CONGRUENCES ON BCC-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN

Received February 23, 2003

ABSTRACT. Using fuzzy *BCC*-ideals, the quotient structure of *BCC*-algebras is discussed. We show that (1) If $\mathfrak{f}: G \to H$ is an onto homomorphism of *BCC*-algebras, and if \overline{B} is a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of H, then $G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is isomorphic to H/\overline{B} ; (2) If \overline{A} and \overline{B} are fuzzy *BCC*-ideals of *BCC*-algebras G and H, respectively, then $\frac{G \times H}{A \times B} \cong G/\overline{A} \times H/\overline{B}$; and (3) If \overline{A} is a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of G, and if J is a *BCC*-ideal of G such that J/\overline{A} is a *BCC*-ideal of G/\overline{A} , then $\frac{G/\overline{A}}{J/\overline{A}} \cong G/J$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1966, Y. Imai and K. Iséki ([8]) defined a class of algebras of type (2,0) called *BCK*algebras which generalizes on one hand the notion of algebra of sets with the set subtraction as the only fundamental non-nullary operation, on the other hand the notion of implication algebra ([9]). The class of all BCK-algebras is a quasivariety. K. Iséki posed an interesting problem (solved by A. Wroński [11]) whether the class of BCK-algebras is a variety. In connection with this problem, Y. Komori ([10]) introduced a notion of BCC-algebras, and W. A. Dudek ([1, 2]) redefined the notion of BCC-algebras by using a dual form of the ordinary definition in the sense of Y. Komori. In [6], W. A. Dudek and X. H. Zhang introduced a notion of BCC-ideals in BCC-algebras and described connections between such ideals and congruences. W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun ([3]) considered the fuzzification of BCC-ideals in BCC-algebras. They showed that every fuzzy BCC-ideal of a BCC-algebra is a fuzzy BCK-ideal, and showed that the converse is not true by providing an example. They also proved that in a BCC-algebra every fuzzy BCK-ideal is a fuzzy BCC-subalgebra, and in a BCK-algebra the notion of a fuzzy BCK-ideal and a fuzzy BCC-ideal coincide. W. A. Dudek, Y. B. Jun and Z. Stojaković ([5]) described several properties of fuzzy BCCideals in BCC-algebras, and discussed an extension of fuzzy BCC-ideals. In this paper we consider the quotient structure of BCC-algebras using fuzzy BCC-ideals. We show that (1) If $\mathfrak{f}: G \to H$ is an onto homomorphism of BCC-algebras, and if \overline{B} is a fuzzy BCCideal of H, then $G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is isomorphic to H/\overline{B} ; (2) If \overline{A} and \overline{B} are fuzzy BCC-ideals of BCC-algebras G and H, respectively, then $\frac{G \times H}{A \times B} \cong G/\overline{A} \times H/\overline{B}$; and (3) If \overline{A} is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G, and if J is a BCC-ideal of G such that J/\overline{A} is a BCC-ideal of G/\overline{A} , then $\frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/\bar{A}} \cong G/J.$

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a *BCC-algebra* is an algebra (G, *, 0) of type (2, 0) satisfying the following axioms:

 $\begin{array}{ll} ({\rm C1}) & ((x*y)*(z*y))*(x*z)=0, \\ ({\rm C2}) & 0*x=0, \end{array}$

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35, 03G25, 04A72. Key words and phrases. (fuzzy) BCC/BCK-ideal.

Y. B. Jun

- (C3) x * 0 = x,
- (C4) x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y.

for every $x, y, z \in G$. For any *BCC*-algebra *G*, the relation \leq defined by $x \leq y$ if and only if x * y = 0 is a partial order on *G*. In a *BCC*-algebra *G*, the following holds (see [7]).

- $(\mathbf{p1}) \ x \le x,$
- $(p2) \quad x * y \le x,$
- (p3) $x \leq y$ implies $x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. Any *BCK*-algebra is a *BCC*-algebra, but there are *BCC*-algebras which are not *BCK*-algebras (see [2]). Note that a *BCC*-algebra is a *BCK*-algebra if and only if it satisfies

• $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y, \forall x, y, z \in G.$

- A non-empty subset A of a BCC-algebra G is called a BCC-ideal of G if it satisfies • $0 \in A$,
 - $\forall x, y, z \in G, y \in A, (x * y) * z \in A \Rightarrow x * z \in A.$

Note that any BCC-ideal of a BCC-algebra is a BCC-subalgebra (see [6]).

