

ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF SOLUTIONS FOR FOREST KINEMATIC MODEL UNDER DIRICHLET CONDITIONS

T. SHIRAI, L. H. CHUAN¹ AND A. YAGI^{1,2}

Received May 1, 2007; revised May 12, 2007

ABSTRACT. We continue a study of the forest ecosystem model due to Kuzunetsov et al. [4] in which the Dirichlet conditions are imposed. In this paper, we introduce three kinds of ω -limit sets, namely, $\omega(U_0) \subset L^2\omega(U_0) \subset w^*\omega(U_0)$, for each point U_0 of the dynamical system which has been constructed in our preceding paper [7]. Using a Lyapunov function, we will then investigate basic properties of the these ω -limit sets. Especially, it shall be shown that $L^2\omega(U_0)$ consists of equilibria alone. These results are then a modification of those obtained in [2] from the Neumann condition case to the Dirichlet condition case.

1 Introduction We continue the study for a forest kinematic model

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \beta\delta w - \gamma(v)u - fu & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = fu - hv & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} = d\Delta w - \beta w + \alpha v & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ w = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), w(x, 0) = w_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega \end{cases}$$

under the Dirichlet boundary conditions.

This system has been introduced by Kuzunetsov et al. [4] in order to describe the process of development of a forest ecosystem. They considered an age-structured continuous model which is of prototype in a two-dimensional domain Ω . The unknown functions $u(x, t)$ and $v(x, t)$ denote the tree densities of young and old age classes, respectively, at a position $x \in \Omega$ and time $t \in [0, \infty)$. The third unknown function $w(x, t)$ denotes the density of seeds in the air at $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. The third equation describes the kinetics of seeds; $d > 0$ is a diffusion constant of seeds, and $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are seed production and seed deposition rates respectively. On w the Dirichlet boundary conditions are imposed. While the first and second equations describe the growth of young and old trees respectively; $0 < \delta \leq 1$ is a seed establishment rate, $\gamma(v) > 0$ is a mortality of young trees which is allowed to depend on the old-tree density v , $f > 0$ is an aging rate, and $h > 0$ is a mortality of old trees. It is assumed that $\gamma(v)$ is a square function which has a minimum at some value of v , namely,

$$(1.2) \quad \gamma(v) = a(v - b)^2 + c,$$

¹This work is supported by Cooperative Research Program in the form of Core University Program between JSPS and VAST (Vietnamese Academy of Science and Technology) by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

²This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 16340046) by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37L45, 37N25, 92D40.

Key words and phrases. Forest model, Dirichlet conditions, Asymptotic behavior.

$a, b, c > 0$ being positive constants, see [4]. It is also assumed that Ω is a bounded, convex or C^2 domain in \mathbb{R}^2 .

In the previous paper [7], we have already formulated (1.1) as the Cauchy problem for an abstract parabolic evolution equation in the underlying function space

$$X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}; u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } w \in L^2(\Omega) \right\},$$

and have constructed not only global solutions for initial functions U_0 from

$$K = \left\{ U_0 = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ v_0 \\ w_0 \end{pmatrix}; 0 \leq u_0, v_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } 0 \leq w_0 \in L^2(\Omega) \right\}$$

but also a dynamical system $(S(t), K, X)$ determined from (1.1) in the function space X with phase space K .

In this paper we will study asymptotic behavior of trajectories $S(t)U_0$, $U_0 \in K$. As pointed out in the series of papers [1, 2, 3] in which we handled the Neumann boundary conditions, the dynamical system $(S(t), K, X)$ does not enjoy any compact attractive set. So, as in [2], we shall introduce L^2 omega limit set $L^2\omega(U_0)$ and weak* omega limit set $w^*\omega(U_0)$. Using the same Lyapunov function as in [2], we shall prove that $L^2\omega(U_0)$ consists of stationary solutions alone.

2 Reviews In this section, we shall list the known results (1.1) which have been obtained in the previous paper [7], and shall also describe some consequences deduced from these which will be needed in the present paper.

The problem (1.1) is formulated as the Cauchy problem for a semilinear abstract evolution equation

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dU}{dt} + AU = F(U), & 0 < t < \infty, \\ U(0) = U_0. \end{cases}$$

in the product space X . Here, A is a sectorial operator of X given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \Lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}; u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } w \in H_D^2(\Omega) \right\},$$

where Λ is a realization of the operator $-d\Delta + \beta$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ under the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions $w = 0$ on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ and is a positive definite self-adjoint operator of $L^2(\Omega)$ and where $H_D^2(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $H^2(\Omega)$ consisting of functions w satisfying the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega$. Meanwhile, F is a nonlinear operator from $\mathcal{D}(A^\eta)$ into X given by

$$F(U) = \begin{pmatrix} \beta\delta w - \gamma(v)u \\ fu \\ \alpha v \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{D}(A^\eta),$$

where η is some fixed exponent such that $\frac{1}{2} < \eta < 1$. Then, (1.1) is written in the form (2.1), see [7, Section 3].

According to [7, Theorem 5.2], for any U_0 from the space of initial values K , (2.1) possesses a unique global solution $U = {}^t(u, v, w)$ in the function space

$$(2.2) \quad 0 \leq u, v \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega)),$$

$$(2.3) \quad 0 \leq w \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}((0, \infty); H_D^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1((0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)).$$

Each u, v and w of the solution satisfies the following integral equation:

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v(s)+f\} ds} u_0 + \beta \delta \int_0^t e^{-\int_s^t \{\gamma(v(\tau))+f\} d\tau} w(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

$$(2.5) \quad v(t) = e^{-ht} v_0 + f \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)h} u(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

$$(2.6) \quad w(t) = e^{-t\Lambda} w_0 + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\Lambda} v(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

respectively. Here, $e^{-t\Lambda}$ denotes the linear semigroup generated by Λ . Since $\Lambda \geq \beta$, it follows that $\|e^{-t\Lambda}\|_{L^2} \leq e^{-\beta t}$.

We verify the following uniform estimates of solutions which were essentially established in [7, Proposition 5.1].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $U(t) = {}^t(u(t), v(t), w(t))$ be the global solution to (2.1) with $U_0 \in K$. Then,*

$$(2.7) \quad \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq p(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|v(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq p(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

$$(2.9) \quad \|w(t)\|_{L^2} \leq p(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

where $p(\cdot)$ denotes an appropriate continuous increasing function.

Proof. We already know that

$$\|U(t)\|_{L^2} \leq p(\|U_0\|_{L^2}), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty.$$

We have from (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\|_{H^{2n}} &\leq C \left\{ \|\Lambda^\eta e^{-t\Lambda} w_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|\Lambda^\eta e^{-(t-s)\Lambda} v(s)\|_{L^2} ds \right\} \\ &\leq C(1+t^{-\eta})e^{-\beta t} \|w_0\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t (1+(t-s)^{-\eta})e^{-\beta(t-s)} ds p(\|U_0\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq (1+t^{-\eta})p(\|U_0\|_{L^2}), \quad 0 < t < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As $\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|w(t)\|_{H^{2n}}$ (due to [7, (2.9)]), we obtain that

$$(2.10) \quad \|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq (1+t^{-\eta})p(\|U_0\|_{L^2}), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

In view of (2.10), we use (2.4) to obtain that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^\infty} + \int_0^t e^{-f(t-s)} (1+s^{-\eta}) ds p(\|U_0\|_{L^2}) \leq p(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 \leq t < \infty,$$

i.e., (2.7). Finally, (2.8) is easily observed by (2.5). \square

In addition, we verify the uniform estimates for the derivative of solutions.

Proposition 2.2. *For the derivative $U'(t) = {}^t(u'(t), v'(t), w'(t))$,*

$$(2.11) \quad \|u'(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq (1 + t^{-\eta}) p_1(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

$$(2.12) \quad \|v'(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq p_1(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty$$

$$(2.13) \quad \|w'(t)\|_{L^2} + \|w(t)\|_{H^2} \leq (1 + t^{-1}) p_1(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where $p_1(\cdot)$ is an appropriate continuous increasing function.

Proof. Using (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10) in the equation on u in (2.1), we immediately observe (2.11). Similarly, from the equation on v in (2.1) we observe (2.12). We know that $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega)) \cap \mathcal{C}^1([0, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$ with the estimate (2.12). Then, (2.13) is deduced by the standard arguments for the linear abstract equation applied to the equation for w in (2.1). Note that w is represented by (2.6). \square

We next obtain uniform estimates for the second order derivative of solutions.

Proposition 2.3. *For the second order derivative $U''(t) = {}^t(u''(t), v''(t), w''(t))$,*

$$(2.14) \quad \|u''(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq (1 + t^{-1-\eta}) p_2(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$(2.15) \quad \|v''(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq (1 + t^{-\eta}) p_2(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

$$(2.16) \quad \|w''(t)\|_{L^2} + \|w'(t)\|_{H^2} \leq (1 + t^{-2}) p_2(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where $p_2(\cdot)$ is an appropriate continuous increasing function.

Proof. From the second equation in (2.1),

$$v''(t) = f u'(t) - h v'(t), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Then, $v \in \mathcal{C}^2((0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega))$ and the estimate (2.15) is seen by (2.11) and (2.12).

