii) It is easily obtained that $\bigcup \{\text{Cl}(A_i) | i \in \mathbf{N}\} \subset \text{Cl}(\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\})$ holds. We prove only the converse implication: $\text{Cl}(\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}) \subset \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(A_i) | i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Let x be any point such that $x \notin \bigcup \{\text{Cl}(A_i) | i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Then, for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $x \notin \text{Cl}(A_i)$ and so there exists an open set U_i containing x such that $U_i \cap A_i = \emptyset$ and so $(\bigcap \{U_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}) \cap (\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}) = \emptyset$. It follows from definition that $x \in \text{Ker}(\{x\}) \subset \bigcap \{U_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}$. Therefore, using assumption and (i), there exists an open set $U^n(x)$ containing x such that $U^n(x) \cap (\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\}) = \emptyset$ and so $x \notin \text{Cl}(\bigcup \{A_i | i \in \mathbf{N}\})$. $\square$

3 Regular open sets induced by a given open set For a given open set of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, we construct regular open sets relating the open set, cf. Theorem 3.2 below. We recall the notation in Section 2:

- (i) (cf. Section 2) $U^n(x) := \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i)$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$;
- (ii) (cf. Definition 2.4) For an n -tuple $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$ of integers $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$, $U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) := \bigcup \{U^n((k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n)) \mid m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.
- (iii) (cf. Definition 2.1) For a subset E of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ and an integer a' with $1 \leq a' \leq n$, $E_{\kappa^n} := \{x \in E \mid \{x\} \text{ is open in } (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)\} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in E \mid x_i \text{ is odd for each integer } i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n\}$;
 $E_{\text{mix}(a')} := \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in E \mid \#\{i \mid x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = a'\}$. Sometimes, the set $E_{\text{mix}(n)}$ is denoted by $E_{\mathcal{F}^n}$.

Definition 3.1 For a subset E of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, $U^n(E) := \bigcup \{U^n(x) \mid x \in E\}$.

Then, $U^n(E_{\kappa^n}) = E_{\kappa^n}$, where $E_{\kappa^n} = E \cap (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ (cf. Definition 2.1); $U^n(E_{\text{mix}(a')}) = \bigcup \{U^n(x) \mid x \in E_{\text{mix}(a')}\}$.

Theorem 3.2 Let V be a nonempty open subset of the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ and $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$ an n -tuple of integers $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$.

- (i) A subset $U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)})$ is regular open, where $a = \#\{i \mid k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ and $1 \leq a \leq n$.
- (ii) A subset $U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n})$ is regular open and $U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}) = (V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$, where $k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$.

Proof. Put $V^1 = V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$.

(i) We show that $\text{Int}(Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}))) = U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$. Since $U^n(A)$ is open for any subset A in general, we prove only the following implication: $\text{Int}(Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}))) \subset U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$.

Let $x \in \text{Int}(Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})))$. We claim that $x \in U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$.

Case 1. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$:

$\{x\} \subset Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}))$ holds and so $x \in U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$, because $\{x\}$ is an open set containing x .

Case 2. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}$, where $a' \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $1 \leq a' \leq n$:

There exists the basic open neighbourhood $U^n(x)$ of x such that

$U^n(x) \subset Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}))$. Set $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$. Assume $\{i \mid x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a')\} (e(1) < e(2) < \dots < e(a'))$. We note that $U(x_{e(s)}) = \{x_{e(s)} - 1, x_{e(s)}, x_{e(s)} + 1\}$ where s is an integer with $1 \leq s \leq a'$. Then we can take the exactly $2^{a'}$ open singletons $\{q^{(\alpha)}\} \subset U^n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i) (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$ and so $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$. For each point $q^{(\alpha)} (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$, there exists a point $y^{(\alpha)} \in (V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}$ such that $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(\alpha)})$. We have that $y^{(\alpha)} \in (U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)}$, $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(\alpha)})$, $q^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(x))_{\kappa^n}$ and $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}$ for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'} (1 \leq a' \leq n)$. Since $a = \#\{i \mid k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$, we have that $(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$. Thus we can use Lemma 2.5(ii) for the points $y^{(\alpha)} (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$. By using Lemma 2.5(ii) repeatedly, it is shown that $y^{(1)} = y^{(2)} = y^{(3)} = \dots = y^{(2^{a'})}$. Then, we have that $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(1)})$ and $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(x)$ for each integer α with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$. Since $y^{(1)} \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, $U^n(y^{(1)})$ contains exactly 2^a open singletons and $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(1)}) (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$. Thus, we have that $2^{a'} \leq 2^a$. Then, $U^n(y^{(1)}) \cap U^n(x)$ contains exactly the $2^{a'}$ open singletons $\{q^{(1)}\}, \{q^{(2)}\}, \{q^{(3)}\}, \dots, \{q^{(2^{a'})}\}$. Then, using Lemma 2.3(iii), we have that $x \in U^n(y^{(1)})$. Since $y^{(1)} \in (V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, we conclude that $x \in U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$.

