# **BIOPERATIONS AND SOME SEPARATION AXIOMS**

# Haruo Maki and Takashi Noiri

Received January 7, 2000

ABSTRACT. We generalize the notion of operation-open sets in the sense of H.Ogata [16] to bioperations and define bioperation-closures and bioperation-generalized closed sets. We obtain properties of bioperation-continuities, bioperation-separation axioms and bioperation-normal spaces.

1. Introduction. A topological space X with topology  $\tau$  will be denoted by  $(X, \tau)$ . The interior and the closure of a subset S of  $(X, \tau)$  will be denoted by  $\tau$ -Int(S) (or Int(S)) and  $\tau$ -Cl(S) (or Cl(S)), respectively. The power set of X will be denoted by P(X). An operation  $\gamma$  on  $\tau$  is a function from  $\tau$  into P(X) such that  $U \subset U^{\gamma}$  for every  $U \in \tau$ , where  $U^{\gamma}$  denotes the value of  $\gamma$  at U. The study of this concept was initiated by S.Kasahara [9] and the operation  $\gamma$  is denoted by  $\alpha$  in his paper [9]. S. Kasahara [9] unified several characterizations of compact spaces [8], nearly compact spaces [22] and H-closed spaces [5] [7] by generalizing the notion of compactness with the help of operation. After the work of S. Kasahara, D.S. Janković [6] defined the concept of operation-closures and investigated some properties of functions with operation-closed graphs. Moreover, H.Ogata [16][17] investigated the notion of operation-open sets, i.e., $\gamma$ -open sets, and used it to investigate some new separation axioms. Using these notions of operation-open sets [16] and operation-closures [6], some operator-approaches to topological properties were studied, cf. [18], [19], [24]. For two operations on  $\tau$  some bioperation-open sets and bioperation-separation axioms were defined [25][20][23].

In this paper we shall introduce an alternative bioperation-open sets and investigate more bioperator-approaches to properties of topological spaces. In section 2 we introduce a different type of bioperation-open sets and investigate relations between it and that of [16], [25] and [20]. We define two different types of bioperation-closures in section 3 and by using basic properties of them we study bioperation-generalized closed sets in section 4. The notion of new bioperation-separation axioms is introduced in section 5. We compare their separation-axioms with the separation-axioms in [16], [25] and the ordinary  $T_i$ -separation axioms (i=0,1/2,1,2). The notions of bioperation-continuous functions and bioperationclosed functions are introduced in section 7. We show that the set of all bioperationhomeomorphisms from  $(X, \tau)$  onto itself has a group structure. Finally, in section 8, we obtain some relations of bioperation-continuous functions, bioperation-separation axioms introduced in section 5 and bioperation-normal spaces.

Throughout this paper, let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be given two operations on  $\tau$  in the sense of [9] and [16]. That is,  $\gamma : \tau \to P(X)$  and  $\gamma' : \tau \to P(X)$  are functions such that  $U \subset U^{\gamma}$  and  $V \subset V^{\gamma'}$  for every  $U \in \tau$  and every  $V \in \tau$  where  $U^{\gamma} = \gamma(U)$  and  $V^{\gamma'} = \gamma'(V)$ . We recall the following:

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematis Subject Classification. Primary 54A05,54A10,54D10,54C08.

 $<sup>\</sup>textit{Key words and phrases. Bioperation}; [\gamma, \gamma'] - \texttt{open}; [\gamma, \gamma'] - \texttt{normal}; ([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta']) - \texttt{continuous}.$ 

(1.1) [9]  $\gamma$  is said to be *regular* if for every open neighbourhoods U and V of each  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighbourhood W of x such that  $W^{\gamma} \subset U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma}$ .

(1.2) [16] A non-empty subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -open if for each  $x \in A$ , there exists an open neighbourhood U of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \subset A$ . We suppose that the empty set is  $\gamma$ -open for any operation  $\gamma$ .

(1.3) [16]  $\gamma$  is said to be *open* if for every open neighbourhood U of each  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $\gamma$ -open set S such that  $x \in S$  and  $S \subset U^{\gamma}$ .

2.  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open sets. In this section the notion of  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open sets is defined and the relations among  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open sets,  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ -open sets [25] and  $\gamma$ -open sets due to Ogata [16] are investigated.

**Definition 2.1.** A non-empty subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open (resp.  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ open [25]) if for each  $x \in A$  there exist open neighbourhoods U and V of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'} \subset A$  (resp.  $U^{\gamma} \cup V^{\gamma'} \subset A$ ). We suppose that the empty set  $\emptyset$  is  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ -open and also  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open for any operations  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ .

(i) If A is  $\gamma$ -open and B is  $\gamma'$ -open, then  $A \cap B$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open.

(ii) If A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open, then A is open.

(iii) If  $A_i$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open for every  $i \in \Gamma$ , then  $\cup \{A_i \mid i \in \Gamma\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open.

(iv) If A is  $\gamma$ -open, then A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open for any operation  $\gamma'$ .

(v) If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $\gamma$ -regular space [9] and A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open for an operation  $\gamma'$ , then A is  $\gamma$ -open.

(vi) A is  $\gamma$ -open if and only if A is  $[\gamma, X]$ -open, where  $X : \tau \to P(X)$  is the operation defined by  $U^X = X$  for every  $U \in \tau$ .  $\Box$ 

**Definition 2.3.** The set of all  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open (resp. $(\gamma, \gamma')$ -open) sets of  $(X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $\tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$  (resp.  $\tau_{(\gamma, \gamma')}$ ).

*Remark 2.4.* (i) The following relation (2.5) (resp.(2.6)) is shown by Proposition 2.2 (i),(ii), (iv) and [25;(2.4)] (resp. Proposition 2.2(vi)):

 $\begin{array}{ll} (2.5) & \tau_{\gamma} \cap \tau_{\gamma'} = \tau_{(\gamma,\gamma')} \subset \tau_{\gamma} \subset \tau_{\gamma} \cup \tau_{\gamma'} \subset \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} \subset \tau. \\ (2.6) & \tau_{[\gamma,X]} = \tau_{\gamma}. \end{array}$ 

Remark 2.7. In (2.5) the set  $\tau_{(\gamma,\gamma')}$  is a proper subset of  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  and  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  is a proper subset of  $\tau$  as shown by the following example.

**Example 2.8.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma''$  be the closure operation, i.e.  $U^{\gamma} = U^{\gamma''} = \operatorname{Cl}(U)$ . Moreover, let  $\gamma' : \tau \to P(X)$  be the interiorclosure operation, i.e.  $U^{\gamma'} = \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(U))$  for every  $U \in \tau$ . It is shown that  $\tau_{\gamma} = \tau_{\gamma''} = \{\emptyset, X\}, \tau_{\gamma'} = \tau$  and  $\tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']} = \tau$ . Therefore,  $\tau_{(\gamma, \gamma')} = \{\emptyset, X\} \subsetneq \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$  and  $\tau_{[\gamma, \gamma'']} \subsetneqq \tau$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be regular operations.

(i) If A and B are  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open, then  $A \cap B$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open.

(ii)  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  is a topology on X.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.10. The regularity on  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  of Proposition 2.9 can not be removed as shown by the following example.

**Example 2.11.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$  be a topology on X. For each  $A \in \tau$  we define two operations  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$ , respectively, by

$$A^{\gamma} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } b \in A, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } b \notin A, \end{cases} \quad \text{and } A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } A \neq \{a\}, \\ A \cup \{c\} & \text{if } A = \{a\}. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\gamma$  is not regular [16;Example 2.8]. The sets  $\{a, b\}$  and  $\{a, c\}$  are  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open, however its intersection  $\{a\}$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open.

**Definition 2.12.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regular if for each point x of X and every open neighbourhood U of x there exists open neighbourhoods W and S of x such that  $W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'} \subset U$ .

**Proposition 2.13.** For  $\gamma$ -regularlity,  $\gamma'$ -regularlity and  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regularlity of a space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold.

(i)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regular space if and only if  $\tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']} = \tau$  holds.

- (ii)  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, X]$ -regular if and only if it is  $\gamma$ -regular.
- (iii) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -regular and  $\gamma'$ -regular, then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regular.  $\Box$

Remark 2.14. Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 2.8. This example shows that the converse of Proposition 2.13(iii) is not true in general. Since  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} = \tau$  and  $\tau_{\gamma} \neq \tau$ ,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regular but it is not  $\gamma$ -regular by using Proposition 2.13(i),(ii) and (2.6).

**3.**  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closures. We introduce the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closure of a set and investigate some properties of  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed sets.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset F of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed if its complement  $X \setminus F$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open. Let  $\mathcal{F}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$  be the set of all  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 3.2.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}, \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A) denotes the intersection of all  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$  containing A, i.e.,  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl $(A) = \cap \{F \mid A \subset F, F \in \mathcal{F}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}\}.$ 

**Proposition 3.3.** For a point  $x \in X, x \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A) if and only if  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for every  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -open set V containing x.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 3.4.** Let A and B be subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following hold:

(i)  $A \subset \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A). (ii) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A)  $\subset \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(B). (iii)  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  if and only if  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A) = A. (iv)  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A)  $\in \mathcal{F}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ .  $\Box$ 

We introduce the following definition of  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$ .

**Definition 3.5.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , we define  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A)$  as follows:

 $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) = \{x \in X \mid (U^{\gamma} \cap W^{\gamma'}) \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ holds for every open neighbourhoods } U \text{ and } W \text{ of } x\}.$ 

*Remark 3.6.* In Definitions 3.1,3.2 and 3.5, put  $\gamma' = X$ . Then, for any subset A of X, the following hold:

(i)  $\tau_{[\gamma,X]}$ -Cl(A) =  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -Cl(A),

- (ii)  $\mathcal{F}_{[\gamma,X]} = \{F \mid F \text{ is } \gamma \text{-closed }\}$  and
- (iii)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,X]}(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(A),$

where  $\gamma$ -closedness,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(A)$  and  $\tau_{\gamma}$ -Cl(A) are defined in [6] and [16] respectively.

**Proposition 3.7.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following hold:

(i)  $A \subset Cl(A) \subset Cl_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \subset \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A). (ii)  $Cl_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \subset Cl_{(\gamma,\gamma')}(A)$ , where  $Cl_{(\gamma,\gamma')}(A)$  is defined in [25].  $\Box$  **Theorem 3.8.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $\tau_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ -Cl(A) = A.
- (b)  $Cl_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) = A.$
- (c) A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed, i.e.  $A \in \mathcal{F}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$ .  $\Box$

**Theorem 3.9.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties hold:

- (i) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -regular, then  $\operatorname{Cl}(A) = \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) = \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']} \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$  is a closed subset of  $(X,\tau)$ .
- $\text{(iii) } \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}\operatorname{-Cl}(A)) = \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}\operatorname{-Cl}(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)) = \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}\operatorname{-Cl}(A). \ \Box$

**Theorem 3.10.** Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be open operations and A a subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, the following statements hold:

- (i)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) = \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)) = \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A).$

*Proof.* (i) By Proposition 3.7, it suffices to prove that  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A)  $\subset$  Cl<sub> $[\gamma,\gamma']$ </sub>(A). Let  $x \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ -Cl(A) and let W and S be open neighbourhoods of x. By the openness of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  [16], there exist a  $\gamma$ -open set W' and a  $\gamma'$ -open set S' such that  $x \in W' \subset W^{\gamma}$  and  $x \in S' \subset S^{\gamma'}$ . By Propositions 2.2(i) and 3.3,  $(S' \cap W') \cap A \neq \emptyset$  and hence  $(S^{\gamma} \cap W^{\gamma'}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . This implies that  $x \in \text{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$ .

(ii) This follows immediately from (i) and Theorem 3.9(iii).  $\Box$ 

Remark 3.11. Example 2.8 shows that the equalities of Theorem 3.10 are not true without the assumption that both operations are open. The operation  $\gamma$  is not open. However,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\{a\}) = \{a,c\} \subset \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}-\operatorname{Cl}(\{a\}) = X$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\{a\})) \neq \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\{a\}).$ 

**Theorem 3.12.** Let A and B be subsets of X.

- (i) If  $A \subset B$ , then  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(B)$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A \cup B) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(A) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma'}(B).$

(iii) If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are regular, then  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A \cup B) = \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(B)$ .  $\Box$ 

Remark 3.13. Example 2.8 shows that the inclusion of Theorem 3.12(ii) is a proper one in general. For a subset  $\{c\}$ ,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\{c\}) = \{c\} \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(\{c\}) \cup \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma'}(\{c\}) = X$ .

We define the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -interior of a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  as follows:

**Definition 3.14.** (cf.[17;Definition 2.3]) For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  and operations  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  on  $\tau$ ,  $\operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) = \{x \mid U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'} \subset A \text{ for some open neighbourhoods } U \text{ and } V \text{ of } x \}.$ 

**Proposition 3.15.** For every subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the following holds:  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X \setminus A) = X \setminus \operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A). \Box$ 

## 4. $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -generalized closed sets.

**Definition 4.1.** A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -generalized closed (briefly  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g. closed) if  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \subset U$  whenever  $A \subset U$  and U is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open.

*Remark 4.2.* (i) Every  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed set is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed by Theorem 3.8, but its converse is not true as shown in Example 4.3 (below).

(ii) The  $[\gamma, X]$ -g.closedness coincides with the  $\gamma$ -g.closedness due to [16;Definition 4.4] (cf.Remark 3.6).

(iii) A subset A is [id,X]-g.closed if and only if A is g.closed [11], where id is the identity operation.

## BIOPERATIONS

**Example 4.3.** (cf.[25;Example 4.8]) Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be operations on a topology  $\tau$  defined as follows: for every non-empty open set A,

$$A^{\gamma} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{Int}(\operatorname{Cl}(A)) & \text{if } A = \{a\}, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } A \neq \{a\}, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\gamma'} = X.$$

It follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} = \tau_{\gamma} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, X\}$  and a subset  $\{d\}$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed. However,  $\{d\}$  is a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed set.

The  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed sets are characterized as the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be any operations. A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed if and only if  $A \cap \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$ -Cl $(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in Cl_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

The following proposition shows that the regularity on  $\gamma$  in [16;Proposition 4.6] can be omitted.

**Proposition 4.5.** (cf.[16;Proposition 4.6]) A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -g.closed if and only if  $A \cap \tau_{\gamma}$ -Cl $(\{x\}) \neq \emptyset$  for every  $x \in Cl_{\gamma}(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 4.6.** (i) If a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed, then  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \setminus A$  does not contain any non-empty  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed set.

(ii) If both  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are open operations, then the converse of (i) is true.

*Proof.* (i) Let F be a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed set contained in  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \setminus A$ . Since  $A \subset X \setminus F$  and A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \subset X \setminus F$  and hence  $F \subset (\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \setminus A) \cap (X \setminus \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A)) = \emptyset$ .