Definition 2.1. [3] A fuzzy set \overline{A} in a *BCC*-algebra *G* is called a *fuzzy BCC*-*ideal* of *G* if it satisfies

- (F1) $\bar{A}(0) \ge \bar{A}(x), \forall x \in G,$
- (F2) $\overline{A}(x * y) \ge \min\{\overline{A}((x * a) * y), \overline{A}(a)\}, \forall a, x, y \in G.$

Definition 2.2. [3] A fuzzy set \overline{A} in a *BCC*-algebra *G* is called a *fuzzy BCK-ideal* of *G* if it satisfies (F1) and

(F3) $\overline{A}(x) \ge \min\{\overline{A}(x * y), \overline{A}(y)\}, \forall x, y \in G.$

Lemma 2.3. [3, Theorem 4.3] In a BCC-algebra, every fuzzy BCC-ideal is a fuzzy BCK-ideal.

3. Congruence relations

In what follows, let G denote a *BCC*-algebra unless otherwise specified. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of G and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. We consider a relation on G as follows:

$$\Re_{\bar{A},\alpha} := \{ (x,y) \in G \times G \mid \bar{A}(x*y) > \alpha, \, \bar{A}(y*x) > \alpha \}.$$

Lemma 3.1. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. If $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha} \neq \emptyset$, then $\overline{A}(0) > \alpha$. *Proof.* If $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha} \neq \emptyset$, then there exists $(x, y) \in G \times G$ such that $\overline{A}(x * y) > \alpha$. It follows from

(F1) that $\bar{A}(0) \ge \bar{A}(x * y) > \alpha$. This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.2. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. If $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha} \neq \emptyset$, then $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$ is a congruence on G

Proof. Note from (p1) and Lemma 3.1 that $\bar{A}(x * x) = \bar{A}(0) > \alpha$ for all $x \in G$. Hence $(x, x) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ for all $x \in G$, and so $\Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ is reflexive. Obviously, $\Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ is symmetric. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that $(x, y) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ and $(y, z) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$. Then $\bar{A}(x * y) > \alpha$, $\bar{A}(y * x) > \alpha$, $\bar{A}(y * z) > \alpha$, and $\bar{A}(z * y) > \alpha$. Since ((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y) = 0 by (C1), we have

$$\bar{A}(((x\ast z)\ast (y\ast z))\ast (x\ast y))=\bar{A}(0)>\alpha$$

Since \overline{A} is a fuzzy *BCK*-ideal by Lemma 2.3, it follows from (F3) that

$$A((x * z) * (y * z)) \ge \min\{A(((x * z) * (y * z)) * (x * y)), A(x * y)\} > \alpha$$

so that $\bar{A}(x * z) \ge \min\{\bar{A}((x * z) * (y * z)), \bar{A}(y * z)\} > \alpha$. Similarly we have $\bar{A}(z * x) > \alpha$, and thus $(x, z) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$. Therefore $\Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ is transitive, and hence $\Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ is an equivalence

relation on G. Now, let $x, y, u, v \in G$ be such that $(x, u) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ and $(y, v) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$. Then $\bar{A}(x * u) > \alpha$, $\bar{A}(u * x) > \alpha$, $\bar{A}(y * v) > \alpha$, and $\bar{A}(v * y) > \alpha$. Since ((x * y) * (u * y)) * (x * u) = 0, we have

$$\begin{split} \bar{A}((x*y)*(u*y)) &\geq \min\{\bar{A}(((x*y)*(u*y))*(x*u)), \bar{A}(x*u)\}\\ &= \min\{\bar{A}(0), \bar{A}(x*u)\} > \alpha. \end{split}$$

Similarly, $\overline{A}((u * y) * (x * y)) > \alpha$. Hence $(x * y, u * y) \in \Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$. On the other hand, since ((u * y) * (v * y)) * (u * v) = 0, it follows from (F2) and Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \bar{A}((u*y)*(u*v)) & \geq & \min\{\bar{A}(((u*y)*(v*y))*(u*v)), \, \bar{A}(v*y)\} \\ & = & \min\{\bar{A}(0), \, \bar{A}(v*y)\} > \alpha. \end{array}$$

Similarly, we get $\overline{A}((u * v) * (u * y)) > \alpha$. Therefore $(u * y, u * v) \in \Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$. Using the transitivity of $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$, we conclude that $(x * y, u * v) \in \Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$. Consequently, $\Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}$ is a congruence on G. \Box

Corollary 3.3. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G and $\alpha \in [0,1)$. If $\overline{A}(0) > \alpha$, then $\Re_{\overline{A},\alpha}$ is a congruence on G.

Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of G and let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Denote by $[x]^A_{\alpha}$ the set $\{y \in G \mid (x, y) \in \Re_{\overline{A}, \alpha}\}$ and by G/\overline{A} the set $\{[x]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha} \mid x \in G\}$. Define a binary operation \ominus on G/\overline{A} by

$$[x]^A_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^A_{\alpha} = [x * y]^A_{\alpha}$$

for all $x, y \in G$. First we shall verify that the operation \ominus is well-defined. Assume that $[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$ and $[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [v]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$, i.e., $(x, u) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ and $(y, v) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$. Then $(x * y, u * v) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$, since $\Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$ is a congruence on G. Let $w \in [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$. Then $(w, x * y) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$, and so $(w, u * v) \in \Re_{\bar{A}, \alpha}$. Hence $w \in [u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [v]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$, and therefore $[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [v]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$. Consequently, the operation \ominus is well-defined. Next we show that G/\bar{A} is a *BCC*-algebra with respect to the operation \ominus . Let $[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in G/\bar{A}$. Then

$$\begin{array}{l} (([x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}) \ominus ([z]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}})) \ominus ([x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [z]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}) \\ = & ([x*y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [z*y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}) \ominus [x*z]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \\ = & [(x*y)*(z*y)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [x*z]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \\ = & [((x*y)*(z*y))*(x*z)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \\ = & [0]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}, \end{array}$$

which shows that (C1) is true. Similarly, we obtain (C2) and (C3). Suppose that $[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$ and $[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$. Then $[x * y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [y * x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$, which implies that $\bar{A}(x * y) = \bar{A}((x * y) * 0) > \alpha$ and $\bar{A}(y * x) = \bar{A}((y * x) * 0) > \alpha$. Hence $(x, y) \in \Re_{\bar{A},\alpha}$, and so $[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$. Therefore we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4. If \overline{A} is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, then $(G/\overline{A}, \ominus, [0]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha})$ is a BCC-algebra.

Using a *BCC*-ideal, Dudek and Zhang gave a congruence relation on *G* as follows: Let *J* be a *BCC*-ideal of *G* and let $x, y \in G$. The relation \sim on *G* defined by

$$x \sim y$$
 if and only if $x * y \in J$ and $y * x \in J$

is a congruence on G (see [6]). We denote the equivalence class containing x by $||x||_J$, i.e.,

$$||x||_J := \{ y \in G \mid x \sim y \}.$$

Note that $x \sim y$ if and only if $||x||_J = ||y||_J$. Denote the set of all equivalence classes of G by G/J, i.e., $G/J := \{||x||_J \mid x \in G\}$. Then $(G/J, *, ||0||_J)$ is a *BCC*-algebra.

Let \mathfrak{f} be a mapping defined on G. If \overline{B} is a fuzzy set in $\mathfrak{f}(G)$, then the fuzzy set $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B}) := \overline{B} \circ \mathfrak{f}$ in G, i.e., the fuzzy set defined by $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})(x) = \overline{B}(\mathfrak{f}(x))$ for all $x \in G$, is called the *preimage* of \overline{B} under \mathfrak{f} .

Lemma 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{f}: G \to H$ be an onto homomorphism of BCC-algebras. If \overline{B} is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of H, then $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G.

Proof. Assume that \overline{B} is a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of *H*. Taking "min" instead of a *t*-norm "*T*" in [4, Proposition 3], we know that $\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of *G*.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathfrak{f}: G \to H$ be an onto homomorphism of BCC-algebras. If \overline{B} is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of H, then $G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\overline{B})$ is isomorphic to H/\overline{B} .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. Define a mapping $\mathfrak{h} : G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B}) \to H/\bar{B}$ by

$$\mathfrak{h}([x]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(B)}_{\alpha}) = [\mathfrak{f}(x)]^B_{\alpha}, \ \forall [x]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(B)}_{\alpha} \in G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B}).$$

Assume that $[x]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})} = [y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}$. Then $(x, y) \in \Re_{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B}), \alpha}$, and so

$$\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(x)\ast\mathfrak{f}(y))=\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(x\ast y))=\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})(x\ast y)>\alpha$$

and

$$\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(y)*\mathfrak{f}(x))=\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(y*x))=\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})(y*x)>\alpha.$$

