

POSITIVE IMPLICATIVE HYPER K -IDEALS II

T.ROODBARI , M.M.ZAHEDI

Received August 15, 2006; revised May 5, 2007

ABSTRACT. In this note first we define the notions of positive implicative hyper K -ideals of types 1, 2, ..., 26, 27. Also we define the notions of commutative hyper K -ideals of types 1, 2, ..., 8, 9. Then we give many examples to show that these notions are different together. Finally we prove some theorems and obtain some related results. In particular we determine the relationships between the implicative hyper K -ideals and commutative hyper K -ideals of a hyper K -algebra of order 3, which satisfies the simple condition.

1 Introduction

The hyperalgebraic structure theory was introduced by F. Marty [7] in 1934. Imai and Iseki [5] in 1966 introduced the notion of BCK-algebra. Borzooei, Jun and Zahedi et.al. [3,9] applied the hyperstructure to BCK-algebra and introduced the concept of hyper K -algebra which is a generalization of BCK-algebra. Boorzooei and Zahedi [4] introduced 8 different types of positive implicative hyper K -ideals also Torkzadeh and Zahedi [8] introduced 4 different types of commutative hyper K -ideals. In this note we define 27 different types of positive implicative hyper K -ideals and 9 different types of commutative hyper K -ideals. Then we obtain some results as mentioned in the abstract.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [3] Let H be a nonempty set and " $\circ$ " be a *hyperoperation* on H , that is " $\circ$ " is a function from $H \times H$ to $P^*(H) = P(H) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$. Then H is called a hyper k -algebra if it contains a constant " 0 " and satisfies the following axioms:

- (HK1) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ z) \leq x \circ y$,
- (HK2) $(x \circ y) \circ z = (x \circ z) \circ y$,
- (HK3) $x < x$,
- (HK4) $x < y, y < x \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (HK5) $0 < x$.

for all $x, y, z \in H$, where $x < y$ is defined by $0 \in x \circ y$ and for every $A, B \subseteq H$, $A < B$ is defined by $\exists a \in A, \exists b \in B$ such that $a < b$. Note that if $A, B \subseteq H$, then by $A \circ B$ we mean the subset $\bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b$ of H .

From now $(H, \circ, 0)$ is a hyper K -ideal, unless otherwise is stated.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03B47, 06F35, 03G25.

Key words and phrases. hyper K -algebra, positive implicative hyper K -ideal, commutative hyper K -ideal.

Theorem 2.2. [3] For all $x, y, z \in H$ and for all non-empty subsets A, B and C of H the following statements hold:

- | | |
|--|--|
| (i) $x \circ y < z \Leftrightarrow x \circ z < y$, | (ii) $(x \circ z) \circ (x \circ y) < y \circ z$, |
| (iii) $x \circ (x \circ y) < y$, | (iv) $x \circ y < x$, |
| (v) $A \subseteq B$ implies $A < B$, | (vi) $x \in x \circ 0$, |
| (vii) $(A \circ C) \circ (A \circ B) < B \circ C$, | (viii) $(A \circ C) \circ (B \circ C) < (A \circ B)$, |
| (ix) $A \circ B < C \Leftrightarrow A \circ C < B$, | (x) $A \circ B < A$, |
| (xi) $(A \circ C) \circ B = (A \circ B) \circ C$. | |

Definition 2.3. [3] Let I be a nonempty subset of H and $0 \in I$. Then,

- (i) I is called a weak hyper K -ideal of H if $x \circ y \subseteq I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$, for all $x, y \in H$.
(ii) I is called a hyper K -ideal of H if $x \circ y < I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$, for all $x, y \in H$.

Definition 2.4. [3] Let I be a nonempty subset of H . Then we say that I satisfies the additive condition if for all $x, y \in H$, $x < y$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$.

Definition 2.5. [3] Let H be a hyper K -algebra. An element $a \in H$ is called to be a left(resp. right) scalar if $|a \circ x| = 1$ (resp. $|x \circ a| = 1$) for all $x \in H$.

Definition 2.6. [4] Let $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a hyper K -algebra. We say that H satisfies the simple condition if the conditions $1 \not< 2$ and $2 \not< 1$ holds.

Definition 2.7. [1] A nonempty subset I of H is called an implicative hyper K -ideal if it satisfies: (i) $0 \in I$,

- (ii) $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ x) < I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $x \in I$, for all $x, y, z \in I$.

Theorem 2.8. Let I be a nonempty subset of H and $0 \in I$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$,
(ii) $(x \circ y) < I$ and $y \in I$ imply that $x \in I$.

3 Positive implicative hyper K -ideals

Definition 3.1. Let I be a nonempty subset of H such that $0 \in I$. Then I is called a positive implicative hyper K -ideal of

- (i) type 1, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $(x \circ y) \circ z \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
(ii) type 2, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
(iii) type 3, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
(iv) type 4, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
(v) type 5, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
(vi) type 6, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
(vii) type 7, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,

- (viii) type 8, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (ix) type 9, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (x) type 10, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xi) type 11, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (xii) type 12, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xiii) type 13, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (xiv) type 14, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xv) type 15, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xvi) type 16, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xvii) type 17, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xviii) type 18, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (xix) type 19, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xx) type 20, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (xxi) type 21, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $(x \circ y) \circ z < I$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xxii) type 22, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$,
- (xxiii) type 23, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xxiv) type 24, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) \subseteq I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xxv) type 25, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $x \circ z < I$,
- (xxvi) type 26, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (xxvii) type 27, if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $y \circ z < I$ imply that $(x \circ z) \subseteq I$.

For simplicity of notation we use "PIHKI" instead of "Positive Implicative Hyper K -ideal".

Theorem 3.2. Let I be a hyper K -ideal of H , $A \subseteq H$ and $b \in I$. Then $(A \circ b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ implies that $(A \cap I) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $(A \circ b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $b \in I$, then there exists $t \in (A \circ b) \cap I$. So $t \in (a \circ b)$ and $t \in I$ for some $a \in A$. Then $(a \circ b) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Now I is a hyper K -ideal ,thus $a \in I$ and hence $A \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.3 Let I be a hyper K -ideal of H . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(x \circ y) < I$,
- (ii) $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let $x \circ y < I$, then there exists $a \in I$ and $t \in x \circ y$ such that $t < a$. Thus $0 \in t \circ a$ and hence $(x \circ y) \circ a \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Therefore by Theorem 3.2 $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) It is obvious.

Theorem 3.4. If I is a hyper K -ideal of H and A and B are nonempty subsets of H , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) $(A \circ B) < I$,
- (ii) $(A \circ B) \cap I \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.5. (i) The following table shows that a hyper K -algebra structure on $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

It is easy to check that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI hyper K -ideal of types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 22, 23, 25, 26.

(ii) Let $(X, \star, 0)$ be a BCK -algebra and define a hyper operation $\circ$ on X by $x \circ y = \{x \star y\}$ for all $x, y \in I$. If I is a positive implicative ideal of the BCK -algebra of X , then $(I, \star, 0)$ is a PIHKI of types 1, 2, 3, ..., 27 .

Theorem 3.6. Let $0 \in H$ be a right scalar element and I be a PIHKI of type 11, 13, 14, 21, 22 or 24. Then I is a hyper K -ideal .

Proof. Let $x, y \in H$, I be a PIHKI of type 11 , $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in I$. Since $0 \in H$ is a right scalar element , we have $((x \circ y) \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $\{y\} = y \circ 0 \subseteq I$. Thus $\{x\} = x \circ 0 \subseteq I$, then $x \in I$. Therefore I is a hyper K -ideal. The proof of each of the PIHKI of types 13, 14, 20, 21, 22, 24 is the same as above.

Example 3.7.(i) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{1, 2\}$
2	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

Then $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 21, while I is not a hyper K -ideal, because $(2 \circ 1) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \in I$, but $2 \notin I$. Also we see that $0 \in H$ is not a right scalar element.

(ii) Consider the following hyper K -algebra

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

We see that $0 \in H$ is not a right scalar element and $I = \{0, 2\}$ is PIHKI of types 11, 14, 22, 24, while I is not a hyper K -ideal, because $(1 \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $2 \in I$, but $1 \notin I$. $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 13, while I is not a hyper K -ideal, because $2 \circ 1 \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \in I$, but $2 \notin I$.

Note that Example 3.7 shows that the condition " $0 \in H$ is a right scalar" is necessary in Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.8. Let $0 \in H$ be a right scalar element and I is additive. If I is a PIHKI of type 12, 15, 16, 19 or 23, then I is a weak hyper K -ideal.

Proof. Let I be a PIHKI of type 12, $x, y \in H$, $(x \circ y) \subseteq I$ and $y \in I$. Since $0 \in H$ is a right scalar element $((x \circ y) \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ 0) \subseteq I$ imply that $x \circ 0 < I$. So there exists $t \in x \circ 0$ and $i \in I$ such that $t < i$, i.e. $x \circ 0 < i$. Therefore $x \circ i < 0$, hence there exists $k \in x \circ i$ such that $k < 0$. Since $0 < k$, thus $k=0$. Thus $0 \in x \circ i$ and hence $x < i$. Now since I is an additive, then we get that $x \in I$. Therefore I is weak hyper K -ideal. The proofs of PIHKI of types 15, 16, 19, 23 are the same as above.

Theorem 3.9. Let $0 \in H$ be a right scalar element of H and I is PIHKI of type 18, 20, 26 or 27. Then I is a weak hyper K -ideal.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.8.

Example 3.10. (i) Consider the following hyper K -algebra

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

In this example $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of types 12, 15, 16, 19, 23, while I is not a hyper K -ideal. Also we see that I is not additive and $0 \in H$ is not a right scalar.

(ii) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{1, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{0, \}$

We see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 25, but it is not of type 14. Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(2 \circ 0) \cap I = \emptyset$. Note that here the simple condition dose not satisfy.

Theorem 3.11. Let H be a hyper K -algebra of order 3 such that H satisfies the simple condition and $I \neq \{0\}$. Then I is a PIHKI of type 14 if and only if I is a PIHKI of type 25.

Proof. With out loss of generality assume that $I = \{0, 1\}$.

($\Rightarrow$) On the contrary, let $I = \{0, 1\}$ does not be a PIHKI of type 14. Then there exists $x, y, z \in H$ such that $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(x \circ z) \cap I = \emptyset$. Then $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $(y \circ z) < I$. So by hypothesis $2 = x \circ z < I = \{0, 1\}$ which is impossible, because H satisfies the simple condition.

($\Leftarrow$) On the contrary, let $I = \{0, 1\}$ does not be a PIHKI of type 25. Then there exists $x, y, z \in H$ such that $(x \circ y) \circ z < I$, $y \circ z < I$ and $(x \circ z) \not< I$, then $(x \circ z) = 2$. Since $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I = \{0, 1\}$, $(y \circ z) < I$ and H satisfies the simple condition then $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. By hypothesis $(x \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, i.e. $2 \in I$, which is impossible.

Open problem 3.12. Is there an example of PIHKI of type 14 that it is not of PIHKI of type 25?

Notation : Let $I \subseteq H$ and $a \in I$. Then we let $I_a = \{x \in H | (x \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset\}$.

Theorem 3.13. Let H be a hyper K -algebra. Then I is a PIHKI of type 14 if and only if for all $a \in H$, I_a is a hyper K -ideal.

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$) Let for all $x, y, a \in H$, $(x \circ y) \cap I_a \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in I_a$. Then $(x \circ y) \circ a \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Since I is a PIHKI of type 14, then $(x \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Hence $x \in I_a$.

($\Leftarrow$) Let for all $x, y, a \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(y \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Since I_a is a hyper K -ideal, we get that $x \in I$.

Theorem 3.14 . Let I be a PIHKI of type 14 and I be a hyper K -ideal. Then for all $a \in H$, I_a is the least hyper K -ideal containing $I \cup \{a\}$.

Proof. Let $x \in I$. Since $0 \in ((x \circ a) \circ x)$, we get that $((x \circ a) \circ x) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Then $x \in I_a$ and hence $I \subseteq I_a$. Now $0 \in (a \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, then Hence $a \in I_a$. Let B be a hyper K -ideal of H containing $I \cup \{a\}$. We show that $I_a \subseteq B$. If $x \in I_a$, then $(x \circ a) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Since $I \subseteq B$ we get that $(x \circ a) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ and $a \in B$, then $x \in B$. Therefore $I_a \subseteq B$.

Theorem 3.15. Let I be a nonempty subsets of H . Then the following statements hold:

- (i) If I is a PIHKI of type 4, then I is PIHKI of types 1,6,
- (ii) If I is a PIHKI of type 5, then I is PIHKI of types 2,6 ,
- (iii) If I is a PIHKI of type 6, then I is a PIHKI of type 3,
- (iv) If I is a PIHKI of type 8, then I is a PIHKI of type 7,
- (v) If I is a PIHKI of type 9, then I is PIHKI of types 7,8,
- (vi) If I is a PIHKI of type 11, then I is PIHKI of types 10,12 ,
- (vii) If I is a PIHKI of type 10, then I is a PIHKI of type 12,
- (viii) If I is a PIHKI of type 13, then I is PIHKI of types 14,15,
- (ix) If I is a PIHKI of type 14, then I is a PIHKI of 15,
- (x) If I is a PIHKI of type 18, then I is a PIHKI of 16, 17,
- (xi) If I is a PIHKI of type 17, then I is a PIHKI of type 16,
- (xii) If I is a PIHKI of type 20, then I is a PIHKI of type 3,
- (xiii) If I is a PIHKI of type 21, then I is a PIHKI of type 19,
- (xiv) If I is a PIHKI of type 24, then I is a PIHKI of type 23,
- (xv) If I is a PIHKI of type 22, then I a PIHKI of type 24,
- (xvi) If I is a PIHKI of type 27 , then I is is a PIHKI of type 26.

Proof. The proof is straightforward.

Example 3.16. (i) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

We can see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 6 , while I is not PIHKI of type 4, because $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \subseteq I$ and $(1 \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(2 \circ 0) \not\subseteq I$.

(ii) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

We can see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 7 , while I is not PIHKI of type 8, because $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \subseteq I$ and $(1 \circ 0) < I$,but $(2 \circ 0) \cap I = \emptyset$. Also $I = \{0, 1\}$ is not a PIHKI of type 9. Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \subseteq I$ and $(1 \circ 0) < I$, but $(2 \circ 0) \not\subseteq I$.

(iii) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

$I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 10 , but I is not PIHKI of type 11, because $((0 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 2) \subseteq I$, but $(0 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(iv) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{1, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

$I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 12 , but I is not PIHKI of type 11. Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 0) \subseteq I$, but $(2 \circ 0) \not\subseteq I$.

(v) the following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1, 2\}$

$I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 15 , but I is not PIHKI of type 13. Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(2 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(vi) Consider Example 3.16(iii) . $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 14, but I is not PIHKI of type 13, because $((0 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,but $(0 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(vii) The table in Example 3.16(ii) shows that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 15, but I is not PIHKI of type 14. Since $(2 \circ 1) \circ 0 \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(2 \circ 0) \cap I = \emptyset$.

(viii) The following table shows that a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$

$I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 16 and I is not PIHKI of type 18 , because $((2 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,but $(2 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(ix) Consider Example 3.16(iii). We see that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 17, while I is not a PIHKI of type 18. Since $((0 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \circ 2 < I$, but $(0 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(x) Example 3.16(ii) shows that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 16, while I is not a PIHKI of type 17 . Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $(1 \circ 0) < I$, but $(2 \circ 0) \cap I = \emptyset$.

(xi) Consider Example 3.16(ii). We see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a of type 19, while I is not PIHKI of type 20 . Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $1 \circ 2 \cap I \neq \emptyset$, but $(1 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(xii) Example 3.16(iii) shows that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 24, while I is not a PIHKI of type 22 , because $((0 \circ 1) \circ 2) < I$ and $(1 \circ 2) \subseteq I$, but $(0 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(xiii) Consider Example 3.16(ii). We see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a PIHKI of type 25, but I is not a PIHKI of type 26 . Since $(2 \circ 1) \circ 0 < I$, $(1 \circ 0) < I$ and $(2 \circ 0) \cap I = \emptyset$.

(xiv) Example 3.16(iii) shows that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a PIHKI of type 26, while I is not PIHKI of type 27 , because $(0 \circ 1) \circ 2 < I$ and $(1 \circ 2) < I$, but $(0 \circ 2) \not\subseteq I$.

(xv) Consider Example 3.16(iii) .We see that $I = \{0, 1\}$ is of types 2, 3 , while I is not a PIHKI type 1 . Since $((2 \circ 1) \circ 0) \subseteq I$ and $(1 \circ 0) \subseteq I$, but $(2 \circ 0) \not\subseteq I$.

Theorem 3.17. Let I is a hyper K -ideal of H . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) I is a PIHKI of type 14,
- (ii) I is a PIHKI of type 15,
- (iii) I is a PIHKI of type 16,
- (iv) I is a PIHKI of type 17,
- (v) I is a PIHKI of type 19,
- (vi) I is a PIHKI of type 21,
- (vii) I is a PIHKI of type 25,
- (viii) I is a PIHKI of type 26.

Proof. By considering Theorem 3.4 , the proof is easy.

Theorem 3.18. Let I be a hyper K -ideal. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) I is a PIHKI of type 13,

- (ii) I is a PIHKI of type 18,
- (iii) I is a PIHKI of type 20,
- (iv) I is a PIHKI of type 27.

Proof. By considering Theorem 3.4 , the proof is easy.

Theorem 3.19. Let I be a hyper K -ideal. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) I is a PIHKI of type 10,
- (ii) I is a PIHKI of type 23,
- (iii) I is a PIHKI of type 12,
- (iv) I is a PIHKI of type 24.

Proof. By considering Theorem 3.4, the proof is easy.

4 Commutative hyper K -ideals

Definition 4.1. Let I be a nonempty subset of H such that $0 \in I$. Then I is called a commutative hyper k -ideal of

- (i) type 1 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \subseteq I$,
- (ii) type 2 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (iii) type 3 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) < I$,
- (iv) type 4 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$, $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \subseteq I$,
- (v) type 5 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (vi) type 6 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) \subseteq I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) < I$,
- (vii) type 7 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$, $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \subseteq I$,
- (viii) type 8 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) \cap I \neq \emptyset$,
- (ix) type 9 , if for all $x, y, z \in H$, $((x \circ y) \circ z) < I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $(x \circ (y \circ (y \circ x))) < I$.

For simplicity of notation we use "CHKI " instead of "Commutative hyper K -ideal " .

Example 4.2.(i) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$	$\{0, 2\}$

We see that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a CHKI of types 3, 6, 7, 9, while it is not CHKI of types 1, 2, 4, 5 or 8, because $((1 \circ 0) \circ 2) = \{0, 2\}$ and $2 \in I$, but $(1 \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ 1)))) = 1 \notin I$. Also $I = \{0, 2\}$ is an implicative hyper K -ideal.

(ii) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{0\}$

Then $I = \{0, 1\}$ is CHKI of types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. Also it is $I = \{0, 1\}$ is an implicative hyper K -ideal.

(iii) The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$

In this example $I = \{0, 2\}$ is CHKI of type 9, while it is not of type 2, because $((1 \circ 0) \circ 2) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $2 \in I$, but $(1 \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ 1))) \cap I = \emptyset$. Also $I = \{0, 2\}$ is not an implicative hyper K -ideal, because $(1 \circ 0) \circ (0 \circ 1) < I$ and $0 \in I$, but $1 \notin I$.

Theorem 4.3. Let I be a CHKI of types 1 or 7. Then I is a hyper K -ideal.

Proof. Let $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in I$. Then there exists $z \in (x \circ y) \cap I$. We have $z \in (x \circ 0) \circ y$, so $((x \circ 0) \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Since I is a CHKI of type 1, thus $(x \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ x))) \subseteq I$. Therefore $x \in (x \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ x)))$ implies that $x \in I$. Hence I is a hyper K -ideal.

Theorem 4.4. Let $0 \in H$ be a left scalar and I be an additive CHKI of types 2 or 3. Then I is a hyper K -ideal.

Proof. Let $(x \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, $y \in I$. Then $((x \circ 0) \circ y) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Since I is a CHKI of type 2, thus $(x \circ (0 \circ (0 \circ x))) \cap I \neq \emptyset$, by hypothesis $(x \circ 0) \cap I \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \circ 0 < I$. So there exists $k \in x \circ 0$ and $t \in I$ such that $k < t$, i.e. $(x \circ t) < 0$, hence there exists $z \in (x \circ t)$ such that $z < t$. We have $z = 0$, thus $0 \in x \circ t$. Now since I is an additive, we get that $x \in I$. Hence I is a hyper K -ideal. The proof of the other types is similar to above.

Theorem 4.5. Let $0 \in H$ be a scalar, I is an additive and I be a CHKI of types 5 or 6. Then I is a weak hyper K -ideal.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.6. Let $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a hyper K -algebra of order 3 that satisfies the simple condition and $\{0\} \neq I \subseteq H$. If I is an implicative hyper K -ideal, then I is commutative hyper K -ideal of types 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

Proof. Let I be an implicative hyper K -ideal. Without loss of generality assume that $I = \{0, 1\}$. By the proof of Theorem 4.15[1], H has the following hyper structure .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\} \text{ or } \{0, 1\}$	$\{0\} \text{ or } \{0, 1\}$	$\{0\} \text{ or } \{0, 1\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0\} \text{ or } \{0, 1\}$	$\{1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{0\} \text{ or } \{0, 1\}$

$I \neq \{0\}$ is an implicative hyper K -ideal. Then we show that I is a CHKI of type 1.

Consider the following different cases:

Case (i) : if $x=0$ or $x=1$, then for any $y \in H$, we have $(0 \circ (y \circ (y \circ 0))) \subseteq I$ or $(1 \circ (y \circ (y \circ 1))) \subseteq I$, and hence in these cases we are done,

Case (ii) : if $x=2$, then for any $y, z \in H$, we can see that $((2 \circ y) \circ z) \cap I = \emptyset$. For example let $y=0$, $z=1$. Then $((2 \circ 0) \circ 1) = \{2\} \circ 1 = \{2\}$ and $\{2\} \cap I = \emptyset$. Thus there are nothing to prove, and hence theorem is proved.

The proof of the other types are similar to above .

Example 4.7. The following table shows a hyper K -algebra structure on H .

$\circ$	0	1	2
0	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$	$\{0\}$
1	$\{1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$	$\{0, 1\}$
2	$\{2\}$	$\{2\}$	$\{0, 1\}$

In this example $I = \{0, 2\}$ is a CHKI of type 9, while it is not implicative hyper K -ideal, because $(1 \circ 0) \circ (0 \circ 1) < I$ and $0 \in I$, but $0 \notin I$.

$I = \{0\}$ is a CHKI of types 2 and 9, but it is not an implicative hyper K -ideal, because $(1 \circ 0) \circ (2 \circ 1) < I$ and $0 \in I$, but $1 \notin I$.

Theorem 4.8. Let H be a hyper K -algebra of order 3 such that H satisfies the simple condition . Then $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a CHKI of type 2 if and only if $I = \{0, 1\}$ is a CHKI of type 9.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.11.

Open problem 4.9. Is there an example of CHKI of type 2 that it is not of type 9?

Theorem 4.10. Let H be a hyper K -algebra of order 3 such that H satisfies the simple condition , $I = \{0, 1\}$ and I is CHKI of types 1,2, ..., 8 or 9. Then I is an implicative hyper K -ideal.

Proof. Let I be a CHKI of type 1. We show that $(x \circ z) \circ (y \circ x) < I$ and $z \in I$ imply that $x \in I$. By Theorems 17.3 and 19.3 of [9], we have $2 \circ 0 = \{2\}$, $2 \circ 1 = \{2\}$, $1 \circ 2 = \{1\}$, $1 \circ 0 = \{1\}$, $x \circ y \neq \{0, 2\}$ and $x \circ y \neq \{0, 1, 2\}$ for all $x, y \in H$.

Now, let $x = 2$. In the following statements we show that, this case is impossible.

(i) Let $z=0$. We consider the following subcases :

(a) If $y=0$, then by above we have $(2 \circ 0) \circ (0 \circ 2) = 2 \circ \{0, 1\} = \{2\} \cup \{2\}$. So by hypothesis $(2 \circ 0) \circ (0 \circ 2) < \{0, 1\}$, therefore $\{2\} < \{0, 1\}$, which implies that $2 < 1$. Thus we obtain a contradiction, because H satisfies the simple condition.

(b) If $y=1$, then $(2 \circ 0) \circ (1 \circ 2) = \{2\}$. By hypothesis $\{2\} < \{0, 1\}$. Therefore $2 < 1$, which is a contradiction.

(c) If $y=2$, then $(2 \circ 2) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$. So $(2 \circ 0) \circ (2 \circ 2) \subseteq \{2\}$. By hypothesis $\{2\} < \{0, 1\}$, hence $2 < 1$, which is a contradiction.

(ii) Let $z=1$. Then a similar argument as the case of (i), gives a contradiction.

Note that by hypothesis $z \in I$, so $z \neq 2$. Hence $x=2$ is impossible. Thus $x \in I$. Therefore I is an implicative hyper K -ideal.

Remark 4.11.. Note that Theorems 4.6, 4.8 and 4.10 satisfy for $I = \{0, 2\}$ too.

Corollary 4.12. Let H be a hyper K -algebra of order 3 such that H satisfies the simple condition. Then I is CHKI of types 1, 2, ..., 8 or 9 if and only if I is an implicative hyper K -ideal.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorems 4.6 and 4.10.

Example 4.13 (i) In Example 4.2 (i) we see that $I = \{0, 2\}$ is an implicative hyper K -ideal, while it is not CHKI of types 1, 2, 4, 5 and 8. Here H does not satisfy in the simple condition.

(ii) In Example 4.2(i) $I = \{0, 2\}$ is CHKI of types 3, 6, 7 and 9, while it is not a hyper K -ideal. Since $0 \in H$ is not left scalar and it is not additive.

References

- [1] A. Boromandsaeid, R.A. Borzooei and M.M. Zahedi, " *(weak) implicative hyper k -Ideal*", Bull. Korean Mathematics Soc, 40(2003), 123-1377
- [2] R.A. Borzooei, P. Corsini and M.M. Zahedi, " *Some kinds of positive implicative hyper K -ideals*", J. Discrete Mathematics and Cryptography, 6 (2003), 97-108.
- [3] R.A. Borzooei, A. Hasankhani, M.M. Zahedi and Y.B. Jun, " *On hyper K -algebras*", Math. Japon. Vol. 52, No. 1 (2000), 113-121.
- [4] R.A. Borzooei and M.M. Zahedi, " *Positive implicative hyper K -ideals*", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, Vol. 53, No. 3 (2001), 525-533.
- [5] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, " *On axiom systems of propositional calculi*", XIV Proc. Japan Academy, 42 (1966), 19-22.
- [6] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, " *An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras*", Math. Japon, 23 (1978), 1-26.

- [7] F. Marty, " *Sur une generalization de la notion de groups*", 8th congress Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45-49.
- [8] L.Torkzadeh and M.M. Zahedi, " *Commutative hyper K -ideal*", Italian journal of pure and applied Mathematics ,to appear.
- [9] M.M.Zahedi, R.A.Boorzooei and H.Rezaei, " *Some classification of hyper K -algebra of order 3* " Sientiae Mathematicae Japonicae,53(2001), 133-142.

T.Roodbari Lor:Dept. of Math.,Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman,Kerman,Iran
E-mail: T.Roodbarylor@yahoo.com

M.M. Zahedi:Dept. of Math.,Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman,Kerman,Iran
E-mail: zahedi_mm@mail.uk.ak.ir
<http://math.uk.ac.ir/zahed>