APPLICATIONS OF GRAMIAN TRANSFORMATION FORMULA

Masatoshi Fujii*, Takayuki Furuta** and Ritsuo Nakamoto***

Received October 26, 1999

Dedicated to Professor Masahiro Nakamura on his 80th birthday with respect and affection

ABSTRACT. We point out that the Gramian transformation formula gives us a natural and simple view to some known results, e.g. the translation-invariance of variance of operators, Hadamard theorem and inequalities on Gramian. Moreover we pick up a norm equality which is the essence of a norm inequality closely related to the Bernstein inequality.

1. Introduction. In [2], Björck and Thomee introduced a constant for a (bounded linear) operator T on a Hilbert space H, see also [4,8,11,13,16,17]:

(1.1)
$$\sup\{\|Tx\|^2 - |(Tx,x)|^2; \|x\| = 1\}.$$

We denote by M_T the square root of the constant for T. They proved that if T is a normal operator, then M_T concides with the smallest radius of disks containing the spectrum of T, cf.[11,12]. One of properties on M_T is the translation-invariance, i.e., $M_{T-\lambda} = M_T$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. More precisely, the variance of T at a state (i.e., unit vector) $x \in H$

(1.2)
$$\operatorname{Var}_{x}(T) = ||Tx||^{2} - |(Tx, x)|^{2}$$

is translation-invariant. Incidentally, it is known that $M_T = d(T, \mathbb{C})$, the distance of T to \mathbb{C} .

On the other hand, related to the Bernstein inequality [1], Furuta [10] and Lin [14] gave the following norm inequality on the difference of the Schwarz inequality

(1.3)
$$||x||^2 ||y||^2 - |(x,y)|^2 \le \frac{1}{|\alpha - \beta|} ||x + \alpha y||^2 ||x + \beta y||^2$$

for all $x, y \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\alpha \neq \beta$. It is clear that the left hand side of (1.3) is the determinant of the Gram matrix

$$G(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} (x,x) & (x,y) \\ (y,x) & (y,y) \end{pmatrix}.$$

So we recall the Gramian transformation formula, e.g. [3; Lemma 8.7.1]. For a 2 × 2 matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$AG(x,y)A^* = G(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)$$

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A30 and 15A15.

Key words and phrases. Gramian, Gramian transformation formula, variance of operators, Bernstein inequality, Hadamard theorem and norm inequalities..

and consequently

$$(1.5) |G(\alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 y, \beta_1 x + \beta_2 y)| = |\det A|^2 |G(x, y)|,$$

where both |X| and det X are the determinant of X.

As a simple application of (1.5), we can explain the translation-invariance of the variance (1.2): Since

$$\operatorname{Var}_x(T) = |G(Tx, x)| \text{ and } \operatorname{Var}_x(T - \lambda) = |G(Tx - \lambda x, x)|,$$

we take $\alpha_1=1,\ \alpha_2=-\lambda,\ \beta_1=0$ and $\beta_2=1,$ i.e., $A=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\lambda \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then we have

$$AG(Tx, x)A^* = G(Tx - \lambda x, x)$$

and so $|G(Tx,x)| = |G((T-\lambda)x,x)|$ because det A=1. We here note that

$$||x||^2 ||y||^2 - |(x,y)|^2 = ||y||^2 ||x - \lambda y||^2 - |(y,x - \lambda y)|^2$$

is also showed by the same way as above.

In this note, we give some applications of the Gramian transformation formula

(1.7)
$$AG(x_1, \dots, x_n)A^* = G(\sum_{j} a_{1j}x_j, \dots, \sum_{j} a_{nj}x_j)$$

for $n \times n$ matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$. In other wards, we give natural proofs to some known theorems from the viewpoint of the Gramian transformation formula (1.7).

2. Norm inequality. A special case of (1.3) appeared in [15] to show Hua's determinant theorem is as follows:

$$||x||^2 ||y||^2 - |(x,y)|^2 \le \frac{1}{4} ||x+y||^2 ||x-y||^2$$

for all $x, y \in H$. It is the case $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = -1$ in (1.3) and follows from the norm equality

$$||x + y||^2 ||x - y||^2 - |(x + y, x - y)|^2 = 4(||x||^2 ||y||^2 - |(x, y)|^2).$$

This suggests us the following norm inequality.

Lemma 1. The equality

holds for all $x, y \in H$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

We note that Lemma 1 implies (1.3) obviously and moreover (2.1) is rephrased by

$$(2.2) |G(x + \alpha y, x + \beta y)| = |\alpha - \beta|^2 |G(x, y)|.$$

Namely, by taking $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 1 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, we have (2.2) from (1.5) easily.