It follows that $(\mathfrak{f}(x),\mathfrak{f}(y)) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\bar{B},\alpha}$ so that $[\mathfrak{f}(x)]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} = [\mathfrak{f}(y)]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}$. Hence \mathfrak{h} is well-defined. We claim that \mathfrak{h} is one-one. For any $[x]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}_{\alpha}, [y]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}_{\alpha} \in G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})$, if $\mathfrak{h}([x]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}_{\alpha}) = \mathfrak{h}([y]^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}_{\alpha})$ then $[\mathfrak{f}(x)]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} = [\mathfrak{f}(y)]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}$ and hence $(\mathfrak{f}(x),\mathfrak{f}(y)) \in \mathfrak{R}_{\bar{B},\alpha}$. Thus

$$\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})(x\ast y) = \bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(x\ast y)) = \bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(x)\ast \mathfrak{f}(y)) > \alpha$$

 and

$$\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})(y\ast x)=\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(y\ast x))=\bar{B}(\mathfrak{f}(y)\ast \mathfrak{f}(x))>\alpha$$

Therefore $(x, y) \in \Re_{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B}), \alpha}$, that is, $[x]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})} = [y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}$. Obviously, \mathfrak{h} is onto. Finally, we show that \mathfrak{h} is a homomorphism. Let $[x]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}, [y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})} \in G/\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})$. Then

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{h}([x]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})} \ominus [y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}) &= \mathfrak{h}([x*y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}) \\ &= [\mathfrak{f}(x*y)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}} = [\mathfrak{f}(x)*\mathfrak{f}(y)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}} \\ &= [\mathfrak{f}(x)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}} \ominus [\mathfrak{f}(y)]_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}} \\ &= \mathfrak{h}([x]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}) \ominus \mathfrak{h}([y]_{\alpha}^{\mathfrak{f}^{-1}(\bar{B})}). \end{split}$$

This proves the theorem.

Given a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of *G* and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, the *BCC*-homomorphism $\pi : G \to G/\overline{A}$, $x \mapsto [x]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha}$, is called the *natural* (or *canonical*) homomorphism of *G* onto G/\overline{A} . In the above Theorem 3.6, if we define canonical homomorphisms $p : G \to G/\overline{A}$ and $q : H \to H/\overline{B}$ then it is easy to show that $\mathfrak{h} \circ p = q \circ \mathfrak{f}$, i.e., the following diagram commutes:



The fundamental homomorphism theorem for BCC-algebras is well-known, i.e., if $\mathfrak{f}: G \to H$ is an onto homomorphism of BCC-algebras, then $G/Ker\mathfrak{f} \cong H$. Given BCC-algebras G_1 and G_2 define a binary operation " \odot " on $G_1 \times G_2$ by

$$(x_1, x_2) \odot (y_1, y_2) := (x_1 * y_1, x_2 * y_2), \, \forall (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \in G_1 \times G_2.$$

Then it can be easily seen that $(G_1 \times G_2; \odot, (0, 0))$ is a *BCC*-algebra. Now we discuss a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal in a *BCC*-algebra $G_1 \times G_2$.

Proposition 3.7. Let \overline{A} and \overline{B} be fuzzy BCC-ideals of BCC-algebras G_1 and G_2 respectively. Define a mapping $\overline{A} \times \overline{B} : G_1 \times G_2 \to [0,1]$ by

$$(\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(x,y) = \min\{\bar{A}(x), \bar{B}(y)\}, \, \forall (x,y) \in G_1 \times G_2.$$

Then $\overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ is a fuzzy BCC-ideal of $G_1 \times G_2$.

Proof. For any $(x, y) \in G_1 \times G_2$ we have

$$(\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(0,0) = \min\{\bar{A}(0), \bar{B}(0)\} \ge \min\{\bar{A}(x), \bar{B}(y)\} = (\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(x,y).$$

