# ON *n*-INNER PRODUCTS, *n*-NORMS, AND THE CAUCHY-SCHWARZ INEQUALITY

HENDRA GUNAWAN

Received March 2, 2000; revised December 7, 2000

ABSTRACT. Our observation on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in an inner product space and 2-inner product space suggests how the concepts of inner products and 2-inner products, as well as norms and 2-norms, can be generalized to those of *n*-inner products and *n*-norms for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper, we offer a definition of *n*-inner products which is simpler than (but equivalent to) the one formulated by Misiak [9]. We also reprove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and give a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We are already familiar with inner products and norms. So, let us begin with the definition of 2-inner products and 2-norms.

Let X be a real vector space of dimension  $d \ge 2$ . A 2-inner product on X is a function  $\langle \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times X \times X \to \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (I1)  $\langle x|y,y\rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x,y \in X$ ;  $\langle x|y,y\rangle = 0$  if and only if x and y are linearly dependent;
- (I2)  $\langle x|y, y \rangle = \langle y|x, x \rangle$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (I3)  $\langle x|y, z \rangle = \langle x|z, y \rangle$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- (I4)  $\langle x|y, \alpha z \rangle = \alpha \langle x|y, z \rangle$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ ;
- (I5)  $\langle x|y, z+z' \rangle = \langle x|y, z \rangle + \langle x|y, z' \rangle$  for all  $x, y, z, z' \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, \langle \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called a 2-inner product space (see [2] and [3]). Note that, for generalization purpose, we use a slightly different notation for 2-inner products.

Meanwhile, a 2-norm on X is a function  $\|\cdot, \cdot\| : X \times X \to \mathbf{R}$  satisfying the following properties:

- (N1) ||x, y|| = 0 if and only if x and y are linearly dependent;
- (N2) ||x, y|| = ||y, x|| for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (N3)  $||x, \alpha y|| = |\alpha| ||x, y||$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$ ;
- (N4)  $||x, y + z|| \le ||x, y|| + ||x, z||$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

The pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \cdot\|)$  is called a 2-normed space (see [4]).

If X is equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , then we can define a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  on X by  $\|x\| := \langle x, x \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . One of the properties of the norm is that it satisfies the triangle inequality

$$||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||,$$

which is easy to prove by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\langle x, y \rangle^2 \le ||x||^2 ||y||^2$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46C50, 46B20, 46B99, 46A19.

Key words and phrases. n-inner products, n-norms, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

By rewriting it as a determinantal inequality involving a  $2 \times 2$  Gram matrix

$$\left|\begin{array}{cc} \langle x,x\rangle & \langle x,y\rangle \\ \langle y,x\rangle & \langle y,y\rangle \end{array}\right| \ge 0,$$

we see that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds since the matrix is positive semidefinite (see [6], pp. 407–408, for Gram matrices).

At the same time, we can also define a 2-inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on X by

$$\langle x|y,z\rangle := \begin{vmatrix} \langle x,x \rangle & \langle x,z \rangle \\ \langle y,x \rangle & \langle y,z \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

from which we obtain a 2-norm  $\|\cdot, \cdot\|$  on X defined by  $\|x, y\| := \langle x|y, y\rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , that is,

$$\|x,y\| = \left|\begin{array}{cc} \langle x,x\rangle & \langle x,y\rangle \\ \langle y,x\rangle & \langle y,y\rangle \end{array}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Let us examine this 2-norm. As usual, the properties (N1), (N2) and (N3) are easy to check. To verify the property (N4) or the triangle inequality, it suffices to prove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\langle x|y,z\rangle^2 \le ||x,y||^2 ||x,z||^2.$$

But, again, by rewriting it as

and noting that the matrix is positive semidefinite, that is,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle x|y,y \rangle & \langle x|y,z \rangle \\ \langle x|z,y \rangle & \langle x|z,z \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \langle x|\alpha y + \beta z, \alpha y + \beta z \rangle \ge 0$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ , we see that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality holds.

Alternatively, one may observe that, under the assumption  $x \neq 0$ , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle y, x \rangle & \langle y, z \rangle \end{vmatrix}^{2} \leq \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, y \rangle \\ \langle y, x \rangle & \langle y, y \rangle \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle z, x \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x, x \rangle & \langle x, y \rangle & \langle x, z \rangle \\ \langle y, x \rangle & \langle y, y \rangle & \langle y, z \rangle \\ \langle z, x \rangle & \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \ge 0$$

(see [5]). Since the matrix is positive semidefinite, the inequality follows and we also see that the equality holds if and only if x, y and z are linearly dependent.

The above observation on the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality in an inner product space and 2-inner product space suggests how the concepts of inner products and 2-inner products, as well as norms and 2-norms, can be generalized to those of *n*-inner products and *n*-norms for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this paper, we shall offer a definition of *n*-inner products which is slightly simpler than (but equivalent to) the one offered by Misiak [9]. We shall also reprove the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and give a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality. For related work, see another paper of Misiak [10].

# 2. An natural example of n-inner products and n-norms

We shall first show that we can actually define an n-inner product and accordingly an n-norm on any inner product space provided the dimension is sufficiently large.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be a real inner product space of dimension  $d \ge n$ . Define the following function  $\langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $X \times \cdots \times X$  (n + 1 factors) by

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle := \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_1, x_{n-1} \rangle & \langle x_1, z \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle x_{n-1}, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} \rangle & \langle x_{n-1}, z \rangle \\ \langle y, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle y, x_{n-1} \rangle & \langle y, z \rangle \end{vmatrix}$$

Then one may check that this function satisfies the following five properties:

(I1)  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | x_n, x_n \rangle \ge 0$ ;  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | x_n, x_n \rangle = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are linearly dependent;

(I2)  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | x_n, x_n \rangle = \langle x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{n-1}} | x_{i_n}, x_{i_n} \rangle$  for every permutation  $(i_1, \ldots, i_n)$  of  $(1, \ldots, n)$ ;

$$(13) \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, y \rangle;$$

(I4)  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, \alpha z \rangle = \alpha \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle;$ 

(I5)  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z + z' \rangle = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle + \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z' \rangle.$ 

Accordingly, we can define  $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|$  on  $X \times \cdots \times X$  (*n* factors) by

$$||x_1, \ldots, x_n|| := \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | x_n, x_n \rangle^{1/2},$$

that is,

$$\|x_1, \dots, x_n\| = \begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_1, x_n \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle x_n, x_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle x_n, x_n \rangle \end{vmatrix}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For n = 1, we know that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm, while for n = 2,  $\|\cdot,\cdot\|$  defines a 2-norm. Note further that for n = 1,  $\|x_1\|$  gives the length of  $x_1$ , while for n = 2,  $\|x_1, x_2\|$  represents the area of the parallelogram spanned by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . One may also observe that, for n = 3 and  $X = \mathbf{R}^3$ ,  $\|x_1, x_2, x_3\|$  is nothing but the volume of the parallelepiped spanned by  $x_1 x_2$  and  $x_3$ , that is,

$$||x_1, x_2, x_3|| = |x_1 \cdot (x_2 \times x_3)|.$$

Thus, in general,  $||x_1, \ldots, x_n||$  can be interpreted as the volume of the *n*-dimensional parallelepiped spanned by  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in X. Further, it satisfies the following four properties:

- (N1)  $||x_1, \ldots, x_n|| = 0$  if and only if  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  are linearly dependent;
- (N2)  $||x_1, \ldots, x_n||$  is invariant under permutation;

(N3) 
$$||x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \alpha x_n|| = |\alpha| ||x_1, \ldots, x_n||;$$

(N4)  $||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z|| \le ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| + ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||.$ 

Again, the first three properties are easy to see. To prove the last property or the triangle inequality, we need to establish the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Indeed, we have the following:

Fact 2.1 (The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). For all  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z \in X$ , we have

(1) 
$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle^2 \le ||x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y||^2 ||x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, z||^2$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent.

*Proof.* First observe that the inequality may be rewritten as

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle & \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle \\ \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, y \rangle & \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \ge 0,$$

which obviously holds since the matrix is positive semidefinite.

Next, suppose that we have the equality

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle & \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle \\ \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, y \rangle & \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

If  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle = 0$  or  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle = 0$ , then  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent. Otherwise, there exists a  $\beta \neq 0$  such that

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \beta \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle = \beta \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, y \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, \beta y - z \rangle = 0$$
 and  $\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, \beta y - z \rangle = 0$ 

and so

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | \beta y - z, \beta y - z \rangle = 0.$$

But this implies that  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, \beta y - z$  are linearly dependent, and so are  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent. If  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are linearly dependent, then the right-hand side of (1) equals zero and so does the left-hand side. So suppose that  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. Since the equation

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1} + \beta y + \gamma z = 0$$

has a non-trivial solution, we must have  $\beta$  or  $\gamma \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $\gamma \neq 0$  so that

$$z = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + by$$

for some scalars  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . From its definition, we have  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, x_k \rangle = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, x_k \rangle = 0$  for each  $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ . Hence

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, by \rangle = b \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle$$

 $\operatorname{and}$ 

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | by, by \rangle = b^2 \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle$$

and therefore the equality follows.

Moreover, as it can be predicted from our introductory observation, we have the following: Fact 2.2. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (1) is equivalent to

(2) 
$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_1, y \rangle & \langle x_1, z \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle y, y \rangle & \langle y, z \rangle \\ \langle z, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \ge 0.$$

To prove Fact 2.2, we shall use some facts about symmetric matrices. For  $2 \times 2$  matrices  $A_2 = [a_{ij}]$ , we have  $|A_2| = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$ . Particularly, when  $a_{12} = a_{21}$ , we have  $|A_2| = a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2$ , and so, for instance,  $|A_2| \ge 0$  is equivalent to  $a_{12}^2 \le a_{11}a_{22}$ . For larger matrices, we have the following:

**Fact 2.3.** Suppose that  $A_N = [a_{ij}]$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix  $(N \ge 3)$  such that the determinants of the sub-matrices  $A_k = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1,...,k}$  (k = 1,...,N-2) are all non-zero. Then we have

(3) 
$$|A_{N-2}||A_N| = |M_{N-1,N-1}||M_{NN}| - |M_{N-1,N}||M_{N,N-1}|,$$

where  $M_{ij}$  denotes the  $(N-1) \times (N-1)$  matrix obtained from  $A_N$  by deleting the *i*-th row and *j*-th column. In particular, if  $A_N$  is symmetric, then

$$|A_{N-2}| |A_N| = |M_{N-1,N-1}| |M_{NN}| - |M_{N-1,N}|^2.$$

*Proof.* The proof is elementary. One can just use Gaussian elimination to reduce  $A_N$  into the following form

Γ	*	*		*	*	*	
	0	*		*	*	*	
	÷	÷	÷.,	÷	÷	÷	
	0	0		*	*	*	,
	0	0		0	*	*	
	0	0		0	*	*	

and then compare both sides of (3).

We are now ready to prove Fact 2.2.

Proof of Fact 2.2. First note that the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality says that

If  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are linearly dependent, then both (1) and (2) become the equality 0 = 0. So suppose that  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}$  are linearly independent. Then  $|[\langle x_i, x_j \rangle]_{i,j=1,\ldots,k}| > 0$  for each  $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , and so, by Fact 2.3, the inequality is equivalent to

$$\begin{vmatrix} \langle x_1, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle x_1, y \rangle & \langle x_1, z \rangle \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle y, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle y, y \rangle & \langle y, z \rangle \\ \langle z, x_1 \rangle & \dots & \langle z, y \rangle & \langle z, z \rangle \end{vmatrix} \ge 0$$

since the  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$  matrix is symmetric.

# 3. A definition of n-inner products and n-norms

Inspired by our observations in the previous sections, we shall now generalize the concepts of inner products and 2-inner products as well as norms and 2-norms to those of *n*-inner products and *n*-norms for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and X be a real vector space of dimension  $d \ge n$ . A function  $\langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $X \times \cdots \times X$  (n + 1 factors) satisfying the five properties (I1) - (I5) listed in §2 is called an *n*-inner product on X, and the pair  $(X, \langle \cdot, \ldots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  is called an *n*-inner product space.

#### Hendra Gunawan

Meanwhile, a function  $\|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|$  on  $X \times \cdots \times X$  (*n* factors) satisfying the four properties (N1) – (N4) listed in §2 is called an *n*-norm on X, and the pair  $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$  is called an *n*-normed space.

Note that our definition of n-inner products is slightly simpler than Misiak's [9]. To see that it is equivalent to Misiak's, one only needs to verify that

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \langle x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_{n-1}} | y, z \rangle$$

for every permutation  $(i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1})$  of  $(1, \ldots, n-1)$ . But this will follow easily from the property (I2) and the polarization identity

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \frac{1}{4} [\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y + z, y + z \rangle - \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y - z, y - z \rangle].$$

The following theorem confirms that Fact 2.1 is true in any *n*-inner product space.

**Theorem 3.1 (The Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality).** Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an n-inner product space. Then we have

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle^2 \le \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent.

*Proof.* The proof goes like that of Fact 2.1. The only difference is when we have to prove that, if  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent, then the equality holds. We note here that, for each  $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , we have  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | x_k, x_k \rangle = 0$  and consequently

$$|x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}|y,x_k\rangle^2 \leq \langle x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}|y,y\rangle\langle x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}|x_k,x_k\rangle = 0,$$

which implies that  $\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, x_k \rangle = 0$ . The same is true when y is replaced by z. Thus, if  $z = a_1 x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1} x_{n-1} + by$  for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, by \rangle = b \langle x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1} | y, y$$

and

$$x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | z, z \rangle = \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | by, by \rangle = b^2 \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, y \rangle$$

and hence the equality follows.

**Corollary 3.2.** On an n-inner product space  $(X, \langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ , the following function

$$||x_1, \dots, x_n|| := \langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | x_n, x_n \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

defines an n-norm. In particular, the triangle inequality

$$||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z|| \le ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| + ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||$$

holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z \in X$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \dots, \cdot | \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$  be an *n*-inner product space. If  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent in X, then

$$||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z|| = ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| + ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||$$

or

$$||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z|| = ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| + ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||.$$

Conversely, if one of the above two equalities holds, then  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent in X.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  are linearly dependent in X. As before, we may assume that  $z = a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1}x_{n-1} + by$  for some  $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b \in \mathbf{R}$ . If  $b \ge 0$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z\| &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, (1+b)y\| \\ &= (1+b) \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, by| \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|. \end{aligned}$$

If b < 0, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y - z\| &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, (1-b)y\| \\ &= (1-b) \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, by\| \\ &= \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y\| + \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore one of the two equalities must hold.

Conversely, without loss of generality, suppose that the equality

$$||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y + z|| = ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| + ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||$$

holds. Squaring both sides, we get

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} | y, z \rangle = ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y|| ||x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, z||$$

By Theorem 3.1,  $x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, y, z$  must be linearly dependent.

The notion of *n*-normed spaces may be of independent interest. In an *n*-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \ldots, \cdot\|)$ , we have, for instance,  $\|x_1, \ldots, x_n\| \ge 0$  and  $\|x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n\| = \|x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}, x_n + \alpha_1 x_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1} x_{n-1}\|$  for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$  and  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in \mathbf{R}$ .

As in a 2-normed space, a sequence x(k) in an *n*-normed space  $(X, \|\cdot, \dots, \cdot\|)$  is said to be *convergent* to some  $x \in X$  if  $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x(k) - x\| = 0$  for all  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \in X$ . In such a case, we write  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x(k) = x$  and call x the *limit* of x(k). One may then show that, when  $\lim_{k \to \infty} x(k)$  exists, it must be unique.

Many results in 2-normed spaces, such as fixed point theorems (see [1], [7] and [8]), may have analogues in *n*-normed spaces.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We thank the anonymous referee for bringing the two works of Misiak [9] and [10] to our attention.

## References

- Y.J. Cho, N.J. Huang and X. Long, "Some fixed point theorems for nonlinear mappings in 2-Banach spaces", Far East J. Math. Sci. 3(2) (1995), 125-133.
- [2] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, "2-inner product spaces", Demonstratio Math. 6 (1973), 525-536.
- [3] C. Diminnie, S. Gähler and A. White, "2-inner product spaces. II", Demonstratio Math. 10 (1977), 169-188.
- [4] S. Gähler, "Lineare 2-normietre Räume", Math. Nachr. 28 (1965), 1-43.
- [5] H. Gunawan and W. Setya-Budhi, "On the triangle inequality for the standard 2-norm", Maj. Ilm. Himp. Mat. Indonesia 6(2) (2000), 91-95.
- [6] R. Horn and C. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York/Cambridge, 1985.
- [7] K. Iseki, "Fixed point theorems in Banach spaces", Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 2 (1976), 11-13.
- [8] M.S. Khan and M.D. Khan, "Involutions with fixed points in 2-Banach spaces", Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 16 (1993), 429-434.
- [9] A. Misiak, "n-inner product spaces", Math. Nachr. 140 (1989), 299-319.

[10] A. Misiak, "Orthogonality and orthonormality in n-inner product spaces", Math. Nachr. 143 (1989), 249-261.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BANDUNG INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, BANDUNG 40132, INDONESIA hgunawan@dns.math.itb.ac.id