By Case 1 and Case 2, we prove that $U^n((V^1)_{\text{mix}(a)})$ is regular open.

(ii) We prove that a subset $U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$ is regular open, where $\{i \mid k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Let x be a point of $\text{Int}(Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})))$. We claim that $x \in U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$ for the following cases.

Case 1. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n} : \{x\} \subset Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n}))$ holds and so $x \in U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$, because $\{x\}$ is an open set containing x .

Case 2. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a')}$, where a' is an integer with $1 \leq a' \leq n$: It is proved that this case does not occur under our assumptions. There exists the smallest open neighbourhood $U^n(x)$ of x such that $U^n(x) \subset Cl(U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n}))$. Put $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where $x_i \in \mathbf{Z} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Assume that $\{i \mid x_i \text{ is even} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a')\}$. We note that $U(x_{e(s)}) = \{x_{e(s)} - 1, x_{e(s)}, x_{e(s)} + 1\}$ where s is an integer with $1 \leq s \leq a'$. Then we can take the exactly $2^{a'}$ open singletons $\{q^{(\alpha)}\} \subset U^n(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n U(x_i) \ (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$ such that $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$. Put $q^{(\alpha)} = (Q_1^{(\alpha)}, Q_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, Q_n^{(\alpha)})$, where $Q_i^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{Z} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then, we have that, by Lemma 2.5(i), for distinct integers α and β with $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'}$ and $1 \leq \beta \leq 2^{a'}$,

$$(*) \quad Q_i^{(\alpha)} - Q_i^{(\beta)} \in \{0, 2, -2\} \text{ for each integer } i \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

For each point $q^{(\alpha)}$, there exists a point $y^{(\alpha)} \in (V^1)_{\kappa^n}$ such that $q^{(\alpha)} \in U^n(y^{(\alpha)}) = \{y^{(\alpha)}\}$. Thus, we have that $y^{(\alpha)} = q^{(\alpha)}$ for each integer α . Since $y^{(\alpha)} \in (U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$, there exist a point $z^{(\alpha)} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ such that $y^{(\alpha)} \in (U^n(z^{(\alpha)}))_{\kappa^n}$ and $z^{(\alpha)} = (k_1 + 6m_1^{(\alpha)}, k_2 + 6m_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, k_n + 6m_n^{(\alpha)})$ for some integers $m_i^{(\alpha)} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Since $k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\}$ for every i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, by assumption, we have that $z^{(\alpha)} \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(0)} = (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$. Using Lemma 2.2 for the points $y^{(\alpha)}$ and $z^{(\alpha)}$, we have that $y^{(\alpha)} = z^{(\alpha)}$. Using $(*)$ above, the difference between each i -components of $z^{(\alpha)}$ and $z^{(\beta)} \ (\alpha \neq \beta)$ is 0, 2 or -2 , because $y^{(\alpha)} = q^{(\alpha)} = z^{(\alpha)}$ for every α . If there exist i -components of two points $z^{(\alpha)}$ and $z^{(\beta)}$ such that $k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)} - (k_i + 6m_i^{(\beta)}) \in \{2, -2\}$, then we have that $0 \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$ or $0 \equiv -2 \pmod{6}$ and so this case does not occur. Thus, we conclude that, for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, $k_i + 6m_i^{(\alpha)} - (k_i + 6m_i^{(\beta)}) = 0$ hold (i.e., $m_i^{(\alpha)} = m_i^{(\beta)} \ (1 \leq i \leq n)$) and so $q^{(\alpha)} = q^{(\beta)}$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. This contradicts the definition of the open singletons $\{q^{(\alpha)}\} \ (1 \leq \alpha \leq 2^{a'})$. Then, Case 2 does not occur.