With any $\tau > 0$, we consider the Cauchy problem for a linear evolution equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dw^1}{dt} + \Lambda w^1 = \alpha v'(t), & \tau < t < \infty, \\ w^1(\tau) = w'(\tau) \end{cases}$$

in $L^2(\Omega)$, where $w^1 = w^1(t)$ is the unknown function. Since v' is in $\mathcal{C}^1([\tau, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$, this problem has a unique solution $w^1 \in \mathcal{C}^1([\tau, \infty); L^2(\Omega))$. By a direct calculation it is verified that $w^1(t) = w'(t)$ for any $t \in [\tau, \infty)$. Therefore,

$$w'(t) = e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda} w'(\tau) + \alpha \int_\tau^t e^{-(t-s)\Lambda} v'(s) ds, \quad \tau \leq t < \infty.$$

Taking $\tau = \frac{t}{2}$, we repeat the same argument as for (2.13) to obtain that

$$\|w''(t)\|_{L^2} + \|w'(t)\|_{H^2} \leq C(1 + t^{-1}) \|w'(\frac{t}{2})\|_{L^2} + C\{p_2(\|U_0\|_X) + p_1(\|U_0\|_X)\}, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Therefore, (2.16) is obtained in view of (2.13).

As a consequence of (2.13) and (2.16), we have

$$\|w'(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C\|w'(t)\|_{H^{2\eta}} \leq (1 + t^{-1-\eta}) p(\|U_0\|_X), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Then, (2.14) is observed directly from

$$u''(t) = \beta \delta w'(t) - \gamma'(v(t)) v'(t) u(t) - (\gamma(v(t)) + f) u'(t), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

\square

We conclude this section with describing the dynamical system determined by the Cauchy problem (2.1). For any $U_0 \in K$, let $U(t; U_0)$ be the global solution of (2.1). We set $S(t)U_0 = U(t; U_0)$ for every $0 \leq t < \infty$. Then $S(t)$ defines a nonlinear semigroup acting on K . According to [7, Proposition 5.3], the semigroup is continuous on K in the sense that the mapping $(t, U_0) \in [0, \infty) \times K \rightarrow K$ is continuous. Therefore, the set of all trajectories $S(t)U_0$ defines a dynamical system in X with phase space K which is denoted by $(S(t), K, X)$.

According to [7, Theorem 6.1], there exists an invariant and absorbing set \mathcal{X} for $S(t)$ which is a bounded subset of $\mathcal{D}(A)$, namely,

$$\mathcal{X} \subset \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}; 0 \leq u, v \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ and } 0 \leq w \in H_D^2(\Omega) \right. \\ \left. \text{with } \|u\|_{L^\infty} + \|v\|_{L^\infty} + \|w\|_{H^2} \leq C_X \right\}$$

with some constant $0 < C_X < \infty$. Therefore, $(S(t), \mathcal{X}, X)$ is also a dynamical system and the asymptotic behavior of trajectories of $(S(t), K, X)$ is reduced to that of $(S(t), \mathcal{X}, X)$.

3 Lyapunov function In this section we shall construct a Lyapunov function $\Psi(U)$ for the dynamical system $(S(t), K, X)$ and shall establish some results concerning the asymptotic behavior of trajectories $S(t)U_0$.

Let $U_0 \in K$ and let $S(t)U_0 = U(t) = {}^t(u(t), v(t), w(t))$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$. Put $\varphi(t) = fu(t) - hv(t)$, $0 \leq t < \infty$. From the first and second equations of (1.1) it is easily observed that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} = f\beta\delta w - [\gamma(v) + f + h]\varphi - h[\gamma(v)v + fv], \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Multiply this by $\varphi(t) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}$ and integrate the product in Ω . Then,

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2 dx + h \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \Gamma(v) dx - f\beta\delta \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} w dx = - \int_{\Omega} [\gamma(v) + f + h] \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx,$$

where $\Gamma(v) = \int_0^v [\gamma(v)v + fv] dv$.

While, multiplying the third equation of (1.1) by $\frac{\partial w}{\partial t}$ and integrating the product in Ω , we obtain that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx + \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx - \alpha \int_{\Omega} v \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} dx = - \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx.$$

These two energy equalities (3.1) and (3.2) then provide that

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{df\beta\delta}{2} |\nabla w|^2 + h\alpha\Gamma(v) + \frac{f\beta^2\delta}{2} w^2 - (f\alpha\beta\delta)vw \right] dx \\ = - \int_{\Omega} \left[\alpha \{ \gamma(v) + f + h \} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 + f\beta\delta \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx \leq 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Note that

$$\frac{\alpha}{2} (fu - hv)^2 + \frac{df\beta\delta}{2} |\nabla w|^2 + h\alpha\Gamma(v) + \frac{f\beta^2\delta}{2} w^2 - (f\alpha\beta\delta)vw \geq -C$$

with some constant C independent of U . This shows that the functional

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi(U) = \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} (fu - hv)^2 + \frac{df\beta\delta}{2} |\nabla w|^2 + h\alpha\Gamma(v) + \frac{f\beta^2\delta}{2} w^2 - (f\alpha\beta\delta)vw \right] dx, \quad U \in \mathcal{D}(A^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

is a Lyapunov function for the present dynamical system $(S(t), K, X)$.