(ii) Since  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are open, it follows from Theorems 3.8 and 3.10 that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed. Let U be a  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -open set such that  $A \subset U$ . Then,  $(X \setminus U) \cap \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$  is a  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed set by Proposition 2.2(iii) and it is contained in  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \setminus A$ . It follows from the assumption of the converse of (i) that  $(X \setminus U) \cap \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) = \emptyset$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \subset U$ .  $\Box$ 

The following example shows that the openness of  $\gamma$  in Proposition 4.6(ii) can not be removed.

**Example 4.7.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 4.3, respectively. It is shown that  $\gamma$  is not open and  $\gamma'$  is open. Then,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(\{a\}) \setminus \{a\} = \operatorname{Cl}_{\gamma}(\{a\}) \setminus \{a\} = \{a, d\} \setminus \{a\} = \{d\}$  and  $\{d\}$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed. However,  $\{a\}$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Definition 4.8.** A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -generalized open (briefly  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g. open) if its complement  $X \setminus A$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g. closed.

**Proposition 4.9.** A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.open if and only if  $F \subset Int_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A)$  whenever  $F \subset A$  and F is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed.  $\Box$ 

5.  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$  spaces (i=0,1/2,1,2). In this section we introduce  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$  spaces (i=0,1/2,1,2) and investigate relations among these spaces.

**Definition 5.1.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$  if every  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed set of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed. It follows from Remark 4.2(i) that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$  if and only if the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closedness coincides with the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closedness.

Let  $X \times X$  be the direct product of X and  $\Delta(X) = \{(x, x) \mid x \in X\}$  the diagonal set of X.

**Definition 5.2.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ , if for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta(X)$  there exist open sets U and V containing x and open sets W and S containing y such that  $(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \cap (W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}) = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 5.3.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$ , if for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta(X)$  there exist open sets U and V containing x and open sets W and S containing y such that  $y \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$  and  $x \notin W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}$ .

**Definition 5.4.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$ , if for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta(X)$  there exist open sets U and V such that  $x \in U \cap V$  and  $y \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$ , or  $y \in U \cap V$  and  $x \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$ .

Remark 5.5. (i)(cf.[25;Definition 5.5]) For given two distinct points x and y, the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -T<sub>0</sub>-axiom requires that there exist open sets U, V, W and S satisfying one of conditions (a),(b),(c) and (d):

(a)  $x \in U \cap V, y \in W \cap S, y \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$  and  $x \notin W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}$ ,

(b)  $x \in U \cap V, x \in W \cap S, y \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$  and  $y \notin W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}$ ,

(c)  $y \in U \cap V, y \in W \cap S, x \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$  and  $x \notin W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}$ .

(d)  $y \in U \cap V, x \in W \cap S, x \notin U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}$  and  $y \notin W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}$ .

(ii) A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$  if and only if for each  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus \Delta(X)$ , there exists an open set W such that  $x \in W$  and  $y \notin W^{\gamma} \cap W^{\gamma'}$ , or  $y \in W$  and  $x \notin W^{\gamma} \cap W^{\gamma'}$ .

To characterize a  $T_{1/2}$  space we prepare the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.6.** For each  $x \in X, \{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed or its complement  $X \setminus \{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 5.7.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$  if and only if for each  $x \in X, \{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open or  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* (Necessity) It is obtained by Lemma 5.6 and Definition 5.1.

(Sufficiency) Let F be a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed set. We claim that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(F) \subset F$  holds. Let  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(F)$ . It suffices to prove it for the following two cases:

Case 1. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open. Since  $x \in \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$ -Cl(F) and  $\{x\} \in \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}, \{x\} \cap F \neq \emptyset$  by Proposition 3.3.

Case 2. Suppose that  $\{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed. By Proposition 4.6(i),  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(F) \setminus F$  does not contain the  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed set  $\{x\}$ . Since  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(F)$ , we have  $x \in F$ .

Therefore, we prove that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(F) \subset F$ , and so F is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed by Theorem 3.8.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 5.8.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$  if and only if for each  $x \in X, \{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .  $\Box$ 

The following proposition is proved by using Definitions 5.2, 5.3, Propositions 5.7 and 5.8.

**Proposition 5.9.** (i) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ , then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$ . (ii) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$ , then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ . (iii) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ , then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$ .  $\Box$ 

*Remark 5.10.* From Proposition 5.9 and Examples 5.11,5.12 and 5.13, the following implications hold and none of the implications is reversible:

 $[\gamma,\gamma']\text{-}T_2 \to [\gamma,\gamma']\text{-}T_1 \to [\gamma,\gamma']\text{-}T_{1/2} \to [\gamma,\gamma']\text{-}T_0,$  where  $A \to B$  represents that A implies B.

#### BIOPERATIONS

**Example 5.11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be the double origin topological space, where  $X = R^2 \cup \{O^*\}$  and  $O^*$  denotes an additional point(eg.[21;p.92]). Let  $\gamma$  be the closure operation, i.e.  $U^{\gamma} = \operatorname{Cl}(U)$  for every  $U \in \tau$ . Let  $\gamma'$  be operation defined in [25;Example 5.9], i.e. for every non-empty open set A,

$$A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } O \notin A \text{ and } O^* \notin A, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } O \in A \text{ or } O^* \in A, \end{cases}$$

where O is the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then, it is shown directly that each singleton is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . By Proposition 5.8,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$ . Using a fact that the operation  $\gamma'$  is monotone, we can show that  $(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \cap (W^{\gamma} \cap S^{\gamma'}) \neq \emptyset$  holds for any open neighbourhoods U, V of O and any open neighbourhoods W, S of  $O^*$ . This implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ .

**Example 5.12.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ . Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be operations on a topology  $\tau$  defined as follows: for every open set A,

$$A^{\gamma} = \operatorname{Cl}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } a \in A, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } a \notin A. \end{cases}$$

Then it is shown that  $\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} = \tau$  and  $(X,\tau)$  is  $T_{1/2}$ . By using Proposition 5.7,  $(X,\tau)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ . However, by Proposition 5.8,  $(X,\tau)$  is not  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ - $T_1$ , in fact, a singleton  $\{a\}$  is not  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed.

**Example 5.13.** (cf. [25;Example 5.15]) Let X = R (the set of the real numbers) and  $\tau$  be the cofinite topology for X. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be operations on  $\tau$  defined as follows: for every open set A,

$$A^{\gamma} = \operatorname{Cl}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } p \in A, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } p \notin A, \end{cases}$$

where p is a specified point of X. Then the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma'] \cdot T_{1/2}$  because a singleton  $\{p\}$  is neither  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open nor  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed. It is shown directly that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma'] \cdot T_0$ .

Remark 5.14. (i) In Definitions 5.1,5.2,5.3 and 5.4, put  $\gamma' = X$ . Then a space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, X]$ - $T_i$  if and only if it is  $\gamma$ - $T_i$  where i=0,1/2,1,2.

(ii) Let  $\gamma' = X$  in Proposition 5.7. Then by using Proposition 5.7, [16;Definition 4.5], Remark 3.6, Theorem 3.8 and (i) above we have the following:

(5.15) (cf.[25;Corollary 5.14]) A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ - $T_{1/2}$  if and only if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\{x\}$  is  $\gamma$ -open or  $\gamma$ -closed.

The statement (5.15) shows that the regularity on  $\gamma$  in [16;Proposition 4.10(ii)] can be omitted.

# 6. Comparisons of related separation axioms.

**Proposition 6.1.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ - $T_i$ , then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$ , where i=0,1/2,1,2.

*Proof.* The proofs for i=0,1,2 follow from Definitions 5.4,5.3,5.2, Remark 5.14(i) and [16;Definitions 4.1-4.3].

The proof for i=1/2 is obtained as follows: Let  $x \in X$ . Then,  $\{x\}$  is  $\gamma$ -open or  $\gamma$ -closed by (5.15).  $\{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open or  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed because every  $\gamma$ -open is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open by (2.5). The proof is completed from Proposition 5.7.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 6.2.* The following series of examples show that all converses of Proposition 6.1 cannot be reserved.

**Example 6.3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be the double origin topological space of Example 5.11. Let  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be operations on  $\tau$  defined as follows: for every open set A,

$$A^{\gamma} = \operatorname{Cl}(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A^{\gamma'} = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } O^* \notin A, \\ \operatorname{Cl}(A) & \text{if } O^* \in A. \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $\gamma$ - $T_2$  because  $U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$  for any open neighbourhoods U and V of O and  $O^*$ , respectively. However, it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ .

**Example 6.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be the double origin topological space and  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  operations defined as follows: for every non-empty open set  $A, A^{\gamma} = A \cup \{O^*\}$  and  $A^{\gamma'} = \operatorname{Cl}(A)$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $\gamma$ - $T_1$  because a singleton  $\{O^*\}$  is not  $\gamma$ -closed; it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$ .

**Example 6.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 5.12, respectively. Then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma'] \cdot T_{1/2}$ . However, it is not  $\gamma \cdot T_{1/2}$  because a singleton  $\{a\}$  is neither  $\gamma$ -open nor  $\gamma$ -closed.

**Example 6.6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations defined in Example 5.13, respectively. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $\gamma$ - $T_0$ ; it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$ .

**Proposition 6.7.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$ , then it is  $T_i$ , where i=0, 1/2, 1, 2.

*Proof.* The proofs for i=0,2 follow from definitions.

The proof for i=1 (resp. i=1/2) follows from Proposition 5.8 (resp. Proposition 5.7), Remark 2.4 and Definition 3.1.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 6.8.* The following series of examples show that all converses of Proposition 6.7 cannot be reserved.

**Example 6.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 5.11. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ (Example 5.11); it is  $T_2([21])$ .

**Example 6.10.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 5.13. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $T_1$  and hence  $T_{1/2}$ . However, it is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_1$  because it is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ .

**Example 6.11.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in [17;Example 5], that is,  $X = \{0, 1\}, \tau$  is the Sierpinski topology on  $X, \gamma$  is the closure operation and  $\gamma'$  is the interior-closure operation(i.e.  $A^{\gamma'} = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  for any  $A \in \tau$ ). Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$ ; it is  $T_0$ .

**Proposition 6.12.** If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ - $T_i$ , then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$ , where i=0,1/2,1,2.

*Proof.* The proofs for i=0,2 follow from Definitions 5.2 and 5.4 and [25;Definitions 5.1,5.5]. The proof for i=1 (resp. i=1/2) follows from [25;Proposition 5.12(i)] (resp. [25;Proposition 5.12(ii)]) and Proposition 6.1.  $\Box$ 

Remark 6.13. The converses of Proposition 6.12 for i=0,2 are not true as showing by the following examples. The converse of Proposition 6.12 for i=1,1/2 can not reversible by Proposition 6.1, [25;Proposition 5.12] and Examples 6.4 and 6.5.

**Example 6.14.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 5.13. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ - $T_0$ ; it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_0$ .

**Example 6.15.** Let  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  be the same space and the same operations as in Example 6.3. Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $(\gamma, \gamma')$ - $T_2$ ; it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_2$ .

*Remark 6.16.* From Propositions 6.1 and 6.7, Remark 5.10, [10; Corollary 5.6], Proposition 6.12 and [16; p.180], for distinct operations  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  we have the following diagram (cf. [25;

where  $A \to B$  represents that A implies B.

7.  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$  -continuous functions. Throughout this section, let  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$ be a function and let  $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \to P(X)$  be operations on  $\tau$  and  $\beta, \beta' : \sigma \to P(Y)$  be operations on  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 7.1.** A function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -continuous if for each point  $x \in X$  and each open neighbourhoods W and S of f(x) there exist open neighbourhoods U and V of x such that  $f(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . A function  $f:(X,\tau) \to C^{\gamma'}$  $(Y, \sigma)$  is called a  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -homeomorphism if f is a  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous bijection and  $f^{-1}: (Y, \sigma) \to (X, \tau)$  is  $([\beta, \beta'], [\gamma, \gamma'])$ -continuous.

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $(a),(b_1),(b_2),(c_1),(c_2),(c_3)$  and  $(c_4)$  be the following properties for a function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$ .

(a)  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -continuous,

(b<sub>1</sub>)  $f(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta,\beta']}(f(A))$  for every subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , (b<sub>2</sub>)  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta,\beta']}(B))$  for every subset B of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,

(c<sub>1</sub>)  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed for every  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed set B of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,

 $(c_2)f(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}-Cl(A)) \subset \tau_{[\beta,\beta']}-Cl(f(A))$  for every subset A of  $(X,\tau)$ ,

(c<sub>3</sub>)  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  for every set  $V \in \sigma_{[\beta,\beta']}$ ,

(c<sub>4</sub>) for each point  $x \in X$  and each set  $W \in \sigma_{[\beta,\beta']}$  containing f(x) there exist a set  $U \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  containing x such that  $f(U) \subset W$ .

Then (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b<sub>1</sub>)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (b<sub>2</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$  (c<sub>1</sub>)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c<sub>2</sub>)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c<sub>3</sub>)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (c<sub>4</sub>) hold.  $\Box$ 

*Proof.* (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b<sub>1</sub>). Let  $f(x) \in f(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A))$  and W, S be open neighbourhoods of f(x). There exist open neighbourhoods U and V of x such that  $f(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . Since  $x \in \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A), (U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$  by Definition 3.5. Therefore, we have  $f(A) \cap (W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}) \neq \emptyset$  $\emptyset$ . This implies that  $f(x) \in \operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta,\beta']}(f(A))$ .

 $(b_1) \Leftrightarrow (b_2)$ . This follows from Definition 3.4 and usual arguments.

 $(b_2) \Rightarrow (c_1)$ . Let B be a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By  $(b_2)$  and Theorem 3.8,

 $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(B)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed.

 $(c_1) \Rightarrow (c_2)$ . For every subset A of  $(X, \tau)$ , by using  $(c_1)$  and Proposition 3.4(iv),

 $f^{-1}(\tau_{[\beta,\beta']}-\operatorname{Cl}(f(A)))$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -closed in  $(X,\tau)$ . Using Definition 3.2 and Proposition 3.4(iii) we obtain  $(c_2)$ .

 $(c_2) \Rightarrow (c_1)$ . Let B be a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By  $(c_2)$  and Proposition 3.4,  $\tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$ - $Cl(f^{-1}(B)) \subset f^{-1}(f(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}-Cl(f^{-1}(B)))) \subset f^{-1}(\tau_{[\beta,\beta']}-Cl(f(f^{-1}(B)))) \subset f^{-1}(B).$  Therefore, by Proposition 3.4(iii),  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed.

 $(c_2) \Rightarrow (c_3)$ . This follows from Definition 3.1 and the equivalence of  $(c_1) \Leftrightarrow (c_2)$  above.

 $(c_3) \Rightarrow (c_4)$ . It is obvious from Definition 2.1.

 $(c_4) \Rightarrow (c_3)$ . Let  $V \in \sigma_{[\beta,\beta']}$ . For each  $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ , by  $(c_4)$ , there exists a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set  $U_x$  containing x such that  $f(U_x) \subset V$ . Then we have  $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup \{U_x \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']} \mid x \in f^{-1}(V)\}$  and hence  $f^{-1}(V) \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  using Proposition 2.2(ii).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 7.3.** If  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -regular space, or operations  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are open on  $\sigma$ , then all properties of Theorem 7.2 are equivalent.

*Proof.* By Theorem 7.2 it is sufficient to prove the implication  $(c_1) \Rightarrow (a)$ , where (a) and  $(c_1)$  are the properties of Theorem 7.2.

First, we show the implication under the assumption that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -regular space. Let  $x \in X$  and W, S be open neighbourhoods of f(x). By Proposition 2.13(i),  $Y \setminus (W \cap S)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed. Then,  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus (W \cap S))$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed by  $(c_1)$  and hence  $f^{-1}(W \cap S)$  is a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set containing x. Therefore, there exist open neighbourhoods U and V of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'} \subset f^{-1}(W \cap S)$  and so  $f(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . This implies that f is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous.

Second, we suppose that the operations  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are open. Let  $x \in X$  and W, S be open neighbourhoods of f(x). By using openness of  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  (cf.[16;Definition 2.6]), there exist a  $\beta$ -open set A and a  $\beta'$ -open set B such that  $f(x) \in A \cap B$  and  $A \cap B \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . By Proposition 2.2(i),  $Y \setminus (A \cap B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed and hence  $f^{-1}(Y \setminus (A \cap B))$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed. Therefore, there exist open neighbourhoods U and V of x such that  $U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'} \subset f^{-1}(A \cap B)$ and so  $f(U^{\gamma} \cap V^{\gamma'}) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . This implies that f is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous.  $\Box$ 

Remark 7.4. (i) As known by [24;p.67] the interior-closure operation (ie.  $U^{\beta} = -$ Int(Cl(U))) is a typical example of the open operation. Moreover the identity operation and the operation  $X : \tau \to (X, \tau)$  are open on  $\tau$ . Therefore, in Corollary 7.3, if  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are choosen from these operations above, then all properties of Theorem 7.2 are equivalent.

(ii) The converses of implications (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b<sub>1</sub>) and (b<sub>2</sub>)  $\Rightarrow$  (c<sub>1</sub>) in Theorem 7.2 are not true in general as shown by the following examples.

**Example 7.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, X\}$  be a topology on X. Let  $f:(X, \tau) \to (X, \tau)$  be a function defined by f(a) = b, f(b) = c and f(c) = a. Let  $\gamma = \beta$  be the closure operation on  $\tau$  and  $\gamma' = \beta' = X : \tau \to P(X)$ . Then,  $(X, \tau)$  is not  $[\gamma, X]$ -regular, because of  $\tau_{[\gamma, X]} = \{\emptyset, X\} \neq \tau$  (cf. Proposition 2.13 and (2.6)). It is shown that f is not  $([\gamma, X], [\gamma, X])$ -continuous. However, f satisfies the condition  $(b_1)$  in Theorem 7.2.

**Example 7.6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $\tau$  be the same space and the same topology as in Example 7.5 above. Let  $f:(X, \tau) \to (X, \tau)$  be the identity. Let  $\gamma = \gamma' = \beta' = X : \tau \to P(X)$  be the operations on  $\tau$  and  $\beta$  the closure operation on  $\tau$ . Then, the condition  $(c_1)$  in Theorem 7.2 is true. The condition  $(b_2)$  is not true in general. In fact,  $\tau_{[\gamma,X]} = \{\emptyset, X\}$  and  $f(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,X]}(\{a\})) = f(X) = X \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta,X]}(\{a\}) = \{a,c\}$  holds.

Remark 7.7. By Theorem 7.2 and Proposition 2.9 we have the following:

(7.8) if the operations  $\gamma, \gamma'$  and  $\beta, \beta'$  are regular on  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively, and if  $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous, then the induced function  $f:(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_{[\beta, \beta']})$  is continuous.

However, the converse of (7.8) above is not true in general as shown by Example 7.5.

Let  $(X, \tau), (Y, \sigma)$  and  $(Z, \eta)$  be spaces and  $\gamma, \gamma' : \tau \to P(X), \beta, \beta' : \sigma \to P(Y)$  and  $\delta, \delta' : \eta \to P(Z)$  be operations on  $\tau, \sigma$  and  $\eta$ , respectively.

Let  $h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$  be the family of all  $([\gamma,\gamma'], [\gamma,\gamma'])$ -homeomorphisms from  $(X,\tau)$  onto itself.

**Theorem 7.9.** (i) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $([\gamma,\gamma'], [\beta,\beta'])$ -continuous and  $g:(Y,\sigma) \to (Z,\eta)$ is  $([\beta, \beta'], [\delta, \delta'])$  -continuous, then its composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \to (Z, \eta)$  is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\delta, \delta'])$ continuous.

(ii) The set  $h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$  is a group.

(iii) A  $(([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -homeomorphism  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  induces an isomorphism  $f_*$ :  $h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau) \to h_{[\beta,\beta']}(Y,\sigma)$  and the identity  $1_X : (X,\tau) \to (X,\tau)$  induces the identity from  $h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$  onto itself.

*Proof.* (i) This follows from Definition 7.1.

(ii) A binary operation  $\mu : h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau) \times h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau) \to h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$  is defined by  $\mu(f,g) = g \circ f$  ( the composition ) for every  $f,g \in h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$ . Then  $(h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau),\mu)$ is a group using Definition 7.1 and (i) above.

(iii) It is evidently shown from (i) that an isomorphism  $f_*$  is defined by  $f_*(h) = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$ for every  $h \in h_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(X,\tau)$ . The induced isomorphism  $(1_X)_*$  is the identity by definitions. 

In the end of this section, we define the notion of bioperation-closed functions (Definition 7.14 below). The characterization will be obtained as a corollary of Proposition A.3 below which study some functions by a general point of view (i.e., Definition A.4 and Proposition A.5 in section 8).

(A.1) Let  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y$  be given two collections of subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$ , respectively, satisfying the following conditions:  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{E}_X$  and  $\emptyset, Y \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ . For  $\mathcal{E}_X$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y$ , we define two collections of subsets as follows:  $\mathcal{E}_X^C = \{U \mid X \setminus U \in \mathcal{E}_X\}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y^C = \{V \mid X \setminus U \in \mathcal{E}_X\}$  $Y \setminus V \in \mathcal{E}_Y \}.$ 

**Definition A.2.** If a function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be

(a)  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -closed, if for every  $F \in \mathcal{E}_X^C$ ,  $f(F) \in \mathcal{E}_Y^C$ , (b)  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -continuous, if for every  $V \in \mathcal{E}_Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{E}_X$ .

**Proposition A.3.** (i) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -closed, then the following condition holds:

(\*) for each subset B of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and each  $U \in \mathcal{E}_X$  satisfying  $f^{-1}(B) \subset U$ , there exists a set  $V \in \mathcal{E}_Y$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

(ii) Conversely, if  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  satisfies the condition (\*) in (i), then f is  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ closed.

*Proof.* (i) Let  $B \in P(Y)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{E}_X$  such that  $f^{-1}(B) \subset U$ . Put  $V = Y \setminus f(X \setminus U)$ . Then it is shown that  $V \in \mathcal{E}_X^{\acute{C}}, B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

(ii) Let  $F \in \mathcal{E}_X^C$ . Put  $B = Y \setminus f(F)$ . Then, it is shown that  $f^{-1}(B) \subset X \setminus F$  and  $X \setminus F \in \mathcal{E}_X$ . It follows from (\*) that there exists a set  $V \in \mathcal{E}_Y$  such that  $Y \setminus f(F) \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset X \setminus F$ . Then we have  $Y \setminus V \subset f(F) \subset f(X \setminus f^{-1}(V)) \subset f(f^{-1}(Y \setminus V)) \subset Y \setminus V$ . Therefore, we can obtain  $f(F) = Y \setminus V$  and hence  $f(F) \in \mathcal{E}_V^{\mathcal{C}}$ . This implies that f is  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -closed.  $\square$ 

In Proposition A.3, set  $\mathcal{E}_X = \tau$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y = GO(Y, \sigma)$  (i.e. the set of all g-open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$  [11;Definition 4.1]),  $RO(Y, \sigma)$  (i.e. the set of all regular-open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$ ),  $SGO(Y, \sigma)$ (i.e. the set of all sg-open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$  [2;Definitions 1,2]),  $GSO(Y, \sigma)$  (i.e. the set of all gs-open sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$  [1;Definition 1]) and  $PO(Y, \sigma)$  (i.e. the set of all preopen sets of  $(Y, \sigma)$  [13]), respectively. Then we obtain, respectively, the following characterization of gclosed functions,  $(\tau, RO(Y, \sigma))$ -closed functions, sg-closed functions and gs-closed functions and  $(\tau, PO(Y, \sigma))$ -closed functions:

(7.10) [12;Theorem 1.3] A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is g-closed if and only if for each subset B of  $(X, \tau)$  and each open set U containing  $f^{-1}(B)$  there is a g-open set V of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

(7.11) A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\tau, GO(Y, \sigma))$ -closed if and only if for each subset B of  $(X, \tau)$  and each open set U containing  $f^{-1}(B)$  there is a regular-open set V of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

(7.12) [4;Theorem 3.3] (resp. [4;Theorem 4.5]) A function  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is sgclosed (resp. gs-closed) if and only if for each subset B of  $(X, \tau)$  and each open set Ucontaining  $f^{-1}(B)$  there is a sg-open (resp. gs-open) set V of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

(7.13) A function  $f: (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\tau, PO(Y, \sigma))$ -closed if and only if for each subset B of  $(X, \tau)$  and each open set U containing  $f^{-1}(B)$  there is a preopen set V of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .

Now we define some bioperation-closed functions as follows.

**Definition 7.14.** A function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is said to be  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -closed (resp.  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -generalized closed) if f is a  $(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']},\sigma_{[\beta,\beta']})$ -closed (resp.  $(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']},GO_{[\beta,\beta']}(Y,\sigma))$ -closed) function, where  $GO_{[\beta,\beta']}(Y,\sigma)$  is the set of all  $[\beta,\beta']$ -g open sets of  $(Y,\sigma)$ .

The following proposition is a characterization of  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -closed functions by setting  $\mathcal{E}_X = \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y = \sigma_{[\beta, \beta']}$  in Proposition A.3.

**Proposition 7.15.** A function  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -closed if and only if for each subset B of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and each  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set U containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ , there exists a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -open set V such that  $B \subset V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 7.16.** (i) If  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is bijective and  $f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \to (X, \tau)$  is  $([\beta, \beta'], [\gamma, \gamma'])$ -continuous, then f is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -closed.

(ii) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -continuous and  $([id,id],[\beta,\beta'])$ -closed, then

(a) f(A) is  $[\beta, \beta']$ -g.closed for every  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed set A of  $(X, \tau)$ , and

(b)  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed for every  $[\beta, \beta']$ -g.closed set B of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

*Proof.* (i) This follows from definitions and Theorem 7.2.

(ii) (a) Let V be a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -open set containing f(A). By using Theorem 7.2,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set containing A and so  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A) \subset f^{-1}(V)$ . It follows from Definition 7.14 and Theorem 7.2 that  $f(\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A))$  is a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed set and hence  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta, \beta']}(f(A)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta, \beta']}(f(C)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(A)) \subset V$ . This implies that f(A) is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed.

(b) Let U be a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ . Since  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(f^{-1}(B)) \cap (X \setminus U)$ , say F, is closed (cf.Theorems 3.8,3.9), we have  $f(F) \subset f((\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(f^{-1}(B))) \cap f^{-1}(Y \setminus B)) \subset \operatorname{Cl}_{[\beta, \beta']}(f(f^{-1}(B))) \cap f(f^{-1}(Y \setminus B))$  by using assumptions and Theorem 7.2. Therefore,  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(B) \setminus B$  contains a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed set f(F). It follows from Proposition 4.6(i) that  $f(F) = \emptyset$  and hence  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(f^{-1}(B)) \subset U$ . This shows that  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.closed.  $\Box$ 

Regarding Definition 7.1, Theorem 7.2 , Corollary 7.3 and Proposition  $7.16({\rm ii})$  we note the following in the end of this section.

Remark 7.17. (i) In Definition 7.1, put  $\gamma' = X$  and  $\beta' = Y$ . Then, it is shown that

(7.18) a function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $([\gamma, X], [\beta, Y])$ -continuous if and only if f is  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -continuous (cf. [16; Definition 4.12]).

Under this setting, we obtain immediately [16;Proposition 4.13] and [16;Remarks 4.14,4.15] from Theorem 7.2 and Corollary 7.3, respectively.

(ii) It is shown that if  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous, then f is  $(id, \beta)$ continuous and  $(id, \beta')$ -continuous. Conversely, if f is  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -continuous and  $(\gamma', \beta')$ -continuous, then f is  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous. Therefore, we have the following:

(7.19) A function  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $([id, id], [\beta, \beta])$ -continuous if and only if f is  $(id, \beta)$ -continuous.

(iii) Let  $\gamma' = X$  and  $\beta' = Y$  in Proposition 7.16(ii). Then, using (i) above we have the following:

(7.20) (cf.[16;Proposition 4.18]) Suppose that  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is  $(\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous and f is  $(id,\beta)$ -closed. Then,

(a) for every  $\gamma$ -g.closed set A of  $(X, \tau)$ , the image f(A) is  $\beta$ -g.closed, and

(b) for every  $\beta$ -g.closed set B of  $(Y, \beta)$ ,  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $\gamma$ -g.closed.

The statement (7.20)(b) above shows that the regularity on  $\beta$  in [16;Proposition 4.18(ii)] can be omitted.

(iv) In Proposition 7.16(ii), put  $\gamma = \gamma' = id$  and  $\beta = \beta' = id$ . Then we can obtain [11;Theorems 6.1,6.3] as a corollary of Proposition 7.16(ii) because of  $\tau_{[id,id]} = \tau$  and  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[id,id]}(A) = \operatorname{Cl}(A)$  for every set A.

8. Some relations amongs  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous functions, $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -  $T_i$  spaces and  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal spaces.

**Theorem 8.1.** (i) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is a  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -continuous injection and if  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $[\beta,\beta']$ - $T_i$ , then  $(X,\tau)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ - $T_i$ , where i=0,1/2,1,2.

(ii) If  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous and  $([id, id], [\beta, \beta'])$ -closed surjection and if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ , then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ - $T_{1/2}$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is a  $([\gamma,\gamma'],[\beta,\beta'])$ -homeomorphism. Then,  $(X,\tau)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ - $T_i$  if and only if  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $[\beta,\beta']$ - $T_i$ , where i=0,1/2,1,2.

*Proof.* (i) The proof for i=1/2 is as follows: Let  $x \in X$ . Then, by Proposition 5.7,  $\{f(x)\}$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed or  $[\beta, \beta']$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Theorem 7.2 and Proposition 5.7,  $\{x\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed or  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open and hence  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_{1/2}$ . The proof for i=1 is similar as the proof for i=1/2 by Proposition 5.8 in place of Proposition 5.7. The proofs for i=0,2 follow from Definitions 5.4,5.2 and Theorem 7.2.

(ii) Let B be a  $[\beta, \beta']$ -g closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . By Proposition 7.16(ii)(b),  $f^{-1}(B)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then, by using Definitions 5.1,3.1 and Proposition 2.2(ii),  $f^{-1}(B)$  is closed and hence  $f(f^{-1}(B)) = B$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ -closed. This implies that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ - $T_{1/2}$ .

(iii) This follows from (i).  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 8.2.** (i) Suppose that  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are regular operations on  $\tau$ . A space  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ - $T_i$  if and only if an associated space  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']})$  is  $T_i$ , where i=1,1/2.

(ii) If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are regular operations on  $\tau$  and  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']})$  is  $T_2$ , then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma'] - T_2$ . (iii) If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are regular and open and if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma'] - T_2$ , then  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']})$  is  $T_2$ .

*Proof.* (i) It follows from Proposition 2.9 that a subset A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if A is open in  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']})$ . Therefore, the proof for i=1/2 (resp. i=1) follows from Propositions 5.7 (resp. Proposition 5.8).

(ii) This follows from the Hausdorffness of  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma, \gamma']})$  and Definitions 5.2,2.1.

(iii) Let x and y be distinct points of X. By assumptions there exist  $\gamma$ -open sets U, W and  $\gamma'$ -sets V, S such that  $x \in U \cap V, y \in W \cap S$  and  $(U \cap V) \cap (W \cap S) = \emptyset$ . It follows from Proposition 2.2(i) that  $U \cap V \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  and  $W \cap S \in \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$ . This implies that  $(X, \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']})$  is  $T_2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 8.3.** (i) Let  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous functions. If  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ - $T_2$  and  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are regular on  $\tau$ , then the following set  $A = \{x \mid x \in X, f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed.

(ii) If  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $([\gamma, \gamma'], [\beta, \beta'])$ -continuous function and  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ - $T_2$ , then the following set  $B = \{(x, y) \mid (x, y) \in X \times X, f(y) = f(x)\}$  is closed.

Proof. (i) We show that  $\operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A) \subset A$ . Suppose that  $x \notin A$  for some  $x \in X$ . Since  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $[\beta, \beta']$ - $T_2$ , for  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  there exist open sets U and V containing f(x) and open sets W and S containing g(x) such that  $(U^{\beta} \cap V^{\beta'}) \cap (W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}) = \emptyset$ . Then, by assumptions there exist open sets  $U_1, V_1$  containing x and open sets  $W_1, S_1$  containing y such that  $f(U_1^{\gamma} \cap V_1^{\gamma'}) \subset U^{\beta} \cap V^{\beta'}$  and  $f(W_1^{\gamma} \cap S_1^{\gamma'}) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . By using regulalities of  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  there exist open sets  $U_2, V_2$  containing x such that  $f(U_2^{\gamma} \cap V_2^{\gamma'}) \cap g(U_2^{\gamma} \cap V_2^{\gamma'}) = \emptyset$  and hence  $A \cap (U_2^{\gamma} \cap V_2^{\gamma'}) = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $x \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{[\gamma,\gamma']}(A)$  and hence A is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -closed.

(ii) We claim that  $X \times X \setminus B$  is an open set. Let  $(x, y) \in X \times X \setminus B$ . Then, there exist open sets U, V containing f(x) and open sets W, S containing f(y) such that  $(U^{\beta} \cap V^{\beta'}) \cap (W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}) = \emptyset$ . It follows from the assumption that there exist open sets  $U_1, V_1$  containing x and  $W_1, S_1$  containing y such that  $f(U_1 \cap V_1) \subset U^{\beta} \cap V^{\beta'}$  and  $f(W_1 \cap S_1) \subset W^{\beta} \cap S^{\beta'}$ . Therefore, we have  $(U_1 \cap V_1) \times (W_1 \cap S_1) \subset X \setminus B$  and hence  $X \setminus B$  is open.  $\Box$ 

*Remark 8.4.* (i) Put  $\gamma' = X$  and  $\gamma' = Y$  in Theorem 8.1. Then we obtain a slight improvement of [16;Theorem 4.20] and [16;Theorem 4.22] as follows:

(8.5) (cf. [16; Theorem 4.20(i), Proposition 4.25]) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\tau)$  is a  $(\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous injection and if  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -T<sub>i</sub>, then  $(X,\tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -T<sub>i</sub> where i=0,1/2,1,2.

(8.6) (cf.[16;Theorem 4.20(ii)) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\tau)$  is a  $(\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous and  $(id,\beta)$ -closed surjection and if  $(X,\tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -T<sub>1/2</sub>, then  $(X,\tau)$  is  $\beta$ -T<sub>1/2</sub>.

(8.7) (cf. [16; Theorem 4.22]) Suppose that  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\tau)$  is a  $(\gamma,\beta)$ -homeomorphism. Then,  $(X,\tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -T<sub>i</sub> if and only if  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -T<sub>i</sub> where i=0,1/2,1,2.

The statements (8.6) and (8.7) show that the regularity on  $\beta$  in [16;Theorem 4.20(ii)] and [16;Theorem 4.22] can be omitted. The statement (8.5) for i=1/2 shows that the  $(id, \beta)$ -closedness of f in [16;Theorem 4.20(i)] can be omitted.

(ii) Let  $\gamma' = X$  in Proposition 8.2(ii) and (iii). Then we obtain [16;Lemma 4.26(i)] and [16;Lemma 4.26(ii)], respectively. Let  $\gamma' = X$  in Proposition 8.2(i). Moreover, then under the assumption that  $\gamma$  is regular,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\gamma$ -T<sub>i</sub> if and only if  $(X, \tau_{\gamma})$  is T<sub>i</sub> where i=1,1/2. The result for i=1/2 is shown in [16;Proposition 4.24].

(iii) Let  $\gamma' = X$  and  $\beta' = Y$  in Theorem 8.3(i),(ii). Then we have the following:

(8.8) (cf.[16;Proposition 4.27]) Let  $f:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \tau)$  and  $g:(X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  be  $(\gamma, \beta)$ -continuous. If  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -T<sub>2</sub> and  $\gamma$  is regular on  $\tau$ , then the set  $A = \{x \in X | f(x) = g(x)\}$  is  $\gamma$ -closed.

(8.9) (cf.[16;Proposition 5.2]) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\tau)$  is  $(\gamma,\beta)$ -continuous and  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $\beta$ -T<sub>2</sub>, then the set  $B = \{(x,y) \in X \times X | f(x) = f(y) \}$  is closed.

The statement (8.8) shows that the openness on  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  and the regularity on  $\beta$  in [16;Proposition 4.27] can be omitted.

In Definition 8.11 below we define the notion of  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal spaces preparing the following general point of view. Let  $\mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y$  be collections of subsets of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$ , respectively, such that  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $\emptyset, Y \in \mathcal{O}_Y$  (cf.(A.1)). As similarly in (A.1) we define collections of subsets  $\mathcal{O}_X^C$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y^C$ :  $\mathcal{O}_X^C = \{A \mid X \setminus A \in \mathcal{O}_X\}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_Y^C = \{F \mid Y \setminus F \in \mathcal{O}_Y\}$ .

**Definition A.4.** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}_X)$ -normal if for any pair of disjoint sets  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_X^C$ , there exist disjoint sets  $V, V' \in \mathcal{E}_X$  such that  $A \subset V$  and  $B \subset V'$ .

Remark 8.10. In Definition A.4 above, put  $\mathcal{O}_X = \tau$  and  $\mathcal{E}_X = SO(X, \tau)$ ,  $PO(X, \tau)$ , where  $SO(X, \tau)$  and  $PO(X, \tau)$  denote the set of all semiopen sets [10] of  $(X, \tau)$  and the set of all

preopen sets [13] of  $(X, \tau)$ , respectively. Then,  $(\tau, \tau)$ -normal spaces,  $(\tau, SO(X, \tau))$ -normal spaces and  $(\tau, PO(X, \tau))$ -normal spaces are called as normal spaces, *s*-normal spaces [14] and pre-normal spaces [15], respectively.

**Proposition A.5.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \to (Y, \sigma)$  is an  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -continuous and  $(\mathcal{E}_X, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -closed surjection and  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}_X)$ -normal, then  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -normal.

Proof. Let  $A, B \in \mathcal{O}_Y^C$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Since f is surjective and  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ -continuous, we have that  $f^{-1}(A)$  and  $f^{-1}(B)$  are not empty and  $f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{O}_X^C$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\mathcal{O}_X, \mathcal{E}_X)$ -normal, there exist disjoint sets  $U \in \mathcal{E}_X$  and  $U' \in \mathcal{E}_X$  such that  $f^{-1}(A) \subset U$  and  $f^{-1}(B) \subset U'$ . By Proposition A.3(i), there exist subsets  $V \in \mathcal{E}_Y$  and  $V' \in \mathcal{E}_Y$  such that  $A \subset V, B \subset V', f^{-1}(V) \subset U$  and  $f^{-1}(V') \subset U'$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(V) \cap f^{-1}(V') = \emptyset$  and hence  $V \cap V' = \emptyset$ . Therefore, this implies that  $(Y, \sigma)$  is  $(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -normal.  $\Box$ 

**Definition 8.11.** A  $(\tau, \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']})$  -normal space is called shortly as a  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal space.

**Proposition 8.12.** (i) If  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal, then it is  $(\tau, GO_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(X, \tau))$ -normal, that is the following condition holds:

(\*) for any pair of disjoint closed sets A, B of  $(X, \tau)$ , there exist disjoint  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.open sets U, U' such that  $A \subset U$  and  $B \subset U'$ .

(ii) If  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma'$  are open operations on  $\tau$  and if  $(X, \tau)$  is  $(\tau, GO_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(X, \tau))$ -normal, then it is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal.

*Proof.* (i) By Proposition 4.9, every  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open set is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g.open. Therefore, the proof of (i) is proved.

(ii) Let A and B be disjoint closed sets of  $(X, \tau)$ . By assumptions and Proposition 4.9, there exist disjoint  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -g open sets U and U' such that  $A \subset \operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(U)$  and  $B \subset \operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(U')$ . Then, by Proposition 3.15, Definition 3.1, Theorems 3.8 and 3.10(ii),  $\operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(U)$  and  $\operatorname{Int}_{[\gamma, \gamma']}(U')$  are disjoint  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -open sets. This implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $[\gamma, \gamma']$ -normal.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 8.13.** (i) If  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is a continuous  $(\tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}, \sigma_{[\beta,\beta']})$ -closed surjection and  $(X,\tau)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -normal, then  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $[\beta,\beta']$ -normal.

(ii) If  $\beta$  and  $\beta'$  are open operations and if  $f:(X,\tau) \to (Y,\sigma)$  is a continuous  $([\gamma,\gamma'], [\beta,\beta'])$ -generalized closed surjection and  $(X,\tau)$  is  $[\gamma,\gamma']$ -normal, then  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $[\beta,\beta']$ -normal.  $\Box$ 

*Proof.* (i) This follows from Proposition A.5 setting  $\mathcal{O}_X = \tau, \mathcal{O}_Y = \sigma, \mathcal{E}_X = \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y = \sigma_{[\beta,\beta']}$ .

(ii) In Proposition A.5, put  $\mathcal{O}_X = \tau, \mathcal{O}_Y = \sigma, \mathcal{E}_X = \tau_{[\gamma,\gamma']}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_Y = GO_{[\beta,\beta']}(Y,\sigma)$ . By Proposition A.5 and Definition 7.14,  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $(\sigma, GO_{[\beta,\beta']}(Y,\sigma))$ -normal. Therefore, by using Proposition 8.12(ii),  $(Y,\sigma)$  is  $[\beta,\beta']$ -normal.  $\Box$ 

## References

- S.P. Arya and T.Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 21(8) (1990), 717-719.
- [2] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math. 29 (1987), 376-382.
- [3] W. Dunham, T<sub>1/2</sub>-spaces, Kyungpook Math. J. **17** (1977), 161–169.
- [4] R.Devi, H. Maki and K. Balachandran, Semi-generalized closed maps and generalized semi-closed maps, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A(Math.) 14 (1993), 41-54.
- [5] L.L. Herrington and P.E. Long, Characterizations of H-closed spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 48 (1975), 469-475.
- [6] D.S. Janković, On functions with  $\alpha$ -closed graphs, Glas. Mat. **18**(38) (1983), 141–148.
- [7] J.E. Joseph, On H-closed and minimal Hausdorff spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 60 (1976), 321-326.
- [8] S. Kasahara, chracterizations of compactness and countable compactness, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 523-524.

### H. MAKI AND T. NOIRI

- [9] S. Kasahara, Operation-compact spaces, Math. Japon. 24 (1979), 97-105.
- [10] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963), 36-41.
- [11] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo(2) 19 (1970), 89-96.
- [12] S.R. Malghan, Generalized closed maps, J. Karnatak Univ. Sci. 27 (1982), 82-88.
- [13] A.S. Mashhour, M.E. Abd El-Monsef and S.N. El-Deep, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt 53 (1982), 47-53.
- [14] S.N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, On s-normal spaces, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie 22(70) (1978), 27–29.
- [15] T.M. Nour, Contributions to the theory of bitopological spaces, Ph. D. Thesis, Delhi University, India (1989).
- [16] H. Ogata, Operations on topological spaces and associated topology, Math. Japon. 36 (1991), 175-184.
- [17] H. Ogata, Remarks on some operation-separation axioms, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed.(Part 3) 40 (1991), 41-43.
- [18] H. Ogata, Remarks on operation-compact spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A(Math.) 12 (1991), 25-31.
- [19] H. Ogata and T. Fukutake, On operation-compactness, operation-nearly compactness and operation-almost compactness, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed.(Part 3) 40 (1991), 45-48.
- [20] H. Ogata and H. Maki, Bioperations on topological spaces, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 981-985; Corrections to the paper: Bioperations on topological spaces, Math. Japon. 39 (1994), 201.
- [21] L.A. Steen and J.A. Seebach Jr., Counter examples in topology (Springer, New York, 1978).
- [22] T. Thompson, Characterizations of nearly compact spaces, Kyungpook Math. J. 17 (1977), 37-41.
- [23] J. Umehara, A certain bioperation on topological spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A (Math.) 15 (1994), 41-49.
- [24] J. Umehara and H. Maki, Operator approaches of weakly Hausdorff spaces, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A (Math.) 11 (1990), 65-73.
- [25] J. Umehara, H. Maki and T. Noiri, Bioperations on topological spaces and some separation axioms, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Ser.A (Math.) 13 (1992), 45-59.

Wakagidai 2-10-13, Fukuma-cho, Munakata-gun, Fukuoka-ken 811-32 Japan

Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Yatsushiro, Kumamoto 866, Japan

*E-mail*: makih@pop12.odn.ne.jp; noiri@as.yatsushiro-nct.ac.jp