By Case 1 and Case 2, we proved that $U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$ is regular open. It is obvious that, for a point $w \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $w \in U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n})$ if and only if $w \in (V^1)_{\kappa^n}$. Thus we have the desired equality: $U^n((V^1)_{\kappa^n}) = (V^1)_{\kappa^n}$. $\square$

The following examples suggest that every nonempty open set of the digital n -space can be expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets (cf. Example 3.3, Example 4.2(ii) below).

Example 3.3 (i) Throughout this example (i), assume that $k_j = 1$ for each integer j with $2 \leq j \leq n$. Let $V := H \times (\prod_{j=2}^n \{k_j\})$, where $H = \{2c + 1 \mid c \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. The set V is open; it is not regular open. Indeed, it is shown that $\text{Int}(Cl(V)) = \text{Int}(\mathbf{Z} \times (\prod_{j=2}^n \{k_j - 1, k_j, k_j + 1\})) = \mathbf{Z} \times (\prod_{j=2}^n \{k_j\})$ and so $\text{Int}(Cl(V)) \neq V$; V is not regular open. We have that $(V \cap U(1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} = V \cap U(1, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \{(6m_1 + 1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \mid m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Similarly we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (V \cap U(3, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} &= \{(6m_1 + 3, k_2, \dots, k_n) \mid m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\}; \\ (V \cap U(5, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} &= \{(6m_1 + 5, k_2, \dots, k_n) \mid m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\}; \\ (V \cap U(0, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} &= (V \cap U(1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} \cup (V \cap U(5, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}; \\ (V \cap U(2, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} &= (V \cap U(1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} \cup (V \cap U(3, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}; \\ (V \cap U(4, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} &= (V \cap U(3, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} \cup (V \cap U(5, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that $V = \{(6m_1 + 1, k_2, \dots, k_n) | m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{(6m_1 + 3, k_2, \dots, k_n) | m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{(6m_1 + 5, k_2, \dots, k_n) | m_1 \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \bigcup \{(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} | k_1 \in \{1, 3, 5\}\}$. Therefore, V is expressible as the union of three regular open sets $(V \cap U(1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$, $(V \cap U(3, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$ and $(V \cap U(5, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$ (cf. Theorem 3.2(ii)). This suggests Lemma 4.1 below and so Theorem 1.1, i.e., *every open set of the digital n -space can be expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets*.

(ii) Throughout this example (ii), assume that $k_j = 2$ for each integer j with $2 \leq j \leq n$. Let $V := \bigcup \{U^n((4c, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | c \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Then, V is open; it is not regular open. Indeed, we have that $\text{Int}(Cl(V)) = \mathbf{Z} \times (\prod_{j=2}^n \text{Int} A_j) = \mathbf{Z} \times (\prod_{j=2}^n B_j) \neq V$, where $A_j := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $B_j := U(2) = \{1, 2, 3\}$ ($2 \leq j \leq n$). Since $V \cap U(0, k_2, \dots, k_n) = \bigcup \{U^n((4c - 1, 4c, 4c + 1) \cap \{6m_1 - 1, 6m_1, 6m_1 + 1\}) \times \prod_{j=2}^n (B_j \cap \{1 + 6m_j, 2 + 6m_j, 3 + 6m_j\}) | c \in \mathbf{Z}\} | m_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)$, it is shown that $(V \cap U(0, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)} = \{(12s, k_2, \dots, k_n) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Thus, we show that $U^n((V \cap U(0, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)}) = \bigcup \{U^n((12s, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Similarly, we show that