Let $(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2), (a_1, a_2) \in G_1 \times G_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(((x_1, x_2) \odot (a_1, a_2)) \odot (y_1, y_2)) \\ &= &(\bar{A} \times \bar{B})((x_1 * a_1) * y_1, (x_2 * a_2) * y_2) \\ &= &\min\{\bar{A}((x_1 * a_1) * y_1), \, \bar{B}((x_2 * a_2) * y_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

and $(\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(a_1, a_2) = \min\{\bar{A}(a_1), \bar{B}(a_2)\}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} &(\bar{A}\times\bar{B})((x_1,x_2)\odot(y_1,y_2))\\ &= (\bar{A}\times\bar{B})(x_1*y_1,x_2*y_2) = \min\{\bar{A}(x_1*y_1),\,\bar{B}(x_2*y_2)\}\\ &\geq \min\{\min\{\bar{A}((x_1*a_1)*y_1),\bar{A}(a_1)\},\,\min\{\bar{B}((x_2*a_2)*y_2),\bar{B}(a_2)\}\}\\ &= \min\{\min\{\bar{A}((x_1*a_1)*y_1),\bar{B}((x_2*a_2)*y_2)\},\,\min\{\bar{A}(a_1),\bar{B}(a_2)\}\}\\ &= \min\{(\bar{A}\times\bar{B})(((x_1,x_2)\odot(a_1,a_2))\odot(y_1,y_2)),(\bar{A}\times\bar{B})(a_1,a_2)\}. \end{split}$$

This shows that $\overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ is a fuzzy *BCC*-ideal of $G_1 \times G_2$.

Theorem 3.8. If \overline{A} and \overline{B} are fuzzy BCC-ideals of BCC-algebras G and H, respectively, then $\frac{G \times H}{A \times B} \cong G/\overline{A} \times H/\overline{B}$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$. If we define $\Psi : G \times H \to G/\bar{A} \times H/\bar{B}$ by $\Psi(x,y) = ([x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [y]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha})$, then it is easy to verify that Ψ is an onto homomorphism. By the fundamental homomorphism theorem, we obtain $\frac{G \times H}{Ker\Psi} \cong G/\bar{A} \times H/\bar{B}$. We now claim that $||(x,y)||_{Ker\Psi} = [(x,y)]^{\bar{A} \times \bar{B}}_{\alpha}$. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} (a,b) &\in ||(x,y)||_{Ker\Psi} \\ \Leftrightarrow & (a,b) * (x,y) \in Ker\Psi, \, (x,y) * (a,b) \in Ker\Psi \\ \Leftrightarrow & (a*x,b*y) \in Ker\Psi, \, (x*a,y*b) \in Ker\Psi \\ \Leftrightarrow & \Psi(a*x,b*y) = ([0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [0]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}) = \Psi(x*a,y*b) \\ \Leftrightarrow & ([a*x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [b*y]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}) = ([0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [0]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}) = ([x*a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [y*b]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha}) \\ \Leftrightarrow & [a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [0]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, [b]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} = [0]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} = [y]^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} \\ \Leftrightarrow & (a,x) \in \Re^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}, (b,y) \in \Re^{\bar{B}}_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

Y. B. Jun

 and

$$\begin{split} (a,b) &\in [(x,y)]_{\alpha}^{A \times B} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad ((a,b),(x,y)) \in \Re_{\alpha}^{\bar{A} \times \bar{B}} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (\bar{A} \times \bar{B})((a,b) \odot (x,y)) > \alpha, \, (\bar{A} \times \bar{B})((x,y) \odot (a,b)) > \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(a * x, b * y) > \alpha, \, (\bar{A} \times \bar{B})(x * a, y * b) > \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \min\{\bar{A}(a * x), \bar{B}(b * y)\} > \alpha, \, \min\{\bar{A}(x * a), \bar{B}(y * b)\} > \alpha \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad (a,x) \in \Re_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}, \, (b,y) \in \Re_{\alpha}^{\bar{B}}, \end{split}$$

which shows that $||(x,y)||_{Ker\Psi} = [(x,y)]^{\bar{A} \times \bar{B}}_{\alpha}$. Hence

$$\frac{G \times H}{\bar{A} \times \bar{B}} = \frac{G \times H}{K e r \Psi} \cong G / \bar{A} \times H / \bar{B},$$

proving the proof.

Theorem 3.9. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G and let $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. If J^* is a BCC-ideal of G/\overline{A} , then there exists a BCC-ideal

$$J := \cup \{ [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \mid [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^* \}$$

in G such that $J/\overline{A} = J^*$.