Incidentally, we can give an alternative proof to (2.1), based on (1.6): Put $u = x + \alpha y$ and $v = x + \beta y$. Then it follows from (1.6) that

$$||x + \alpha y||^2 ||x + \beta y||^2 - |(x + \alpha y, x + \beta y)|^2$$

$$= ||v||^2 ||u - v||^2 - |(v, u - v)|^2$$

$$= |\alpha - \beta|^2 (||v||^2 ||y||^2 - |(v, y)|^2)$$

$$= |\alpha - \beta|^2 (||x||^2 ||y||^2 - |(x, y)|^2).$$

Remark. (1) The inequality (1.3) is closely related to the Bernstein inequality. Extensions of the Bernstein inequality are discussed in [6] and [7].

(2) The variance of operators is generalized to the covariance of operators, see [5]. It is defined by

$$Cov_x(A, B) = (Ax, Bx) - (Ax, x)(x, Bx)$$

for operators A and B on a Hilbert space H, where x is a unit vector in H. (It is the case of vector states.) The covariance is translation-invariant as well as the variance. We now define the covariance for vectors in H as follows:

$$Cov_x(y, z) = (y, z) - (y, x)(x, z).$$

Clearly $Cov_x(A, B) = Cov_x(Ax, Bx)$ for a unit vector x in H. The translation-invariance of it can be explained from the determinantal view, that is,

$$Cov_x(y,z) = \begin{vmatrix} (x,x) & (y,x) \\ (x,z) & (y,z) \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (y,x) \\ (x,z) & (y,z) \end{vmatrix}.$$

3. Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process. In this section, we pay our attention to Gram-Schmidt (orthogonalization) process in order to apply the Gramian transformation formula (1.7).

For the sake of convenience, we cite the following fact in [9], which is easily obtained by (1.7):

Lemma 2. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ be a given linearly independent set in H, and $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ the orthonormal set obtained from $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ by the Gram-Schmidt process. If A is the matrix corresponding to the Gram-Schmidt process, that is, A is triangular and satisfies

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$AG(x_1, \cdots, x_n)A^* = G(e_1, \cdots, e_n) = E_n$$

and

$$|\det A|^2|G(x_1,\cdots,x_n)|=1.$$

The Hadamard theorem says that

$$|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \le ||x_1||^2 \dots ||x_n||^2$$

for $x_1, \dots, x_n \in H$. As well-known, it is implied by Lemma 2. Actually

$$|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)| = \frac{1}{|\det A|^2} = \frac{1}{|a_{11}|^2 \dots |a_{nn}|^2}.$$

Noting that $||x_k||^2 \ge \frac{1}{|a_{kk}|^2}$ for $1 \le k \le n$, we have (3.1).

Following the above argument, we give an elementary proof to the following folk result:

Theorem 3. Let M be the subspace generated by a linearly independent set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then d(x, M), the distance of x from M, is expressed as

(3.2)
$$d^{2}(x,M) = \frac{|G(x,x_{1},\cdots,x_{n})|}{|G(x_{1},\cdots,x_{n})|}.$$

Proof. Let A be as in Lemma 2. Put $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$. Then it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$A_1G(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)A_1^* = G(x, e_1, \dots, e_n)$$

and so

$$|\det A_1|^2 |G(x, x_1, \dots, x_n)| = |G(x, e_1, \dots, e_n)|.$$

Moreover, noting that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M, we have

$$|G(x, e_1, \dots, e_n)| = ||x||^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n |(x, e_j)|^2 = ||x - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, e_j)e_j||^2 = d^2(x, M).$$

On the other hand, since

$$|\det A_1|^2 = |\det A|^2 = \frac{1}{|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}$$

by Lemma 2, we have the required equality.

As a corollary, we show the following inequality on Gramian:

Corollary 4. For given vectors x_1, \dots, x_n , put $y_i = Px_i$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ for a contraction P. If y_1, \dots, y_n are linearly independent, then

(3.3)
$$\frac{|G(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})|}{|G(y_1, \dots, y_n)|} \ge \frac{|G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}$$

and

$$|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \ge |G(y_1, \dots, y_n)|$$

Proof. Since P is a contraction, we have

$$d(x_n, [x_1, \cdots, x_{n-1}]) \ge d(Px_n, [Px_1, \cdots, Px_{n-1}]) = d(y_n, [y_1, \cdots, y_{n-1}]),$$

which implies (3.3) by Theorem 3.

The latter is shown by the use of the former (3.3). As a matter of fact, we have

$$\frac{|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)|}{|G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|} \frac{|G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})|}{|G(x_1, \dots, x_{n-2})|} \cdots \frac{|G(x_1, x_2)|}{|G(x_1)|}$$

$$\geq \frac{|G(y_1, \dots, y_n)|}{|G(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})|} \frac{|G(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})|}{|G(y_1, \dots, y_{n-2})|} \cdots \frac{|G(y_1, y_2)|}{|G(y_1)|}$$

and so

$$|G(x_1,\dots,x_n)| \ge |G(y_1,\dots,y_n)| \frac{||x_1||^2}{||y_1||^2} \ge |G(y_1,\dots,y_n)|.$$

Finally we give a simple proof to the following inequality, which is similar to that of Theorem 3.