$$U^n((V \cap U(2, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)}) = \bigcup \{U^n((12s + 8, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\};$$

$$U^n((V \cap U(4, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)}) = \bigcup \{U^n((12s + 4, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Since V is expressible as $V = \bigcup \{U^n((12s, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup (\bigcup \{U^n((12s + 4, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\}) \cup (\bigcup \{U^n((12s + 8, k_2, \dots, k_n)) | s \in \mathbf{Z}\})$, V is expressible as the union of three regular open sets $U^n((V \cap U(0, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)})$, $U^n((V \cap U(2, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)})$ and $U^n((V \cap U(4, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(n)})$ (cf. Theorem 3.2(i)). This also suggests Lemma 4.1 below and so Theorem 1.1, i.e., *every nonempty open set of the digital n -space can be expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets*.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.1 In this section, using Lemma 4.1 below and Theorem 3.2, we prove that every nonempty open set of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ is expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets (Theorem 1.1).

Lemma 4.1 *Let V be a nonempty open set in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. Then, $V = \bigcup \{V_a | a \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq a \leq n\}$ holds,*

where $V_0 := \bigcup \{(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} | k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ and

$V_a := \bigcup \{U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)}) | k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n), a = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}\}$ for integer a with $1 \leq a \leq n$.

Proof. First we show that $V \subset \bigcup \{V_a | a \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq a \leq n\}$. Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in V$, where $x_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. For the integer $x_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$, there exists a unique integer $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ such that $x_i = k_i + 6m_i$ for some integers $m_i (1 \leq i \leq n)$. We note that $\#\{i | x_i \text{ is even} (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$. Since $x \in V$ and V is open in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, there exists the smallest open neighbourhood $U^n(x)$ of x such that $U^n(x) \subset V$. Thus, we have that, for $x \in V$, $x \in V \cap U^n((k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n)) \subset V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$.

Case 1. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$, where $1 \leq a \leq n$: Then, $a = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ holds. It is shown that, for $x \in V_{\text{mix}(a)}$, $x \in (V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)} \subset U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)})$. Thus, we have that

(*) $V_{\text{mix}(a)} \subset \bigcup \{U^n((V \cap U(k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_n))_{\text{mix}(a)}) | k'_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n), a = \#\{i | k'_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}\}$, (i.e., $V_{\text{mix}(a)} \subset V_a$).

Case 2. $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$: By an argument similar to that in Case 1, it is shown that

(**) $V_{\kappa^n} \subset \bigcup \{U^n((V \cap U(k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_n))_{\kappa^n}) | k'_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ (i.e., $V_{\kappa^n} \subset V_0$).

Using (*) and (**), we have that $V = V_{\kappa^n} \cup (\bigcup \{V_{\text{mix}(a)} | a \in \mathbf{Z}, 1 \leq a \leq n\}) \subset \bigcup \{V_j | j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$. Finally, we claim that $\bigcup \{V_j | j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\} \subset V$. Let $x \in \bigcup \{V_j | j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$. Then, there exists an integer a such that $0 \leq a \leq n$ and $x \in V_a$. If $1 \leq a \leq n$, then there exists a point $z \in (V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)} \subset V$ such that $x \in U^n(z)$ for

some integers $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$ with $a = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$. If $a = 0$, then there exists a point $z \in (V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} \subset V$ such that $x \in U^n(z)$ for some integers $k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. For both cases, we have that $x \in U^n(z)$ and $z \in V$. Since $U^n(z)$ is the smallest open neighbourhood of z , $U^n(z) \subset V$ and so $x \in V$. We conclude that $\bigcup \{V_j | j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\} \subset V$ holds and hence $V = \bigcup \{V_j | j \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n\}$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let V be any nonempty open set in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. By Lemma 4.1, it is obtained that $V = \bigcup \{V_a | a \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq a \leq n\}$ holds,

where $V_0 := \bigcup \{(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} | k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ and $V_a := \bigcup \{U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)}) | k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n), a = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$ for integer a with $1 \leq a \leq n$.

We note that the set $V_a, 1 \leq a \leq n$, is a finite union of the following subsets: $U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)})$, where $k_i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Using Theorem 3.2, the set $U^n((V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\text{mix}(a)})$ is regular open, where $a = \#\{i | k_i \in \{0, 2, 4\} (1 \leq i \leq n)\} (1 \leq a \leq n)$, and the set $(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n}$ is regular open, where $k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. Therefore, every open set is expressible as the union of finitely many nonempty regular open sets in $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$. $\square$

Example 4.2 (i) In Example 3.3, it is shown that the open sets V in Example 3.3 are expressible as the union of finitely many regular open sets.

(ii) Let $V := (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ be the set of all open singletons of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ (cf. Definition 2.1(i)). The open set V above is expressible as the union of finitely many regular open sets as follows. By Lemma 4.1 or Proof of Theorem 1.1, V is expressible as $V = \bigcup \{(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} | k_j \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq j \leq n)\}$, where $(V \cap U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} = (U(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))_{\kappa^n} = \{(k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n) | m_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)\} (k_j \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq j \leq n))$. Namely, the open set V is expressible as the union of 3^n regular open sets $\{(k_1 + 6m_1, k_2 + 6m_2, \dots, k_n + 6m_n) | m_i \in \mathbf{Z} (1 \leq i \leq n)\}$, where $k_i \in \{1, 3, 5\} (1 \leq i \leq n)$. We note that the set V is not regular open. It is claimed that $Cl(V) = \mathbf{Z}^n$ and so $Int(Cl(V)) = \mathbf{Z}^n \neq V$. Indeed, first let x be a point such that $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)}$ and $1 \leq a \leq n-1$ (i.e., $1 \leq \#\{i | x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} \leq n-1$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$). Put $\{i | x_i \text{ is even } (1 \leq i \leq n)\} = \{e(1), e(2), \dots, e(a)\}$ and $\{j | x_j \text{ is odd } (1 \leq j \leq n)\} = \{o(1), o(2), \dots, o(n-a)\}$. Define a point $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ as follows:

$$y_{e(s)} := x_{e(s)} + 1, y_{o(t)} := x_{o(t)}, \text{ where } 1 \leq s \leq a \text{ and } 1 \leq t \leq n-a.$$

Then, we show that $x \in \prod_{j=1}^n \{y_j - 1, y_j, y_j + 1\} = \prod_{j=1}^n Cl(\{y_j\}) \subset Cl(V)$. Namely, we show that $(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)} \subset Cl(V)$, where $1 \leq a \leq n-1$. Finally let x be a point such that $x \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(n)}$ (i.e., x_i is even for all i with $1 \leq i \leq n$). Define a point $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ as follows:

$y_i := x_i + 1$ for all integers i . Then, it is similarly shown that $x \in Cl(V)$, i.e., $(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(n)} \subset Cl(V)$. Therefore, we show that $\mathbf{Z}^n = Cl(V)$, because $\mathbf{Z}^n = (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n} \cup (\bigcup \{(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(a)} | 1 \leq a \leq n-1\}) \cup (\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\text{mix}(n)} \subset Cl(V)$, and so $Int(Cl(V)) = \mathbf{Z}^n \neq V$. Namely V is not regular open. The set $(\mathbf{Z}^n)_{\kappa^n}$ is called as the *open screen* [12, p.178] for $n = 2$.

As a corollary, we have [8, Theorem A] for the digital line (resp. [8, Theorem C] for the digital plane). Moreover we have the following corollaries.

For a topological space (X, τ) , let τ_δ be the family of all δ -open sets of (X, τ) . Namely, for a subset A of (X, τ) , $A \in \tau_\delta$ if and only if A is the union of regular open sets (e.g. [4, p.16]) and τ_δ is a topology of X [16, lemma 3]. The following family of subsets of (X, τ) , denoted by $\tau_{f\delta}$, is used in Corollary 4.3 below:

$\tau_{f\delta} := \{U \in P(X) | U \text{ is the union of finitely many regular open sets of } (X, \tau)\}$; clearly $\tau_{f\delta} \subset \tau_\delta \subset \tau$ hold in general.

Corollary 4.3 *For the digital n -space $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$, $\kappa^n = (\kappa^n)_{f\delta} = (\kappa^n)_\delta$ hold. $\square$*

Corollary 4.4 *Let $f : (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ be a function. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) $f : (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ is continuous;
- (2) for each $x \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ and each open neighborhood U of $f(x)$, there exists a regular open subset V such that $x \in V$ and $f(V) \subset U$. $\square$

Remark 4.5 (i) The notion of a π -set was introduced by Zaicev[17]. Zolotarev [18] proved that in metric space every closed set is a π -set [18, Theorem 1](i.e., every closed set is the intersection of finitely many regular closed sets). The digital n -space is not a metric space, because it is not T_1 . By Theorem 1.1, it is shown that in the digital n -space every closed set is π -set.

(ii) The proof of Theorem 1.1 shows explicitly a construction of the union of **finitely many** regular open sets of $(\mathbf{Z}^n, \kappa^n)$ (cf. Theorem 3.2, Definitions 3.1, 2.4, 2.1).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Cao, M. Ganster, I. Reilly and M. Steiner, δ -closure, θ -closure and generalized closed sets, *Applied General Topology*, **6**(2005), 79–86.
- [2] R. Devi, K. Bhuvaneswari and H. Maki, Weak form on $g\rho$ -closed sets, where $\rho \in \{\alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{**}\}$, and digital planes, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **25** (2004), 37–54.
- [3] R. Devi, S.N. Rajappriya, K. Muthukumaraswamy and H. Maki, ξ -closed sets in topological spaces and digital planes, *Scientia Mathematicae Japonicae*, **64**(2006), 533–549; **e-2006**, 615–631.
- [4] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On δ -generalized closed sets and $T_{\frac{3}{4}}$ -spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser. A, Math.*, **17**(1996), 15–31.
- [5] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, On θ -generalized closed sets, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, **22** (2) (1999), 239–249.
- [6] J. Dontchev and H. Maki, Groups of θ -generalized homeomorphisms and the digital line, *Topology Appl.*, **83** (1999), 113–128.
- [7] W. Dunham, $T_{1/2}$ -spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **17** (1977), 161–169.
- [8] F. Fujimoto, S. Takigawa, J. Dontchev, T. Noiri and H. Maki, Topological properties and groups of digital n -spaces, *Kochi J. Math.*, **1**(2006), 31–55.
- [9] F. Fujimoto, H. Maki, T. Noiri and S. Takigawa, The digital plane is quasi-submaximal, *Q and A in General Topology*, **22**(2004), 163–168.
- [10] E.D. Khalimsky, R. Kopperman and P.R. Meyer, Computer graphics and connected topologies on finite ordered sets, *Topology Appl.*, **36** (1990), 1–17.
- [11] T.Y. Kong, R. Kopperman and P.R. Meyer, A topological approach to digital topology, *Am. Math. Monthly.*, **98** (1991), 901–917.
- [12] V. Kovalevsky and R. Kopperman, Some topology-based image processing algorithms, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, Papers on General Topology and Applications*, Vol. **728** (1994), 174–182.

- [13] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **19(2)**(1970), 89–96.
- [14] H. Maki, H. Ogata, K. Balachandran, P. Sundaram and R. Devi, The digital line and operation approaches of $T_{1/2}$ -spaces, *Sci.Math.*, **3**(2000), 345–352.
- [15] T. Noiri, Modified open and closed sets (semi-open sets etc), *Section A-2 in Encyclopedia of General Topology; edited by K.P. Hart, J. Nagata and J.E. Vaughan*, Elsevier (2004), 8–10.
- [16] N.V. Veličko, H -closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **78** (1968), 103–118.
- [17] V.I. Zaicev, Some classes of topological spaces and their bicompatifications, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **9** (1968), 192–193.
- [18] V.P. Zolotarev, π -sets, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **17** (1976), 1277–1279.

Shin-ya TAKIGAWA

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Culture and Education
Saga University, Saga 840-8502 Japan

Haruo MAKI

Wakagidai 2-10-13, Fukutsu-shi, Fukuoka
811-3221 Japan