Proof. If J^* is a *BCC*-ideal of G/\overline{A} , then $[0]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^*$ and so $0 \in J$. Let $x, y, z \in G$ be such that $y \in J$ and $(x * y) * z \in J$. Then $y \in [a]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha}$ and $(x * y) * z \in [b]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha}$ for some $[a]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha}, [b]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^*$. It follows that $[y]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha} = [a]^{\overline{A}}_{\alpha}$ and

$$[b]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [(x \ast y) \ast z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = ([x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}) \ominus [z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = ([x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}) \ominus [z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$$

so that $[x * z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [z]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^*$ since J^* is a *BCC*-ideal. Thus $x * z \in J$, and so J is a *BCC*-ideal of G. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} J/\bar{A} &= \{[u]^{A}_{\alpha} \mid u \in J\} \\ &= \{[u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \mid \exists [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^{*} \text{ such that } u \in [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}\} \\ &= \{[u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \mid \exists [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^{*} \text{ such that } [u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}\} \\ &= \{[u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \mid [u]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J^{*}\} \\ &= J^{*}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the proof.

Theorem 3.10. Let \overline{A} be a fuzzy BCC-ideal of G. If J is a BCC-ideal of G such that J/\overline{A} is a BCC-ideal of G/\overline{A} , then $\frac{G/\overline{A}}{J/\overline{A}} \cong G/J$.

 $\begin{array}{l} Proof. \text{ Define } \phi: \frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/\bar{A}} \to G/J \text{ by } \phi(||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) = ||x||_{J} \text{ for all } ||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}} \in \frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/\bar{A}}. \text{ Suppose that } ||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}} = ||[y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}} \text{ in } \frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/A}. \text{ Then } [x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \sim [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}, \text{ and so } [x * y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} = [x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \in J/\bar{A} \\ \text{ and } [y * x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} = [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \in J/\bar{A}. \text{ This means that } x * y \in J \text{ and } y * x \in J, \text{ i.e., } x \sim y. \text{ Thus } \\ \phi(||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) = ||x||_{J} = ||y||_{J} = \phi(||[y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}), \\ \text{ and so } \phi \text{ is well defined. For every } ||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}, ||[y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}} \in \frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/\bar{A}}, \text{ we have } \\ \phi(||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}} * ||[y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) = \phi(||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}} \ominus [y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) \\ = \phi(||[x * y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) = ||x * y||_{J} = ||x||_{J} * ||y||_{J} \\ = \phi(||[x]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}) * \phi(||[y]_{\alpha}^{\bar{A}}||_{J/\bar{A}}). \end{aligned}$

Hence ϕ is a homomorphism. Obviously, ϕ is onto. Finally, we show that ϕ is one-one. If $\phi(||[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}}) = \phi(||[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}})$, then $||x||_{J} = ||y||_{J}$ and hence $x \sim y$. If $[a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in ||[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}}$ then $[a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \sim [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}$ and hence $[a * x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J/\bar{A}$ and $[x * a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} = [x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \ominus [a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in J/\bar{A}$. It follows that $a * x, x * a \in J$, i.e., $a \sim x$ so that $a \sim y$. Hence $[a]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha} \in ||[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}}$, which shows that $||[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}} \subseteq ||[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}}$. Similarly, we obtain $||[y]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}} \subseteq ||[x]^{\bar{A}}_{\alpha}||_{J/\bar{A}}$. Therefore $\frac{G/\bar{A}}{J/A} \cong G/J$, proving the proof.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2001-005-D00002).

References

- W. A. Dudek, The number of subalgebras of finite BCC-algebras, Bull. Inst. Math. Academia Sinica bf 20 (1992), 129-136.
- [2] W. A. Dudek, On proper BCC-algebras, Bull. Inst. Math. Academia Sinica 20 (1992), 137-150.
- [3] W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, Fuzzy BCC-ideals in BCC-algebras, Mathematica Montisnigri 10 (1999), 21-30.
- [4] W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun, On fuzzy BCC-ideals over a t-norm, Mathematical Communications 5 (2000), 149-155.
- [5] W. A. Dudek, Y. B. Jun and Z. Stojaković, On fuzzy ideals in BCC-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 123 (2001), 251-258.
- [6] W. A. Dudek and X. H. Zhang, On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 48(123) (1998), 21-29.
- [7] J. Hao, Ideal theory of BCC-algebras, Scientiae Mathematicae 1(3) (1998), 373-381.
- [8] Y. Imai and K. Iséki, On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV, Proc. Japan Academy 42 (1966), 19-22.
- [9] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japon. 23 (1978), 1-26.
- [10] Y. Komori, The class of BCC-algebras is not a variety, Math. Japon. 29 (1984), 391-394.
- [11] A. Wroński, BCK-algebras do not form a variety, Math. Japon. 28 (1983), 211-213.

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju (Jinju) 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: ybjun@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr