## MICROSCOPIC SUBSETS OF A BANACH SPACE AND CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE DROP PROPERTY

Chiara Donnini <sup>(+)</sup>, Anna Martellotti <sup>(++)</sup>

Received June 26, 2003; revised September 5, 2003

ABSTRACT. We extend the notion of *microscopic sets* introduced for subsets of the real line, to the case of subsets of a Banach space X. Then we introduce the *Microscopic Drop Property* for closed and convex subsets of X, and we eventually show that, when X is reflexive, it is equivalent to the usual Drop Property defined by D.N. Kutzarova.

**1.** Introduction Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a real Banach space. The Drop Property appeared at the end of the 80's as a property of the norm of X, ([7], [9] and [10]). Later, D. N. Kutzarova [4] proposed a definition of Drop Property for more general sets.

**Definition 1** ([4]) Let C be closed and convex. C has the Drop Property iff for any closed F with  $F \cap C = \emptyset$  there exists  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $D(x_0, C) \cap F = \{x_0\}$ , where the set  $D(x_0, C) := \operatorname{co}\{x_0, C\}$  is called the *drop* generated by  $x_0$  and C.

We denote by DP(X) the hyperspace of sets having the Drop Property and by DPB(X) the hyperspace of bounded sets having the Drop Property.

Among the properties of DP(X) the following is of particular interest:

**Theorem 1** ([5], Theorem 3) If C has the Drop Property, then it is either compact or it has non empty interior.

Indeed this yields that if A, B are disjoint closed convex sets, and  $A \in \mathbf{DP}(X)$  then they can be separated at least in the large sense.

We can extend **Definition 1** in the following way.

**Definition 2** Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a non-empty class of subsets of X, and let C be a closed and convex subset of X. We shall say that  $C \in (\mathcal{K}) - \mathbf{DP}(X)$  if, for every closed F with  $F \cap C = \emptyset$ , there exists  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $D(x_0, C) \cap F \in \mathcal{K}$ .

In [6] the Drop Property has been characterized in the following way:

**Theorem 2** ([6], Theorem 4) Let  $C \subset X$ . The following are equivalent: i) C has the Drop Property;

ii) for every closed set F such that  $F \cap C = \emptyset$  there exists  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $D(x_0, C) \cap F$  is compact;

iii) for every closed set F such that  $F \cap C = \emptyset$  and for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $x_{\varepsilon} \in F$  such that  $\alpha(D(x_{\varepsilon}, C) \cap F) < \varepsilon$  (where  $\alpha$  is the Kuratowski measure of non-compactness).

**Theorem 2** above states that

$$\mathbf{DP}(X) = (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)) - \mathbf{DP}(X)$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46B20 (primary) 46B50 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. Drop Property, microscopic set, stream.

where  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}}(X)$  denotes the class of compact subsets of X : it therefore suggests that the Drop Property can be characterized by the existence of vertices  $x \in F$  such that  $D(x, C) \cap F$  is "small" in some sense.

In this paper we shall introduce a new class of small sets in a Banach space X, the *micro*scopic sets, and we shall compare the induced Drop Property with the classical one.

## **2.** Preliminaries We denote by F(C) the set

 $F(C) = \{x^* \in X^* \setminus \{0\}, \text{ which are bounded above } C\}.$ 

For every  $x^* \in F(C)$  and  $\delta > 0$  the slice  $S(x^*, C, \delta)$  is defined as

$$S(x^*, C, \delta) = \{x \in C : x^*(x) \ge M - \delta\}$$

where  $M = \sup\{x^*(x) : x \in C\}.$ 

According to [5] we remind the property  $(\alpha)$ :

C has the property ( $\alpha$ ) iff  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \alpha(S(x^*, C, \delta)) = 0$ , for every  $x^* \in F(C)$  and  $\delta > 0$ .

Property ( $\alpha$ ) is a useful characterization of sets having the Drop Property:

**Theorem 3** ([5], Theorem 7, Theorem 8) Let X be a reflexive Banach space. If C is bounded noncompact, or unbounded, the following conditions are equivalent: *i*) C has the Drop Property;

ii) C has non empty interior and has the property  $(\alpha)$ .

**Definition 3** Given a closed bounded convex set C, a sequence  $(x_n)_n$  in  $X \setminus C$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in D(x_n, C)$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is called a *stream*. C is called the *basis* of the stream. A stream  $(y_n)_n$  is said a *dyadic stream* if it can be represented by the following inductive formula  $y_1 = \frac{x + x_1}{2}$  and  $y_n = \frac{y_{n-1} + x_n}{2}$ , for  $n \ge 2$ , where  $x \in X \setminus C$  and  $(x_n) \subset C$ . By induction one can prove that  $y_n = \frac{1}{2^n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{n-i+1}} x_i$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

With this concept, in [3] another characterization of the Drop Property is stated:

**Theorem 4** ([3], Theorem 2) A closed bounded convex set C in a Banach space X has the Drop Property iff every stream in  $X \setminus C$  has a norm converging subsequence.

Moreover we can observe that even in the unbounded case one implication of the previous result holds true, namely, if C has the Drop Property, every stream has a norm converging subsequence.

**3.** Microscopic sets in infinite dimensional setting In [1] the following class of small subsets of  $I\!\!R$  as been introduced:

**Definition 4** [1]  $M \subset \mathbb{R}$  is called *microscopic* iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a sequence  $(I_n)_n$  of intervals such that  $M \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  and  $\lambda(I_n) \leq \varepsilon^n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

This concept extends to subset M of X in at least two different ways:

**Definition 5**  $M \subset X$  is *microscopic* iff for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a sequence  $(x_n)_n \in X$ such that  $M \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (x_n + \varepsilon^n X_1)$ , where  $X_1$  is the closed unit ball of X. We denote with  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(X)$  the class of microscopic subsets of X.

 $M \subset X$  is scalarly microscopic iff for each functional  $x^* \in X^*$ ,  $x^*(M)$  is microscopic in  $\mathbb{R}$ . We denote with  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{sm}}(X)$  the class of scalarly microscopic subsets of X.

Since clearly

$$\widehat{X} \subset \mathbf{P_m}(X) \subset \mathbf{P_{sm}}(X),$$

where  $\widehat{X}$  denoted the class of singletons of X; we immediately have

$$\mathbf{DP}(X) \subset (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{m}}(X)) - \mathbf{DP}(X) \subset (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{sm}}(X)) - \mathbf{DP}(X)$$

But we shall show that

$$(\mathbf{P_{sm}}(X)) - \mathbf{DP}(X) = \mathbf{DP}(X)$$

and therefore we bound ourselves to  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{sm}}(X)$ . Using the properties of microscopic subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  proved in [1] one can easily obtain:

**Proposition 1** The following hold:

- *i*) every subset of a scalarly microscopic set is scalarly microscopic;
- *ii)* every countable union of scalarly microscopic sets is scalarly microscopic;
- iii) given a scalarly microscopic set M and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , x + M is scalarly microscopic;
- iv) given a scalarly microscopic set M and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha M$  is scalarly microscopic;
- v) X is not scalarly microscopic;
- vi) every countable set is scalarly microscopic.

**4. Streams and polygonals** For any pair  $x, y \in X$  define the *interval* [x, y] to be the set

$$[x,y] = \operatorname{co}\{x,y\}.$$

In [2] it is proven that, if  $x \neq y$ ,  $[x, y] \notin \mathbf{P_{sm}}(X)$ .

**Proposition 2** (No cross properties) Given a stream  $(x_n)_n \in X \setminus C$  such that  $x_n \neq x_k$ for  $n \neq k$ , the following hold:

i) for all  $p, k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \neq p \neq k+1, x_p \notin [x_k, x_{k+1}];$ 

*ii)* for all  $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $k \neq p+1 \neq k+1 \neq p, [x_k, x_{k+1}] \cap [x_p, x_{p+1}] = \emptyset$ .

**Proof.** i) Observe first that if  $x \in D(y, C)$  and  $y \in D(x, C)$  then x = y. Suppose, by contradiction, that  $x_p \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ . Then from Lemma 4.3.3. of [8], one easily yields that p > k + 1.

On the other side Proposition 4.2.8. of [8] implies that  $x_{k+2} \in D(x_p, C)$  and therefore necessarily p = k + 2. But if  $x_{k+2} \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ , there are  $z \in C$ ,  $s, t \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$tx_{k+1} + (1-t)z = sx_{k+1} + (1-s)x_k$$

and necessarily  $s \neq t$  (otherwise  $x_k = z \in C$ ). Suppose for instance t > s; then

$$\frac{t-s}{1-s}x_{k+1} + \frac{1-t}{1-s}z = x_k$$

namely  $x_k \in D(x_{k+1}, C)$ , and therefore  $x_k = x_{k+1}$ : contradiction. *ii*) Suppose

$$[x_k, x_{k+1}] \cap [x_p, x_{p+1}] \neq \emptyset.$$

Without loss of generality we can suppose p > k + 1.

Then by Proposition 4.2.8. of [8], again,  $x_{k+2} \in D(y, C)$ . If  $y \in D(x_{k+2}, C)$  then  $y = x_{k+2}$ , and thus  $x_{k+2} \in [x_k, x_{k+1}]$  which contradicts i).

Otherwise if  $y \notin D(x_{k+2}, C)$ , then, since  $p \ge k+2$ ,  $y \notin D(x_p, C)$ : but by definition of stream,  $x_{p+1} \in D(x_p, C)$  and by convexity of the drop  $[x_p, x_{p+1}] \in D(x_p, C)$  and, as  $y \in [x_p, x_{p+1}]$ ,  $y \in D(x_p, C)$ : contradiction.

Now we can introduce a new concept:

**Definition 6** Given a sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset X$  we define the *induced polygonal* as the set

$$P((x_n)_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [x_n, x_{n+1}].$$

**Lemma 1** Let  $(y_n)$  be a dyadic stream with basis C such that there exists  $\delta > 0$  for which

$$d(y_n, span\{y_1, ..., y_{n-1}\}) > \delta$$

Then the induced polygonal  $P((y_n)_n)$  is closed.

**Proof.** Let  $(z_n)_n \in P$  be convergent to  $z \in X$ . We shall show that  $z \in P$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\mathbb{N}_k = \{p \text{ such that } z_p \in [y_k, y_{k+1}]\}$ : if  $\mathbb{N}_{k_0}$  is infinite for some  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ , then, a subsequence of  $(z_n)_n$  is contained in  $[y_{k_0}, y_{k_0+1}]$ , whence  $z \in [y_{k_0}, y_{k_0+1}] \subset P$ . Alternatively, assume that  $\mathbb{N}_k$  is finite, for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ : we shall show that this will lead us to a contradiction.

We first note that without loss of generality we can assume that the  $z_n$ 's are not corners of the polygonal.

To simplify the indices we shall now define a new sequence  $(\zeta_n)_n$ , such that

(1) 
$$\zeta_n \in ]y_n, y_{n+1}[$$
 for each  $n$ ,

in the following way: for those segments  $[y_k, y_{k+1}]$  containing some  $z_n$  we pick just one of them as  $\zeta_k$ ; for all the other segments we define  $\zeta_k$  to be the middle point.

We prove now that  $(\zeta_n)_n$  has no converging subsequences; since originally we have chosen  $(z_n)_n$  converging to z this will lead to a contradiction.

By (1) for every *n* there exists  $\alpha_n \in ]0, 1[$  such that

$$\zeta_n = \alpha_n y_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_{n+1},$$

and by definition of dyadic stream

$$\zeta_n = 2\alpha_n y_{n+1} - \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n) y_{n+1} = (1 + \alpha_n) y_{n+1} - \alpha_n x_n,$$

for some  $x_n \in C$ .

Note that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we find  $d(x_n, \operatorname{span}\{x_1, ..., x_{n-1}\}) > 2\delta$ . Then

$$\zeta_n = \frac{1+\alpha_n}{2^{n+1}}x + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1+\alpha_n}{2^{n+2-i}}x_i + \frac{1-3\alpha_n}{2^2}x_n + \frac{1+\alpha_n}{2}x_{n+1}.$$

So one can show that

$$\|\zeta_{n+p} - \zeta_n\| \ge \delta - \frac{1}{2^n} \|x\|,$$

and thus  $(\zeta_n)_n$  cannot have converging subsequences.

5. The Microscopic Drop Property As announced in the previous section, we shall consider the *Microscopic Drop Property* as follows:

**Definition 7** *C* has the *Microscopic Drop Property* iff for each closed *F* with  $F \cap C = \emptyset$  there exists  $x \in F$  such that  $D(x, C) \cap F \in \mathbf{P_{sm}}(\mathbf{X})$ . For the sake of simplicity we shall denote by  $\mathbf{MDP}(X)$  the class of sets having the Microscopic Drop Property, namely  $\mathbf{MDP}(X) := (\mathbf{P_{sm}}(\mathbf{X})) \cdot \mathbf{DP}(X)$ .

**Remark 1** Each compact set in X has the Microscopic Drop Property.

**Proposition 3** If C has the Microscopic Drop Property then for each stream  $(x_n)_n \subset X \setminus C$  the generated polygonal is not closed.

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a stream  $(x_n)_n \in X \setminus C$  such that the polygonal  $P = P((x_n)_n)$  is closed. Observe first that P and C are disjoint. Let y be a point of P. Then there exists  $\overline{n} \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y \in [x_{\overline{n}}, x_{\overline{n+1}}]$ .

By Proposition 4.2.8. of [8],  $x_{\overline{n}+2} \in D(y, C)$  and then, by convexity  $[y, x_{\overline{n}+2}] \subset D(y, C)$ . So  $D(y, C) \cap P$  contains a non microscopic set therefore it is non microscopic.

Now we want to extend **Theorem 1** to bounded sets C having the Microscopic Drop Property.

**Theorem 5** Let C be bounded in X. If C has the Microscopic Drop Property then it is either compact or it has non empty interior.

**Proof.** The case of C finite dimensional can be proven as in Theorem 3 in [5]. Assume then that C is infinite-dimensional, non compact and has empty interior. Then as in Theorem 4.4.2. of [8] there exists a dyadic stream that fulfills the assumption of **Lemma 1.** Hence the induced polygonal is closed, which, from **Proposition 3**, contradicts the Microscopic Drop Property.

We shall now investigate the relationship between the Microscopic Drop Property and the property  $(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 6** If C has the Microscopic Drop Property, then it has the property  $(\alpha)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that C does not fulfill the property  $(\alpha)$ . Then there exists a linear functional  $x_0^* \in X^*$  such that

$$\inf_{\nu>0} \alpha(S(x_0^*,C;\nu)) > 0.$$

Now as in [5], Proposition 1, we can consider a stream  $(x_n)_n \subset X \setminus C$  such that

(2) 
$$d(x_n, \operatorname{span}\{x_1, ..., x_{n-1}\}) > \frac{\delta}{2}$$

with  $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\nu > 0} \alpha(S(x_0^*, C, \nu))$ . Let  $P = P((x_n)_n)$ . From **Lemma 1** P is closed, which contradicts **Proposition 3**.

**Theorem 7** Let C be unbounded in X. If C has the Microscopic Drop Property then it has non empty interior.

**Proof.** In the case of finite dimensional C, if  $C^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ , the property ( $\alpha$ ) does not hold, in contradiction with **Theorem 6.** 

Assume then that C is infinite dimensional. For  $\delta > 0$  fixed, with the same argument of Theorem 4.4.2. in [8], we can construct a sequence  $(x_n)_n \in C$  such that

$$d(x_n, \operatorname{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}) > \delta \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If  $C^{\circ} \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $x \in X \setminus C$  be such that the dyadic stream  $(y_n)_n$ , generated by  $(x_n)_n$  with initial point x, is disjoint from C; furthermore

$$d(y_n, span\{y_1, ..., y_{n+1}\}) > \frac{\delta}{2}$$

Therefore, from Lemma 1, the polygonal  $P = P((y_n)_n)$  is closed and disjoint from C. Then by **Proposition 3**, the assumption follows.

**Theorem 8** Let X be reflexive, and let C be non compact. Then the following are equivalent:

*i*) C has the Drop Property;

*ii)* C has non empty interior and has the property  $(\alpha)$ ;

iii) C has the Microscopic Drop Property.

**Proof.** *i*From Theorem 7 and 8 of [5] *i*) and *ii*) are equivalent. As already pointed out the implication  $i \ge iii$ ) is trivial. The implication  $iii \ge ii$  is an immediate consequence of **Theorems 5, 6** and **7**.

## References

- J. APPELL, E. D'ANIELLO, M. VÄTH, Some remarks on small sets. Ric. di Matematica 50, (2001), 1-20.
- [2] C. DONNINI, A. MARTELLOTTI, Measures of smallness in a Banach space. forthcoming.
- [3] J.R. GILES AND D.N. KUTZAROVA, Characterization of drop and weak drop properties for closed bounded convex sets. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 43, (1991), 377-385.
- [4] D.N. KUTZAROVA, On the drop property of convex sets in Banach spaces. Constructive theory of Function'87, Sofia (1988), 283-287.
- [5] D.N. KUTZAROVA AND S. ROLEWICZ, On the drop property for convex sets. Arch. Math., 56, (1991), 501-511.
- [6] A. MARTELLOTTI, The continuity of the Drop Mapping. N. Zeland J. Math. 31, (2002) 43-53.
- [7] V. MONTESINOS, Drop property equals reflexivity, Studia Math. 87, (1987), 93-100.
- [8] D. PALLASCHKE, S. ROLEWICZ, Foundation of mathematical optimization, Mathematics and its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (1997).
- [9] S. ROLEWICZ, On drop property. Studia Math. 85, (1987), 27-35.
- [10] S. ROLEWICZ, On  $\Delta$ -uniform convexity and drop property, Studia Math. 87, (1987), 181-191.

	(+)	(++)
Postal Address	Dipartimento di Matematica	Dipartimento di Matematica
	e Informatica	e Informatica
	via Pascoli 06123 Perugia Italy	via Pascoli 06123 Perugia Italy
Telephone	0039 + 75.585.50.41	0039 + 75.585.50.41
FAX	0039 + 75.585.50.24	0039 + 75.585.50.24
e-mail	chiara. donnini@libero. it	amart@dipmat.unipg.it