Theorem 5. If x_1, \dots, x_n are linearly independent vectors, then for 1 < k < n

(3.5)
$$\frac{|G(x_1,\dots,x_n)|}{|G(x_1,\dots,x_k)|} \le \frac{|G(x_2,\dots,x_n)|}{|G(x_2,\dots,x_k)|} \le \dots \le |G(x_{k+1},\dots,x_n)|$$

and in particular

$$|G(x_1, \dots, x_n)| \le |G(x_1, \dots, x_k)| |G(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)|.$$

Proof. Let A_n be A in Lemma 2. Then we have

$$A_kG(x_1,\cdots,x_k)A_k^*=G(e_1,\cdots,e_k)=E_k$$

and

$$|\det A_k|^2 |G(x_1,\cdots,x_k)| = 1.$$

Therefore, if we put $A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_k & 0 \\ 0 & E_{n-k} \end{pmatrix}$, then

$$A_1G(x_1,\dots,x_n)A_1^* = G(e_1,\dots,e_k,x_{k+1},\dots,x_n)$$

and

$$|\det A_1|^2 = |\det A|^2 = \frac{1}{|G(x_1, \dots, x_k)|}.$$

Hence it follows that

$$\frac{|G(x_1,...,x_n)|}{|G(x_1,...,x_k)|} = |G(e_1,...,e_k,x_{k+1},...,x_n)| = \begin{vmatrix} E_k & B_1 \\ B_1^* & D_k \end{vmatrix}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{|G(x_m,...,x_n)|}{|G(x_m,...,x_k)|} = |G(e_m,...,e_k,x_{k+1},...,x_n)| = \begin{vmatrix} E_{k-m+1} & B_m \\ B_m^* & D_k \end{vmatrix}$$

for $1 < m \le k$, where E_j is the $j \times j$ identity matrix, $D_k = G(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$B_m = \begin{pmatrix} (e_m, x_{k+1}) & \cdots & (e_m, x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ (e_k, x_{k+1}) & \cdots & (e_k, x_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We here recall Fisher's inequality: If $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{pmatrix}$ is positive definite, then $\begin{vmatrix} A & B \\ B^* & D \end{vmatrix} \le |A||D|$. So we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} E_k & B_1 \\ B_1^* & D_k \end{vmatrix} \le \begin{vmatrix} E_{k-1} & B_2 \\ B_2^* & D_k \end{vmatrix} \le \dots \le \begin{vmatrix} E_1 & B_k \\ B_k^* & D_k \end{vmatrix} \le |D_k| = G(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

which is equivalent to the conclusion (3.5).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their thanks to Prof. S.Izumino for his valuable comment.

References

- 1. H.J.Bernstein, An inequality for selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100 (1987), 319-321.
- G.Björck and V.Thomee, A property of bounded normal operators in Hilbert space, Ark. Mat., 4 (1963), 551-555.
- 3. P.J.Davis, Interpolation and Approximation, Dover, New York, 1963.
- 4. J.I.Fujii and M.Fujii, Theorems of Williams and Prasanna, Math. Japon., 38 (1993), 35-37.
- M.Fujii, T.Furuta, R.Nakamoto and S.-E.Takahasi, Operator inequalities and covariance in noncommutative probability, Math. Japon., 46 (1997), 317-320.
- 6. M.Fujii, T.Furuta and Y.Seo, An inequality for some nonnormal operators Extension to normal approximate eigenvalues, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 118 (1993), 899-902.
- 7. M.Fujii, R.Nakamoto and Y.Seo, Covariance in Bernstein's inequality for operators, Nihonkai Math. J., 8 (1997), 1-6.
- 8. M.Fujii and S.Prasanna, Translatable radii for operators, Math. Japon., 26 (1981), 653-657.
- 9. T.Furuta, An elementary proof of Hadamard's theorem, Math. Vesnik, 23 (1971), 267-269.
- T.Furuta, An inequality for some nonnormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 104 (1988), 1216-1217.
- T.Furuta, S.Izumino and S.Prasanna, A characterization of centroid operators, Math. Japon., 27 (1982), 105-106.
- 12. G.Garske, An inequality concerning the smallest disc that contains the spectrum of an operator, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 78 (1980), 529-532.
- S.Prasanna, The norm of a derivation and the Björck-Thomee-Istratescu theorem, Math. Japon., 26 (1981), 585-588.
- C.-S.Lin, Operator versions of inequalities and equalities on a Hilbert space, Linear Algebra and its Appl., 268 (1998), 365-374.
- M.Marcus, On a determinantal inequality, Amer. Math. Monthly, 65 (1958), 266-268.
- 16. J.G.Stampfli, The norm of a derivation, Pacific J. Math., 33 (1970), 737-747.
- 17. J.P.Williams, Finite operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (1970), 129-136.
- * Department of Mathematics, Osaka Kyoiku University, Kashiwara, Osaka 582, Japan
- ** DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, KAGURAZAKA, SHINJUKU, TOKYO 162, JAPAN
- *** FACULTY OF ENGINEERING, IBARAKI UNIVERSITY, HITACHI, IBARAKI 316, JAPAN.