

ON THE EXISTENCE OF (γ_p) k -SET CONTRACTIVE RETRACTIONS IN $L_p[0, 1]$ SPACES, $1 \leq p < \infty$

ALESSANDRO TROMBETTA* AND GIULIO TROMBETTA**

Received August 3, 2001; revised December 20, 2001

ABSTRACT. We prove that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a retraction of the closed unit ball in the space $L_p[0, 1]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, onto the unit sphere being a (γ_p) $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -set contractive retraction.

1 Introduction. Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space with the closed unit ball B and the unit sphere S . A continuous mapping $R : B \rightarrow S$ with $Rx = x$ for any $x \in S$ is a retraction of the ball onto the sphere. Since the works of Nowak [5] and Benyamini and Sternfeld [2] it is known that, for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X , there exists a k -lipschitzian retraction $R : B \rightarrow S$ (i.e. a retraction satisfying the Lipschitz condition $\|Rx - Ry\| \leq k\|x - y\|$, for all $x, y \in B$). Let ψ be a measure of noncompactness defined on X (see Section 2). A mapping $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be a (ψ) k -set contraction if there exists a constant $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\psi(TA) \leq k\psi(A), \quad \text{for all bounded sets } A \subset D(T).$$

We set

$$k_0(X) := \inf \{k \geq 1 : \text{there is a } k\text{-lipschitzian retraction } R : B \rightarrow S\},$$

$$k_\psi(X) := \inf \{k \geq 1 : \text{there is a } (\psi) \text{ } k\text{-set contractive retraction } R : B \rightarrow S\}.$$

In [3] it is proved that $k_0(X) \geq 3$. Recall that the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness γ on a Banach space X is defined by

$$\gamma(A) := \inf \{r > 0 : A \text{ can be covered by a finite number of balls centered in } X\},$$

for all bounded sets $A \subset X$. If R is a k -lipschitzian retraction it is also (γ) k -set contractive. So that $k_\gamma(X) \leq k_0(X)$ for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X . See the book of Toledano, Benavides and Acedo [7] and the references therein for more details concerning measures of noncompactness and (ψ) k -set contractions. In [9], the author proved that $k_\gamma(C[0, 1]) = 1$ and that, for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X , there is no retraction $R : B \rightarrow S$ being both, k -lipschitzian for some constant k and (γ) 1-set contractive.

Further he posed the problem to estimate $k_\gamma(X)$ for particular classical Banach spaces and to establish for which spaces is $k_\gamma(X) < k_0(X)$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, let γ_p be the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness on $L_p[0, 1]$. In the present note we prove that $k_{\gamma_p}(L_p[0, 1]) \leq 2$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. Moreover, we observe that, for any infinite-dimensional Banach space X and for any measure of noncompactness ψ defined on X , there is no (γ) 1-set contractive retraction $R : B \rightarrow S$ being k -lipschitzian for some constant k .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E30, 47H09.

Key words and phrases. retraction, k -set contraction, measure of noncompactness.

2 Notations and definitions. Let X be a Banach space and \mathcal{B} the family of all bounded subsets of X . A mapping $\psi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$ is called a measure of noncompactness on X if it satisfies the following properties:

- 1) $\psi(A) = 0$ if and only if A is precompact ;
- 2) $\psi(\overline{\text{co}}A) = \psi(A)$, where $\overline{\text{co}}A$ denotes the closed convex hull of A ;
- 3) $\psi(A \cup B) = \max \{ \psi(A), \psi(B) \}$;
- 4) $\psi(A + B) \leq \psi(A) + \psi(B)$;
- 5) $\psi(\lambda A) = |\lambda| \psi(A)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $L_p := L_p[0, 1]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, be the classical Lebesgue spaces with the usual norm denoted by $\|\cdot\|_p$. In the following we will assume $1 \leq p < \infty$ and we will always denote by S_p and B_p the unit sphere and the unit closed ball of L_p , respectively. Moreover, every function $f \in L_p$ will be extended outside $[0, 1]$ by 0. Then for $f \in L_p$ and $h > 0$ consider the Steklow function

$$f_h(t) = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{[t-h, t+h]} f(s) ds,$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$. For any bounded set $A \subset L_p[0, 1]$, we set

$$\omega_p(A) := \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \max_{f \in A} \max_{0 < h \leq \delta} \|f - f_h\|_p.$$

It can be shown that ω_p is a measure of non compactness on L_p . Moreover, as a straightforward consequence of the Kolmogorov compactness criterion in the spaces L_p (see, e. g., [4]) we get the following

Theorem 1 *Let A be a bounded subset of L_p . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2}\omega_p(A) \leq \gamma_p(A) \leq \omega_p(A).$$

Remark 2 *In [8], V ath notes that the precise formula for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in L_p*

$$\gamma_p(A) = \frac{1}{2}\omega_p(A),$$

given in [1] is false; see also [16].

3 Results. Define a mapping $Q_p : B_p \rightarrow B_p$ by

$$(Q_p f)(t) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f\|_p} t \right), & \text{for } t \in \left[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2} \right], \\ 0, & \text{for } t \in \left] \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}, 1 \right]. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that $\|Q_p f\|_p = \|f\|_p$ for all $f \in B_p$ and $Q_p f = f$ for all $f \in S_p$.

Proposition 3 *The mapping Q_p is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}$ be a sequence of elements of B_p such that $f_n \rightarrow f (n \rightarrow \infty)$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$. Set

$$A_n := \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{2}\right] \cap \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f\|_p}{2}\right],$$

$$B_n := \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{2}\right] \Delta \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f\|_p}{2}\right],$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where Δ denotes the symmetric difference. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since the family $\{f, f_1, f_2, \dots\}$ has uniformly continuous norms and $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$, we can find an $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f_n - f\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q_p f_n - Q_p f\|_p &\leq \|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p + \|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{B_n}\|_p \\ &\leq \|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{5}, \text{ for all } n \geq n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$. Then, by the change of variables

$$s := \frac{2}{1 + \|f_n\|_p} t \left(t \in \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{2}\right] \right), \text{ it follows that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p \\ &= \left[\int_{\left[0, \frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{2}\right]} \left| \left(\frac{2}{1 + \|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \left(\frac{2}{1 + \|f_n\|_p} t \right) - \left(\frac{2}{1 + \|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{2}{1 + \|f\|_p} t \right) \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| f_n(s) - \left(\frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{1 + \|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{1 + \|f\|_p} s \right) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_p + \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| f(s) - \left(\frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{1 + \|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1 + \|f_n\|_p}{1 + \|f\|_p} s \right) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose $\|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p$. Then, by the change of variables

$$s := \frac{2}{1 + \|f\|_p} t \left(t \in \left[0, \frac{1 + \|f\|_p}{2}\right] \right), \text{ it follows that}$$

$$\|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\int_{\left[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}\right]} \left| \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f_n\|_p} t \right) - \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{2}{1+\|f\|_p} t \right) \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) - f(s) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leq \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) - \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\quad + \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) - f(s) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the change of variables $u := \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s$ ($s \in [0, 1]$), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f_n \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) - \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&= \left[\int_{\left[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}\right]} |f_n(u) - f(u)|^p du \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|f_n - f\|_p.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p$$

$$\leq \|f_n - f\|_p + \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} s \right) - f(s) \right|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We set

$$h_n(t) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p} t \right), & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \\ \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} f \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} t \right), & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p, \end{cases} \quad (t \in [0, 1]),$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Choose a continuous function $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|g - f\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. We put

$$g_n(t) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}t\right), & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \\ \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}t\right), & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p, \end{cases} \quad (t \in [0, 1]),$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$. By the change of variables $s := \frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}t$ ($t \in [0, 1]$), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|g_n - h_n\|_p \\ &= \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| \left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[g\left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}t\right) - f\left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}t\right) \right] \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left[\int_{[0, \frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}]} |g(s) - f(s)|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|g - f\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p$, by the change of variables $s := \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}t$ ($t \in [0, 1]$), it follows again $\|g_n - h_n\|_p \leq \|g - f\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$. Since g is continuous, $\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p} \rightarrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) and $\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p} \rightarrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) we have that

$$|g_n(t) - g(t)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

for each $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $g_n(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), for each $t \in [0, 1]$. On the other hand

$$\|g - g_n\|_p = \begin{cases} \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| g(t) - \left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(\frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}t\right) \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \\ \left[\int_{[0,1]} \left| g(t) - \left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} g\left(\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}t\right) \right|^p dt \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\|g_n\|_p = \begin{cases} \left[\int_{[0, \frac{1+\|f_n\|_p}{1+\|f\|_p}]} |g(s)|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p \leq \|f\|_p, \\ \left[\int_{[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{1+\|f_n\|_p}]} |g(s)|^p ds \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}, & \text{if } \|f_n\|_p > \|f\|_p. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\lim_n \|g_n\|_p = \|g\|_p.$$

So that $\lim_n \|g_n - g\|_p = 0$. Let $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|g_n - g\|_p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$, for any $n \geq n_2$. Set $\nu := \{n_1, n_2\}$, we have

$$\|Q_p f_n - Q_p f\|_p \leq \|(Q_p f_n - Q_p f)\chi_{A_n}\|_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|f_n - f\|_p + \|f - h_n\|_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \\ &\leq \|f_n - f\|_p + \|f - g\|_p + \|g - g_n\|_p + \|g_n - h_n\|_p + \frac{\varepsilon}{5} \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq \nu$. ■

Proposition 4 *The mapping Q_p is an (γ_p) 2-set contraction.*

Proof. Let $f \in B_p$ and $0 < h \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Set $\alpha := \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}$. In this proof we consider the Steklov function $f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}$ of f defined by

$$f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2h} \int_{[t-\frac{h}{\alpha}, t+\frac{h}{\alpha}]} f(s) ds,$$

for $t \in [0, 3/2]$ and equal to 0 elsewhere. Moreover, we still denote by $\|\cdot\|_p$ the usual norm on $L_p[0, 3/2]$. We start to prove that

$$\|f - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}\|_p = \|Q_p f - (Q_p f)h\|_p.$$

Infact

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}\|_p^p &= \int_{[0, \frac{3}{2}]} |f(\tau) - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(\tau)|^p d\tau \\ &= \int_{[0, \frac{h}{\alpha}]} |f(\tau) - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(\tau)|^p d\tau + \int_{[\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1-\frac{h}{\alpha}]} |f(\tau) - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(\tau)|^p d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{[1-\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1]} |f(\tau) - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(\tau)|^p d\tau + \int_{[1, 1+\frac{h}{\alpha}]} |f(\tau) - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}(\tau)|^p d\tau \\ &= \int_{[0, \frac{h}{\alpha}]} \left| f(\tau) - \frac{\alpha}{2h} \int_{[0, \tau+\frac{h}{\alpha}]} f(s) ds \right|^p d\tau + \int_{[\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1-\frac{h}{\alpha}]} \left| f(\tau) - \frac{\alpha}{2h} \int_{[\tau-\frac{h}{\alpha}, \tau+\frac{h}{\alpha}]} f(s) ds \right|^p d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{[1-\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1]} \left| f(\tau) - \frac{\alpha}{2h} \int_{[\tau-\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1]} f(s) ds \right|^p d\tau + \int_{[1, 1+\frac{h}{\alpha}]} \left| -\frac{\alpha}{2h} \int_{[\tau-\frac{h}{\alpha}, 1]} f(s) ds \right|^p d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

By the change of variables $\tau = \frac{t}{\alpha}$ and $s = \frac{x}{\alpha}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}\|_p^p &= \int_{[0, h]} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{[0, t+h]} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) dx \right|^p dt \\ &\quad + \int_{[h, \alpha-h]} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{[t-h, t+h]} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) dx \right|^p dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \int_{[\alpha-h, \alpha]} \left| \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) - \frac{1}{2h} \int_{[t-h, \alpha]} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) dx \right|^p dt \\
 &+ \int_{[\alpha, \alpha+h]} \left| -\frac{1}{2h} \int_{[t-h, \alpha]} \frac{1}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}} f\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right) dx \right|^p dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|f - f_{\frac{h}{\alpha}}\|_p^p &= \int_{[0, h]} |Q_p f(t) - (Q_p f)_h(t)|^p dt + \int_{[h, \alpha-h]} |Q_p f(t) - (Q_p f)_h(t)|^p dt \\
 &+ \int_{[\alpha-h, \alpha]} |Q_p f(t) - (Q_p f)_h(t)|^p dt + \int_{[\alpha, \alpha+h]} |Q_p f(t) - (Q_p f)_h(t)|^p dt \\
 &= \|Q_p f - (Q_p f)_h\|_p^p.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then, for any set $A \subset B_p$, we have that

$$\omega'_p(Q_p A) = \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \max_{f \in A} \max_{0 < h \leq \delta} \|Q_p f - (Q_p f)_h\|_p \leq \limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \max_{f \in A} \max_{0 < h \leq 2\delta} \|f - f_h\|_p = \omega'_p(A),$$

where ω'_p is defined on $L_p [0, 3/2]$ as ω_p . Let γ'_p be the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness on $L_p [0, 3/2]$. Then, since $\gamma_p(C) = \gamma'_p(C)$ for all sets $C \subset B_p$ and an analogous of Theorem 1 holds in $L_p [0, 3/2]$, we have that

$$\gamma_p(Q_p A) \leq \omega'_p(Q_p A) \leq \omega'_p(A) \leq 2\gamma_p(A),$$

for all sets $A \subset B_p$. ■

For any $u > 0$, we define the mapping $P_{p,u} : B_p \rightarrow L_p$ putting

$$(P_{p,u} f)(t) := \max \left\{ 0, \frac{u}{2} (2t - \|f\|_p - 1) \right\}, (t \in [0, 1]).$$

Observe that, for any $u > 0$ and for all $f \in B_p$, we have $(P_{p,u} f)(t) = 0$ for any $t \in \left[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}\right]$. Moreover, it easy to see that, for any $u > 0$, the mapping $P_{p,u}$ is continuous and compact. We set, for any $u > 0$,

$$F_{p,u}(\lambda) := \lambda^p + \frac{1}{2(p+1)} \left(\frac{u}{2}\right)^p (1-\lambda)^{p+1}, (\lambda \in [0, 1]).$$

Then, it is simple to verify that $F_{p,u}$ attains its minimum for a unique $\lambda_u \in]0, 1[$. Moreover, $0 < F_{p,u}(\lambda_u) < 1$ and

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_u = 1.$$

For any $u > 0$, consider the mapping $T_{p,u} : B_p \rightarrow L_p$ defined by

$$T_{p,u} f = Q_p f + P_{p,u} f.$$

Clearly, the mapping $T_{p,u}$ is an (γ_p) 2-set contraction, and $T_{p,u}f = f$ for any $f \in B_p$. Further, for any $u > 0$ and for all $f \in B_p$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{p,u}f\|_p^p &= \int_{[0, \frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}]} |Q_p f(t)|^p dt + \int_{[\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}, 1]} |Q_p f(t) + P_{p,u}f(t)|^p dt \\ &= \|f\|_p^p + \int_{[\frac{1+\|f\|_p}{2}, 1]} \left| \frac{u}{2} (2t - \|f\|_p - 1) \right|^p dt \\ &= \|f\|_p^p + \frac{1}{2(p+1)} \left(\frac{u}{2} \right)^p (1 - \|f\|_p)^{p+1} = F_{p,u}(\|f\|_p^p). \end{aligned}$$

So that, $\|T_{p,u}f\|_p^p \geq F_{p,u}(\lambda_u)$ for any $f \in B_p$. Now, we define

$$R_{p,u}f = \frac{1}{\|T_{p,u}f\|_p} T_{p,u}f.$$

Then, for any set $A \subset B_p$, we have that

$$\gamma_p(R_{p,u}A) \leq \left(\frac{1}{F_{p,u}(\lambda_u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} 2\gamma_p(A).$$

Therefore $R_{p,u} : B_p \rightarrow S_p$ is a (γ_p) $2 \left(\frac{1}{F_{p,u}(\lambda_u)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ -set contractive retraction. Since $\lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} F_{p,u}(\lambda_u) = 1$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $u > 0$ such that the mapping $R_{p,u} : B_p \rightarrow S_p$ is a (γ_p) $(2 + \varepsilon)$ -set contractive retraction. Thus, the following result holds.

Theorem 5 $k_{\gamma_p}(L_p) \leq 2$.

In the context above described the following question remains open.

Problem 6 *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and let ψ be a measure of noncompactness on X . Does there exist a (ψ) 1-set contractive retraction $R : B \rightarrow S$?*

However, we have that

Theorem 7 *Let X be an infinite-dimensional Banach space and let ψ be a measure of noncompactness on X . If $R : B \rightarrow S$ is a (ψ) 1-set contractive retraction, then it is not k -lipschitzian for any constant k .*

The proof of the above theorem is carried out analogously to the proof of Theorem II in [9].

REFERENCES

- [1] R. R. Akhmerov, M. I. Kamenskii, A. S. Potapov, A. S. Rodkina and B. N. Sadovskii, *Measures of noncompactness and condensing operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1992.
- [2] Y. Benyamini and Y. Sternfeld, *Spheres in infinite dimensional normed spaces are Lipschitz contractible*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88** (1983), 439-445.

- [3] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in metric fixed point theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.
- [4] A. Kufner, J. Oldřich and F. Svatopluk, *Function Spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, Prague, 1977.
- [5] B. Nowak, *On the Lipschitzian retraction of the unit ball in infinite dimensional Banach spaces onto its boundary*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math., **27** (1979), 861-864.
- [6] A. Otáhal, *Measure of noncompactness of subsets of Lebesgue spaces*, Cas. Pestovani. Mat., **103** (1978), 67-72.
- [7] J. M. Toledano, T. D. Benavides and G. L. Acedo, *Measure of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory*, Birkhäuser, Basel, Boston, Berlin, 1997.
- [8] M. Văth, *A compactness criterion of mixed Krasnoselskiĭ-Riesz type in regular ideal spaces of vector functions*, Z. Anal. Anwendungen, **18** (1999) n. 3, 713-732.
- [9] J. Wosko, *An example related to the retraction problem*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, **45** (1991), 127-130.

*Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "Renato Caccioppoli",
Università di Napoli "Federico II", Via Cintia - 80126, Napoli, Italy
e-mail: aletromb@unical.it

**Dipartimento di Matematica, Università della Calabria,
87036 Arcavacata di Rende, Cosenza, Italy
e-mail: trombetta@unical.it