From these arguments we obtain the following energy estimates.

Theorem 3.1. *For any trajectory $S(t)U_0 = U(t)$, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \int_1^\infty \left\| \frac{dU}{dt}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 dt < \infty.$$

Proof. Integrate both the sides of (3.3) in t on an interval $[1, T]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^T \int_{\Omega} \left[\alpha \{ \gamma(v) + f + h \} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 + f\beta\delta \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \varphi(1) \frac{df\beta\delta}{2} |\nabla w(1)|^2 + h\alpha\Gamma(v(1)) + \frac{f\beta^2\delta}{2} w(1)^2 + f\alpha\beta\delta v(T)w(T) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Due to (2.8) and (2.10),

$$(3.6) \quad \int_1^\infty \int_{\Omega} \left[\alpha \{ \gamma(v) + f + h \} \left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 + f\beta\delta \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx dt < \infty.$$

Differentiating both the sides of the first equations of (1.1), we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} = \beta\delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - (\gamma(v) + f) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - 2au(v - b) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Multiply this by $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ and integrate the product in Ω . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\beta\delta \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - 2au(v - b) \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dx - \int_{\Omega} (\gamma(v) + f) \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx \\ &\leq Cp(\|U_0\|_X) \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx - \frac{f}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both the sides in t , we obtain that

$$\frac{f}{2} \int_1^T \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx dt \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(1) \right)^2 dx + Cp(\|U_0\|_X) \int_1^T \int_{\Omega} \left[\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right] dx dt.$$

Therefore, in view of (3.6), we conclude that

$$\int_1^\infty \int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right)^2 dx dt < \infty.$$

This together with (3.6) then yields the desired estimate (3.5). \square

Theorem 3.2. *For any trajectory $S(t)U_0 = U(t)$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the derivative $\frac{dU}{dt}(t)$ tends to 0 in the L^2 topology.*

Proof. We prove the assertion of theorem by contradiction. Suppose that $\frac{dU}{dt}(t)$ might not converge to 0 in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then there would exist a number $\varepsilon > 0$ and a time sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to ∞ such that

$$\left\| \frac{dU}{dt}(t_n) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \varepsilon, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

In the meantime, by Propositions 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \left\| \frac{dU}{dt}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \right| = 2 \left| \left(\frac{d^2 U}{dt^2}(t), \frac{dU}{dt}(t) \right) \right| \leq M, \quad 1 \leq t < \infty$$

with some constant M . Consequently, by the mean-value theorem,

$$\left\| \frac{dU}{dt}(t) \right\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \begin{cases} M(t - t_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{M}), & t_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \leq t \leq t_n, \\ -M(t - t_n - \frac{\varepsilon}{M}), & t_n \leq t \leq t_n + \frac{\varepsilon}{M}. \end{cases}$$

This is a contradiction to the fact that $\|\frac{dU}{dt}(t)\|_{L^2}^2$ is integrable in $(1, \infty)$, i.e., (3.5). \square

4 ω -limit sets In this section, we shall introduce three types of ω -limit sets, namely, $\omega(U_0)$, L^2 - $\omega(U_0)$ and w^* - $\omega(U_0)$, and shall investigate their relations.

As well known, the (usual) ω -limit set of $S(t)U_0$, $U_0 \in K$, is defined by

$$\omega(U_0) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \overline{\{S(\tau)U_0; t \leq \tau < \infty\}} \quad (\text{closure in the topology of } X),$$

namely, $\overline{U} \in \omega(U_0)$ if and only if there exists a time sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to ∞ such that $S(t_n)U_0 \rightarrow \overline{U}$ in the topology of X . As explained in [7, Introduction], we have an evidence which suggests that there exists a trajectory which starts from a continuous initial functions $U_0 = {}^t(u_0(x), v_0(x), w_0(x)) \in K$ but, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, converges to a discontinuous stationary solution $\overline{U} = {}^t(\overline{u}(x), \overline{v}(x), \overline{w}(x))$. If this phenomenon is true, then any sequence $S(t_n)U_0$ cannot converge to \overline{U} in the topology of X , namely, it is possible that $\omega(U_0) = \emptyset$.

We define the L^2 topology of X as follows. A sequence $\{{}^t(u_n, v_n, w_n)\}$ in X is said to be L^2 convergent to ${}^t(u_0, v_0, w_0) \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightarrow u_0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ v_n \rightarrow v_0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega), \\ w_n \rightarrow w_0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Then, using this topology we define the L^2 - ω -limit set of $S(t)U_0$, $U_0 \in K$, by

$$(4.1) \quad L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0) = \bigcap_{t \geq 0} \overline{\{S(\tau)U_0; t \leq \tau < \infty\}} \quad (\text{closure in the } L^2 \text{ topology of } X).$$

In addition, we may equip X with the weak* topology. A sequence $\{{}^t(u_n, v_n, w_n)\}$ in X is said to be weak* convergent to ${}^t(u_0, v_0, w_0) \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, if

$$\begin{cases} u_n \rightarrow u_0 & \text{weak* in } L^\infty(\Omega), \\ v_n \rightarrow v_0 & \text{weak* in } L^\infty(\Omega), \\ w_n \rightarrow w_0 & \text{strongly in } L^2(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Using this topology, we define the $w^*\text{-}\omega$ -limit set of $S(t)U_0$, $U_0 \in K$, by

$$(4.2) \quad w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0) = \overline{\bigcap_{t \geq 0} \{S(\tau)U_0; t \leq \tau < \infty\}} \quad (\text{closure in the weak* topology of } X).$$

According to [7, Theorem 6.3], it is already known that $w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0) \neq \emptyset$ for any initial data $U_0 \in K$.

In general we observe the following relations.

Theorem 4.1. *For each $U_0 \in K$, $\omega(U_0) \subset L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0) \subset w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0)$.*

Proof. The first relation $\omega(U_0) \subset L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ is obvious by the definition.

Let $\bar{U} = (\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to ∞ such that $S(t_n)U_0 = (u(t_n), v(t_n), w(t_n)) \rightarrow \bar{U}$ in the L^2 topology of X . Let $\varphi \in L^1(\Omega)$. For any $f \in L^2(\Omega)$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi \{u(t_n) - \bar{u}\} dx \right| \leq \|\varphi - f\|_{L^1} \|u(t_n) - \bar{u}\|_{L^\infty} + \left| \int_{\Omega} f \{u(t_n) - \bar{u}\} dx \right|.$$

Since $L^2(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^1(\Omega)$ and since (2.7) is valid, we verify that, as $t_n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} \varphi \{u(t_n) - \bar{u}\} dx \right| \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $u(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{u}$ in the weak* topology of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Due to (2.8), it is the same for the weak* convergence of $v(t_n)$ to \bar{v} . Thus we have $\bar{U} \in w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. \square

We do not know whether the converse relation $w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0) \subset L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ is true in general or not. We can however prove some weak result.

Theorem 4.2. *For $U_0 \in K$, let there exist a sequence $\{t_n\}$ tending to ∞ such that $S(t_n)U_0 = {}^t(u(t_n), v(t_n), w(t_n))$ converges to a triplet of functions $\bar{U} = {}^t(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \in X$ almost everywhere in Ω . Then, $\bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$.*

Proof. By virtue of (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10), the almost everywhere convergence implies L^2 convergence for each sequence of $u(t_n)$, $v(t_n)$ and $w(t_n)$. Hence, $\bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to proving some structural results for the ω -limit sets under specific conditions assumed to hold for the coefficients of equations in (1.1).

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that $h > \frac{f\alpha\delta}{c+f}$. Then, $\omega(U_0) = L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0) = w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0) = \{{}^t(0, 0, 0)\}$ for every $U_0 \in K$.*

Proof. Let $U_0 = {}^t(u_0, v_0, w_0) \in K$ and let $S(t)U_0 = {}^t(u(t), v(t), w(t))$ be the global solution. Multiply the first equation of (1.1) by $2(c+f)u$ and integrate the product in Ω . Then,

$$(4.3) \quad (c+f) \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx + 2(c+f)^2 \int_{\Omega} u^2 dx - 2(c+f)\beta\delta \int_{\Omega} uwdx \\ = -2a(c+f) \int_{\Omega} (v-b)^2 u^2 dx \leq 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Similarly, multiply the second equation of (1.1) by $\frac{2(c+f)\alpha\delta}{f}v$ and integrate the product in Ω . Then,

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{(c+f)\alpha\delta}{f} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx + 2(\alpha\delta)^2 \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx - 2(c+f)\alpha\delta \int_{\Omega} uvdx \\ + \frac{2(c+f)\alpha\delta}{f} \left(h - \frac{f\alpha\delta}{c+f} \right) \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx = 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Multiply the third equation of (1.1) by $2\beta\delta^2w$ and integrate the product in Ω . Then,

$$(4.5) \quad \beta\delta^2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx + 2(\beta\delta)^2 \int_{\Omega} w^2 dx - 2\alpha\beta\delta^2 \int_{\Omega} vwdx \\ = -2d\beta\delta^2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Summing up (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \left((c+f)u^2 + \frac{(c+f)\alpha\delta}{f}v^2 + \beta\delta^2 w^2 \right) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \{ ((c+f)u)^2 + (\alpha\delta v)^2 + (\beta\delta w)^2 \} dx \\ - 2 \int_{\Omega} \{ (c+f)u\alpha\delta v + \alpha\delta v\beta\delta w + \beta\delta w(c+f)u \} dx + 3 \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon v^2 dx \leq 0,$$

where $\varepsilon = \frac{2(c+f)\alpha\delta}{3f} \left(h - \frac{f\alpha\delta}{c+f} \right) > 0$. We here notice that

$$2[((c+f)u)^2 + (\alpha\delta v)^2 + (\beta\delta w)^2 - (c+f)u\alpha\delta v - \alpha\delta v\beta\delta w - \beta\delta w(c+f)u] + 3\varepsilon v^2 \\ = \left[\frac{((c+f)\alpha\delta)^2}{\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon} u^2 - 2(c+f)u\alpha\delta v + (\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon)v^2 \right] \\ + \left[(\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon)v^2 - 2\alpha\delta v\beta\delta w + \frac{(\alpha\delta)^2(\beta\delta)^2}{\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon} w^2 \right] + [\beta\delta w - (c+f)u]^2 \\ + \varepsilon \left[\frac{(c+f)^2}{\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon} u^2 + v^2 + \frac{(\beta\delta)^2}{\alpha^2\delta^2 + \varepsilon} w^2 \right].$$

Therefore, with an appropriate exponent $\rho > 0$ and appropriate constants $C_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (C_1 u^2 + C_2 v^2 + C_3 w^2) dx + \rho \int_{\Omega} (C_1 u^2 + C_2 v^2 + C_3 w^2) dx \leq 0.$$

We thus conclude that

$$C_1 \|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2 \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_3 \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq e^{-\rho t} (C_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2 \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C_3 \|w_0\|_{L^2}^2), \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

As a result, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $S(t)U_0$ converges to ${}^t(0, 0, 0)$ in the L^2 topology. More strongly, since $\|w(t)\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_\varepsilon \|w(t)\|_{H^{1+\varepsilon}} \leq C_\varepsilon \|w(t)\|_{L^2}^{(1-\varepsilon)/2} \|w(t)\|_{H^2}^{(1+\varepsilon)/2}$, we deduce from the L^2 convergence of $w(t)$ that in the L^∞ topology (due to (2.13)). Furthermore, from the formulae (2.4) and (2.5), this implies convergence of $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ to 0 in the L^∞ topology. In this way, we ultimately conclude that, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $S(t)U_0$ converges to $(0, 0, 0)$ in the L^∞ topology. From this the assertion of theorem follows immediately. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Assume that $ab^2 < 3(c+f)$. Then, $L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0) = w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ for every $U_0 \in K$.*

Proof. Let $S(t)U_0 = U(t) = {}^t(u(t), v(t), w(t))$. Consider any time sequence $\{t_n\}$ which tends to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By (2.9), $\|w(t_n)\|_{H^2}$ is a bounded sequence; so, we can choose a subsequence $\{t_{n'}\}$ for which $\{w(t_{n'})\}$ is convergent to \bar{w} in $H^{1+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ and hence in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. From the first and second equations of (2.1) it is easily observed that

$$(4.6) \quad [\gamma(v(t_{n'})) + f]v(t_{n'}) = \frac{f}{h} \left[\beta\delta w(t_{n'}) - \frac{du}{dt}(t_{n'}) - \frac{\gamma(v(t_{n'})) + f}{f} \frac{dv}{dt}(t_{n'}) \right].$$

Here, we introduce the cubic function

$$P(v) \equiv (\gamma(v) + f)v = av^3 - 2abv^2 + (ab^2 + c + f)v, \quad -\infty < v < \infty.$$

It is easy to see the following property.

Lemma 4.1. *When $ab^2 < 3(c+f)$, $w = P(v)$ is a monotone increasing function for $v \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Its inverse function $P^{-1}(w)$ is a single-valued smooth function for w with uniformly bounded derivative in the whole real axis $w \in (-\infty, \infty)$.*

Proof of lemma. Obviously we have

$$P'(v) = 3av^2 - 4abv + (ab^2 + c + f) = 3a \left(v - \frac{2b}{3} \right)^2 - \frac{ab^2 - 3(c+f)}{3} > 0.$$

Therefore, the assertion of lemma is clear. \square

Using $P^{-1}(w)$, we can write

$$v(t_{n'}) = P^{-1} \left(\frac{f}{h} \left\{ \beta\delta w(t_{n'}) - \frac{du}{dt}(t_{n'}) - \frac{\gamma(v(t_{n'})) + f}{f} \frac{dv}{dt}(t_{n'}) \right\} \right).$$

Since $w(t_{n'}) \rightarrow \bar{w}$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and since Theorem 3.2 is true, we conclude that $v(t_{n'})$ converges to $\bar{v} = P^{-1}(\frac{f\beta\delta}{h}\bar{w})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since Theorem 3.2 provides in particular that, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, $fu(t) - hv(t) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, we conclude also that $u(t_{n'})$ converges to $\frac{h}{f}\bar{v}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Thus we have shown that ${}^t(u(t_{n'}), v(t_{n'}), w(t_{n'})) \rightarrow {}^t(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w})$ in $L^2(\Omega)$.

We now know that any sequence $(u(t_n), v(t_n), w(t_n))$ has a subsequence which converges to some vector of X in the L^2 topology. Hence, the relation $w^*\text{-}\omega(U_0) \subset L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ is proved, cf., Proof of Theorem 4.1. \square

5 Constituents of $L^2 \omega$ -limit sets In this section, we shall show that every $L^2 \omega$ -limit set consists of stationary solutions of (2.1). For this end, we begin with verifying the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *For each $U_0 \in K$, $L^2\omega(U_0)$ is an invariant set of $S(t)$, i.e.,*

$$S(t)(L^2\omega(U_0)) \subset L^2\omega(U_0), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. In the proof of this proposition, it is essential to show that $S(t)$ is continuous from K into itself in the L^2 topology.

To see this, consider two initial values $U_{01} = {}^t(u_{01}, v_{01}, w_{01})$ and $U_{02} = {}^t(u_{02}, v_{02}, w_{02})$ in K , and let ${}^t(u_1(t), v_1(t), w_1(t))$ and ${}^t(u_2(t), v_2(t), w_2(t))$ be the solutions to (2.1) with the initial value U_{01} and U_{02} , respectively. Let $T > 0$ be arbitrarily fixed time, and let t varies in the bounded interval $[0, T]$.

Then, from (2.4),

$$u_i(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_i) + f\} ds} u_{0i} + \beta \delta \int_0^t e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_i) + f\} ds} w_i(\tau) d\tau, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} u_2(t) - u_1(t) &= e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_1) + f\} ds} (e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1) u_{01} \\ &\quad + e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_2) + f\} ds} (u_{02} - u_{01}) + \beta \delta \int_0^t e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_2) + f\} ds} (w_2(\tau) - w_1(\tau)) d\tau \\ &\quad + \beta \delta \int_0^t e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_1) + f\} ds} (e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1) w_1(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.7), (2.8) and (2.10), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2(t) - u_1(t)\|_{L^2} &\leq \|u_{02} - u_{01}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X) \left\{ \left\| e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1 \right\|_{L^2} + \int_0^t \|w_2(\tau) - w_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t \left\| e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1 \right\|_{L^2} \tau^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} d\tau \right\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

For any $R > 0$, there exists a constant $C_R > 0$ such that $|e^\xi - 1| \leq C_R |\xi|$ holds for all $|\xi| \leq R$. Using this estimate, we verify that

$$\left\| e^{-\int_0^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1 \right\|_{L^2} \leq Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X) \int_0^t \|v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t \left\| e^{-\int_\tau^t \{\gamma(v_2) - \gamma(v_1)\} ds} - 1 \right\|_{L^2} \tau^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} d\tau \\ &\leq Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X) \int_0^t \int_\tau^t \|v_2(s) - v_1(s)\|_{L^2} \tau^{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} ds d\tau \\ &\leq Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X) \int_0^t \|v_2(s) - v_1(s)\|_{L^2} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(5.1) \quad \|u_2(t) - u_1(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|u_{02} - u_{01}\|_{L^2} + Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X) \int_0^t [\|v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} + \|w_2(\tau) - w_1(\tau)\|_{L^2}] d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

In a similar way, from (2.5) it follows that

$$(5.2) \quad \|v_2(t) - v_1(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|v_{02} - v_{01}\|_{L^2} + C \int_0^t \|u_2(\tau) - u_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Finally, from (2.6) we have

$$w_2(t) - w_1(t) = e^{-t\Lambda}(w_{02} - w_{01}) + \alpha \int_0^t e^{-(t-\tau)\Lambda}[v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)] d\tau.$$

Therefore,

$$(5.3) \quad \|w_2(t) - w_1(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \|w_{02} - w_{01}\|_{L^2} + \alpha \int_0^t \|v_2(\tau) - v_1(\tau)\|_{L^2} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Summing up (5.1), (5.2) and (5.3) and using Gronwall's inequality, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_2(t) - u_1(t)\|_{L^2} + \|v_2(t) - v_1(t)\|_{L^2} + \|w_2(t) - w_1(t)\|_{L^2} \\ \leq \|U_{02} - U_{01}\|_{L^2} e^{Cp(\|U_{01}\|_X + \|U_{02}\|_X)t}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that, for $0 \leq t \leq T$, the semigroup $S(t)$ is continuous in the L^2 topology. But, as $T > 0$ is arbitrary, it is the same for any $0 \leq t < \infty$.

It is now immediate to prove the assertion of theorem. Let $\bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. By definition there exists a sequence t_n tending to ∞ such that $S(t_n)U_0 \rightarrow \bar{U}$ in the L^2 topology. By the L^2 continuity proved above, we have $S(t_n+t)U_0 = S(t)S(t_n)U_0 \rightarrow S(t)\bar{U}$ in L^2 . Therefore, $S(t)\bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. \square

Theorem 5.1. *For any $U_0 \in K$, $L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ consists of equilibria of the dynamical system.*

Proof. Let $\bar{U} = {}^t(\bar{u}, \bar{v}, \bar{w}) \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. There exists a sequence $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $S(t_n)U_0 = U(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{U}$ in the L^2 topology. Since $w(t_n)$ is a bounded sequence in $H^2(\Omega)$, we can take a subsequence $\{w(t_{n'})\}$ of $\{w(t_n)\}$ such that $w(t_{n'}) \rightarrow \bar{w}'$ strongly in $H^1(\Omega)$. It is then easy to see that $\bar{w} = \bar{w}'$. Meanwhile, in view of (2.7) and (2.8), $u(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{u}$ and $v(t_n) \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in any L^p topology with finite p such that $2 \leq p < \infty$.

By these facts we conclude that the Lyapunov function $\Psi(U(t_{n'}))$ given by (3.4) is convergent to $\Psi(\bar{U})$ as $t_{n'} \rightarrow \infty$. That is,

$$\Psi(\bar{U}) = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(U(t_{n'})) = \inf_{0 \leq t < \infty} \Psi(S(t)U_0) \equiv \Psi_\infty.$$

This means that $\Psi(\bar{U}) \equiv \Psi_\infty$ for all \bar{U} 's of vectors in $L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$. By Proposition 5.1, $S(t)\bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0)$ for every $t > 0$. Hence,

$$\Psi(S(t)\bar{U}) \equiv \Psi_\infty, \quad 0 < t < \infty, \quad \bar{U} \in L^2\text{-}\omega(U_0).$$

Furthermore, let $S(t)\bar{U} = \bar{U}(t) = {}^t(\bar{u}(t), \bar{v}(t), \bar{w}(t))$; then, by (3.3), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(\bar{U}(t)) = - \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \alpha[\gamma(\bar{v}) + f + h] \left(\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t} \right)^2 + f\beta\delta \left(\frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\} dx \equiv 0, \quad 0 < t < \infty.$$

Hence, $\frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t}(t) \equiv \frac{\partial \bar{w}}{\partial t}(t) \equiv 0$ for $0 < t < \infty$. In addition, from the second equation of (2.1), it follows that $f\bar{u}(t) \equiv h\bar{v}(t)$; hence, $\frac{\partial \bar{u}}{\partial t}(t) \equiv 0$ for $0 < t < \infty$. Thus, it has been shown that $S(t)\bar{U} \equiv \bar{U}$ for every $0 < t < \infty$, namely, \bar{U} must be an equilibrium. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] L. H. Chuan and A. Yagi, *Dynamical system for forest kinematic model*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **16** (2006), 393–409.
- [2] L. H. Chuan, T. Tsujikawa and A. Yagi, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to forest kinematic model*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **49** (2006), 427–449.
- [3] L. H. Chuan, T. Tsujikawa and A. Yagi, *Stationary solutions to forest kinematic model*, Glasg. Math. J., accepted for publication.
- [4] Yu A. Kuznetsov, M. Ya. Antonovsky, V. N. Bikhtashev and A. Aponina, *A cross-diffusion model of forest boundary dynamics*, J. Math. Biol. **32** (1994), 219–232.
- [5] H. Nakata, *Numerical simulations for forest boundary dynamics model*, Master's thesis, Osaka University (2004).
- [6] K. Osaki and A. Yagi, *Global existence for a chemotaxis-growth system in \mathbb{R}^2* , Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **12** (2002), 587–606.
- [7] T. Shirai, L. H. Chuan and A. Yagi, *Dynamical system for forest kinematic model under Dirichlet conditions*, Preprint.
- [8] A. V. Babin and M. I. Vishik, *Attractors of Evolution Equations*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [9] R. Dautray and J. L. Lions, *Mathematical analysis and numerical methods for science and technology*, Vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [10] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [11] R. Temam, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, 2nd ed., Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [12] H. Triebel, *Interpolation theory, function spaces, differential operators*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED PHYSICS, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, SUITA, OSAKA 565-0871,
JAPAN

E-mail address : yagi@ap.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp