

ON 3-VARIABLE EXTENSION FOR THE INTEGER MEAN

JUN ICHI FUJII, MASATOSHI FUJII* AND AKEMI MATSUMOTO**

Received April 21, 2012

ABSTRACT. Based on ideas of Lawson-Lim [4] and Jung-Lee-Yamazaki [2], an abstract mean on a metric space was introduced in [5]. In this paper, we discuss a typical example of such a mean on the nonnegative integers and estimate it by the usual mean.

1 Introduction. Since [1] was published, multi-variable geometric operator means have been discussed. Among them, Lawson-Lim [4] introduced abstract means on a metric space. On the other hand, computable geometric operator means was introduced in [2]. In [5], we combined these means and introduced N -means ν on a metric space, particularly as N -variable extension $\nu = \mu^{(N)}$: Let μ is an abstract 2-mean in a metric space X . For a given N -tuple (x_1, \dots, x_N) , let $a_k = a_k^{(0)} = x_k$ and

$$a_k^{(n)} = \mu(a_k^{(n-1)}, a_{k+1}^{(n-1)}) \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq N - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_N^{(n)} = \mu(a_N^{(n-1)}, a_1^{(n-1)}).$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_k^{(n)}$ exists for all k and they coincide, say $a^{(\infty)}$, then we put $\nu(x_1, \dots, x_N) = a^{(\infty)}$, which is the N -variable extension $\mu^{(N)}$ of μ .

Here we give an example of the 3-variable extension $\mu^{(3)}$ for the integer mean $\mu : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\mu(n, m) = \left\lfloor \frac{n + m}{2} \right\rfloor$$

where \mathbb{N}_0 is the nonnegative integers and $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ is the Gauss symbol and the metric is the usual one induced by the absolute value. Then, since the total distance

$$d_3(a_1^{(n)}, a_2^{(n)}, a_3^{(n)}) = \max \left\{ |a_1^{(n)} - a_2^{(n)}|, |a_2^{(n)} - a_3^{(n)}|, |a_3^{(n)} - a_1^{(n)}| \right\}$$

is monotone decreasing for n , it has the 3-variable extension $\mu^{(3)}$.

By the construction of $\mu^{(3)}$, it is clear that $\mu^{(3)}(a, b, c) \leq \frac{a+b+c}{3}$. As we show later, the equality holds if and only if $a = b = c$. In this paper, we observe $\mu^{(3)}(a, b, c)$ in detail and estimate it by $\frac{a+b+c}{3}$.

2 Basic value of $\mu^{(3)}$. Let $f(n_1, n_2, n_3) = \mu^{(3)}(n_1, n_2, n_3)$. Then, f is a monotone nondecreasing function. Since this 3-mean is symmetric, we may express $f(n_1, n_2, n_3)$ for the case $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_1, n_2, n_3) &= f \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n_2 + n_3}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n_3 + n_1}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \\ &= f \left(\left\lfloor \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n_3 + n_1}{2} \right\rfloor, \left\lfloor \frac{n_2 + n_3}{2} \right\rfloor \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover the following simple lemma allows us that n_1 can be assumed to be 0:

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 26E60, 11H60, 30L99.
 Key words and phrases. Mean, nonnegative integers, metric space.

Lemma 1. $f(n + n_1, n + n_2, n + n_3) = n + f(n_1, n_2, n_3)$.

Proof. The required formula follows from the fact that

$$\mu(n + n_k, n + n_j) = \left\lfloor n + \frac{n_k + n_j}{2} \right\rfloor = n + \left\lfloor \frac{n_k + n_j}{2} \right\rfloor = n + \mu(n_k, n_j)$$

where $j = k \bmod 3 + 1$. □

First we show the case $f(n_1, n_2, n_3) = f(0, 2^m, 2^m)$, which increases at a rate of about $2/3$. Here the operation $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ means the quotient for integers:

Lemma 2. $f(0, 2^{2k}, 2^{2k}) = \frac{2^{2k+1} - 2}{3}$ and $f(0, 2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k+1}) = \frac{2^{2k+2} - 1}{3}$ for $k \geq 0$, or equivalently $f(0, 2^m, 2^m) = (2^m \times 2) \lfloor 3 \rfloor$ for all $m \geq 0$.

Proof. Note that $f(0, 1, 1) = 0$. For $n = 2^{2k}$ for $k \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 2^{2k}, 2^{2k}) &= f(2^{2k-1}, 2^{2k}, 2^{2k-1}) = 2^{2k-1} + f(0, 2^{2k-1}, 0) = 2^{2k-1} + f(0, 0, 2^{2k-1}) \\ &= 2^{2k-1} + f(0, 2^{2k-2}, 2^{2k-2}) \\ &\dots = 2^{2k-1} + \dots + 2 + f(0, 1, 1) = 2 \times \frac{2^{2k} - 1}{3} = \frac{2^{2k+1} - 2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it also holds for $k = 0$. Lastly for $n = 2^{2k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$, by $f(0, 2, 2) = 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k+1}) &= f(2^{2k}, 2^{2k+1}, 2^{2k}) = f(2^{2k-1} + 2^{2k}, 2^{2k-1} + 2^{2k}, 2^{2k}) \\ &= 2^{2k} + f(0, 2^{2k-1}, 2^{2k-1}) \\ &\dots = 2^{2k} + \dots + 4 + f(0, 2, 2) = 4 \times \frac{2^{2k} - 1}{3} + 1 = \frac{2^{2k+2} - 1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have $f(0, 2^m, 2^m) = \frac{2^{m+1} - 2 + (m \bmod 2)}{3} = (2^m \times 2) \lfloor 3 \rfloor$. □

By Lemma 2, $f(0, 0, 2^m)$ increases at about $1/3$ rate:

Corollary 3. For $k \geq 0$, $f(0, 0, 2^{2k}) = \frac{2^{2k} - 1}{3}$ and $f(0, 0, 2^{2k+1}) = \frac{2^{2k+1} - 2}{3}$, or equivalently $f(0, 0, 2^m) = \frac{2^m - 1 - (m \bmod 2)}{3} = 2^m \lfloor 3 \rfloor$ for all $m \geq 0$.

Proof. For $k \geq 0$, we have $f(0, 0, 2^{2k}) = f(0, 2^{2k-1}, 2^{2k-1}) = \frac{2^{2k} - 1}{3}$ and $f(0, 0, 2^{2k+1}) = f(0, 2^{2k}, 2^{2k}) = \frac{2^{2k+1} - 2}{3}$. □

Next we observe the special case of the form $f(0, n, n)$. To see this, note that if all 3 variables are odd, then it does not increase in the next step:

Lemma 4. $f(2J, 2K, 2N) = f(2J, 2K, 2N + 1)$.

Proof. Since we may assume $J = 0$, we have

$$f(0, 2K, 2N + 1) - f(0, 2K, 2N) = f(K, K + N, N) - f(K, K + N, N) = 0. \quad \square$$

Now we observe $f(0, n, n)$:

Proposition 5. *The function $f(0, n, n)$ strictly increases only at*

$$n = 2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} > 0$$

for $\ell \geq 0, k > 0$. Precisely,

$$f(0, n, n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(n + \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} \right) // 2^{2\ell+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(2n + \frac{2(4^\ell - 1)}{3} \right) // 4^{\ell+1}$$

where $L = L(n) = \lfloor \log_4 \frac{3n-1}{5} \rfloor$.

Proof. Put $n_\ell = 2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3}$. At $n = n_0 = 2k$, the function $f(0, n, n)$ is increasing by

$$f(0, 2k, 2k) = f(k, 2k, k) = 1 + f(k-1, 2k-1, k-1) = 1 + f(0, 2k-1, 2k-1).$$

Since n_ℓ is odd for $\ell > 0$, we have

$$2n_{\ell-1} = 2^{2\ell}k - \frac{2(4^{\ell-1} - 1)}{3} = \frac{2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4(4^{\ell-1} - 1)}{3}}{2} = \frac{2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} + 1}{2} = \frac{n_\ell + 1}{2}.$$

Suppose it is increasing at $n = n_\ell$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, n_{\ell+1}, n_{\ell+1}) &= f\left(\frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2}, n_{\ell+1}, \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2}\right) = \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f\left(0, 0, \frac{n_{\ell+1} + 1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f(0, 0, 2n_\ell) = \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f(0, n_\ell, n_\ell) = 1 + \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f(0, n_\ell - 1, n_\ell - 1) \\ &= 1 + \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f(0, 0, 2n_\ell - 2) = 1 + \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f(0, 0, 2n_\ell - 1) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \\ &= 1 + \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2} + f\left(0, 0, \frac{n_{\ell+1} + 1}{2} - 1\right) = 1 + f\left(\frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2}, \frac{n_{\ell+1} - 1}{2}, n_{\ell+1} - 1\right) \\ &= 1 + f(0, n_{\ell+1} - 1, n_{\ell+1} - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f(0, n, n)$ is increasing at all $n_\ell = 2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3}$. To obtain the upper bound of ℓ , we have $n \geq 2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3}$, so that

$$3n - 1 \geq 6k \times 4^\ell - 4^\ell = (6k - 1)4^\ell \geq 5 \times 4^\ell.$$

Therefore we have $\frac{3n-1}{5} \geq 4^\ell$, that is, $L(n) = \lfloor \log_4 \frac{3n-1}{5} \rfloor$. Since these n_ℓ satisfy that $n_\ell + \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3}$ divides $2^{2\ell+1}$, we have

$$f(0, n, n) \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(n + \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} \right) // 2^{2\ell+1}.$$

The equality holds since it always holds for $n = 2^m$ by Lemma 2: In fact, for sufficiently large m , we have $L = (\log_2 2^m - 1) // 2 = (m - 1) // 2$ and

$$m - 1 - 2L = m - 1 - 2((m - 1) // 2) = (m - 1) \bmod 2 = (m + 1) \bmod 2.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 2^m, 2^m) &\geq \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(2^m + \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} \right) // 2^{2\ell+1} = \sum_{\ell=0}^L 2^{m-2\ell-1} = 2^{m-2L-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^L 4^\ell \\ &= 2^{m-2L-1} \frac{4^{L+1} - 1}{3} = \frac{2^{m+1} - 2^{m-1-2L}}{3} = \frac{2^{m+1} - 2^{(m+1) \bmod 2}}{3} = 2^{m+1} // 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the equality holds for 2^m for a sufficiently large m , that is, it always holds. \square

Since $f(0, 0, 2n) = f(0, n, n)$ and $f(0, 0, 2n-1) = f(0, n-1, n-1) = f(0, 0, 2n-2)$, we have only to observe the former case:

Corollary 6. *The function $f(0, 0, n)$ increases only at $n = 2 \left(2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} \right) > 0$ for $\ell \geq 0, k > 0$. Precisely*

$$f(0, 0, n) = \sum_{\ell=0}^L \left(n + \frac{2(4^\ell - 1)}{3} \right) // 4^{\ell+1}$$

where $L = L(n) = \lfloor \log_4 \frac{3n-2}{10} \rfloor$.

Proof. It suffices to obtain $L(n)$. By $n \geq 2 \left(2^{2\ell+1}k - \frac{4^\ell - 1}{3} \right)$, we have

$$\frac{3n}{2} \geq 6k \times 4^\ell - 4^\ell + 1 = (6k-1)4^\ell + 1 \geq 5 \times 4^\ell + 1.$$

It follows from $\frac{3n-2}{2} \geq 5 \times 4^\ell$ that $L(n) = \lfloor \log_4 \frac{3n-2}{10} \rfloor$. \square

Remark 1. Here we consider a function

$$T_\ell(n) = \left(n + \frac{2(4^\ell - 1)}{3} \right) // 4^{\ell+1}.$$

It plays a central role for various formulae for f . We easily have a formula: $T_{\ell+1}(4n-2) = T_\ell(n)$. Moreover, since $\frac{2(4^\ell - 1)}{3} \bmod 4 = 2$ for $\ell > 0$, we have

$$T_\ell(4m+2) = T_\ell(4m+3) = T_\ell(4m+4) = T_\ell(4m+5)$$

and

$$T_\ell(4m+1) = T_\ell(4m) = T_\ell(4m-1) = T_\ell(4m-2)$$

for $\ell > 0$.

Next we observe $f(0, k, n)$ for small k :

Lemma 7. $f(0, 1, n) = f(0, 0, n)$.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 1, 4m) &= f(0, 1, 4m+1) = m + f(0, 0, m) = f(0, 0, 4m+1) = f(0, 0, 4m) \\ f(0, 1, 4m+2) &= m + f(0, 0, m+1) = f(0, 0, 4m+2) \\ f(0, 1, 4m+3) &= m + f(0, 1, m+1), \quad m + f(0, 0, m+1) = f(0, 0, 4m+3). \end{aligned}$$

So we have only to verify the case $f(0, 1, 4m+3) = f(0, 0, 4m+3)$, which is reduced to the equality $f(0, 1, n+1) = f(0, 0, n+1)$. By the above observation, we have only to verify the case $n+1 = 4m+3$.

Therefore by this reduction, it suffices to show $f(0, 1, k) = f(0, 0, k)$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Since $f(0, 1, 3) = 0$, we have $f(0, 1, 2) = f(0, 0, 3) = f(0, 0, 2) = f(0, 1, 1) = f(0, 0, 1) = f(0, 0, 0) = 0$, so that the required equality yields. \square

Direct computation shows the following table for $f(0, k, n)$ where $n = 4m - j$:

k	$4m - 3$	$4m - 2$	$4m - 1$	$4m$
2	$m - 1 + f(0, 0, m - 1)$	$m + f(0, 0, m - 1)$	$m + f(0, 0, m - 1)$	$m + f(0, 0, m)$
3	$m - 1 + f(0, 0, m)$	$m + f(0, 0, m - 1)$	$m + f(0, 0, m)$	$m + f(0, 0, m)$

Therefore we have the formulae for $f(0, k, n)$ for $k = 2, 3$:

In the below, the upper bounds L_ℓ of ℓ are slightly varied, but they are easily obtained as in the above. So we omit the upper bounds for ℓ for the sake of convenience:

Lemma 8.
$$f(0, 2, n) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n // 4),$$

$$f(0, 3, n) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} (T_\ell(n + 1) + T_\ell(n - 1) - T_\ell(n)).$$

In fact, in case $n = 4m - 3$, then $n - 1 = 4(m - 1)$, and hence $m - 1 = (n - 1) // 4 = (n - 1) // 4 = n // 4 = (n + 2) // 4$. Thereby

$$f(0, 2, n) = m - 1 + f(0, 0, m - 1) = (n + 2) // 4 + f(0, 0, n // 4) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n // 4).$$

By the above remark, we have

$$f(0, 3, n) = m - 1 + f(0, 0, m) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell((n - 1) // 4) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} T_\ell(n + 1).$$

Since $T_\ell(n + 1) = T_\ell(4m - 2)$ and $T_\ell(n) = T_\ell(4m - 3) = T_\ell(4m - 4) = T_\ell(n - 1)$ also by the remark, we have

$$f(0, 3, n) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} (T_\ell(n + 1) + 0) = (n + 2) // 4 + \sum_{\ell \geq 1} (T_\ell(n + 1) + T_\ell(n - 1) - T_\ell(n)).$$

3 General formulae for $\mu^{(3)}$. Under modulo 4, the values of $f(0, k, n)$ are classified as the following table:

$k \setminus n$	$4N$	$4N + 1$	$4N + 2$	$4N + 3$
$4K$	$K + N + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K, N + 1)$	$K + N + f(0, K, N + 1)$
$4K + 1$	$K + N + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K, N + 1)$	$K + N + f(0, K + 1, N + 1)$
$4K + 2$	$K + N + f(0, K + 1, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K + 1, N)$	$K + N + 1 + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + 1 + f(0, K, N)$
$4K + 3$	$K + N + f(0, K + 1, N)$	$K + N + f(0, K + 1, N + 1)$	$K + N + 1 + f(0, K, N)$	$K + N + 1 + f(0, K + 1, N + 1)$

Summing up, we obtain the reducing formulae for $f(0, k, n)$:

Lemma 9.
$$f(0, k, n) = k // 4 + n // 4 + ((k \bmod 4) // 2 + (n \bmod 4) // 2) // 2$$

$$+ f(0, k // 4 + ((k \bmod 4) // 2 + ((n + 2) \bmod 4) // 2) // 2 + (k \bmod 2 + n \bmod 4) // 4,$$

$$n // 4 + ((n \bmod 4) // 2 + ((k + 2) \bmod 4) // 2) // 2 + (n \bmod 2 + k \bmod 4) // 4.$$

Combining Lemmas 7–9, we can obtain any value of $f(a, b, c)$ formally. For example, we have the following values:

Example 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(0, 2^{2j}, n) &= f(0, 4^j, n) = \frac{4^j - 1}{3} + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T(n, \ell) \\
f(0, 2^{2j+1}, n) &= \frac{4^{j+1} - 1}{3} + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T(n - 2 \times 4^j, \ell) \\
f(0, 3 \times 4^j, n) &= 4^j - 1 + T_\ell(n + 2 \times 4^j, j) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{j-1} T_\ell(n) + \sum_{\ell \geq j+1} [T_\ell(n + 4^j) + T_\ell(n - 4^j) - T_\ell(n)] \\
f(0, 3 \times 2^{2j+1}, n) &= 2 \times 4^j + \sum_{\ell=0}^j T_\ell(n) + \sum_{\ell \geq j+1} [T_\ell(n - 4^{j+1}) + T_\ell(n - 2 \times 4^{j+1}) - T_\ell(n - 6 \times 4^j)] \\
f(0, 2^{2j+1} + 1, n) &= \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n - 2^{2j+1}) + \sum_{\ell \geq j+1} \left[T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) + T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m + 2^{2j+1} \right) - T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m + 2^{2j+1} \right) \right] \\
f(0, 4^j + 1, n) &= \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m + \left(n + \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) // 4^{j+1} - \left(n - 1 + \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) // 4^{j+1} \\
&\quad + \left(n + \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m + 4^j \right) // 4^{j+1} - \left(n - 1 + \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m + 4^j \right) // 4^{j+1} + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n) \\
&+ \sum_{\ell \geq j+1} \left[T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) + T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=0}^j 4^m \right) \right] \\
f(0, 2^{2j+1} - 1, n) &= \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n + 1 - 2^{2j+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq j} \left[T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m \right) \right] \\
f(0, 4^j - 1, n) &= \sum_{m=1}^{j-1} 4^m + \left(n + 1 + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) // 4^j - \left(n + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) // 4^j \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n + 1) + \sum_{\ell \geq j} \left[T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n + 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) \right] \\
f(0, 2^{2j+1} - 2, n) &= \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n - 2^{2j+1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq j} \left[T_\ell \left(n - \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n - 2 - \sum_{m=1}^j 4^m \right) \right] \\
f(0, 4^j - 2, n) &= \sum_{m=1}^{j-1} 4^m + \left(n + 1 + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) // 4^j - \left(n - 1 + \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) // 4^j \\
&\quad + \sum_{\ell \geq 0} T_\ell(n) + \sum_{\ell \geq j} \left[T_\ell \left(n - 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) - T_\ell \left(n + 1 - \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} 4^m \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

4 Estimation. Since [1] was published, multi-variable geometric operator means have been discussed. In general $f(a, b, c) \leq \frac{a+b+c}{3}$ holds. The equality holds if and only if the variables are equal:

Theorem 10. *The equality $\mu^{(3)}(a, b, c) \equiv f(a, b, c) = \frac{a+b+c}{3}$ holds if and only if $a = b = c$.*

Proof. It is clear that the equation holds for $a = b = c$. Conversely suppose the equation holds. Then $f(a, b, c)$ must be equal to $f((a+b)/2, (b+c)/2, (c+a)/2)$, the parities for variables are equal. Since we may assume a is 0, then $\frac{b+c}{3} = f(0, b, c) = f(b/2, (b+c)/2, c/2)$. Thereby $b/2$ and $c/2$ must be even. Such procedure shows that $b/2^k$ and $c/2^k$ are even for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x = b$ or $x = c$ is 2^ℓ , then $x/2^\ell = 1$, which is odd. Thus b and c must be 0, so that $a = b = c$. \square

Next we consider the other cases. The following result is the invariant case that the sum of variables is a constant:

Theorem 11. *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$f(0, 2^m, 2^n) = f(0, 0, 2^m + 2^n).$$

Proof. Since we may assume $2 \leq m < n$ by Lemma 2, the reduction formula shows

$$\begin{aligned} f(0, 2^m, 2^n) &= 2^{m-2} + 2^{n-2} + f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) \\ &= \dots = 2^{m \bmod 2} \frac{4^{m//2} - 1}{3} + 2^{n-2} + \dots + 2^{n-2(m//2-1)} + f(0, 2^{m \bmod 2}, 2^{n-2(m//2-1)}) \\ &= \dots = 2^{m \bmod 2} \frac{4^{m//2} - 1}{3} + 2^{n \bmod 2} \frac{4^{n//2} - 1}{3} + f(0, 0, 2^{n \bmod 2}) \\ &= \frac{2^m - 2^{m \bmod 2} + 2^n - 2^{n \bmod 2}}{3} + 0 = \frac{2^m + 2^n - 2^{m \bmod 2} - 2^{n \bmod 2}}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Similar procedure as $f(0, 0, 2^m + 2^n) = 2^{m-2} + 2^{n-2} + f(0, 0, 2^{m-2} + 2^{n-2})$ shows that it is equal to the above. \square

Contrastively to Lemma 4, the following formula is a non-constant case:

Theorem 12. *For $m, n \geq k + 3 + (k \bmod 2)$ and $k \geq 0$,*

$$f(0, 2^m, 2^n) = f(0, 2^m - 2^k, 2^n + 2^k) + 1.$$

Proof. We may assume $m < n$. For $k = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 1, 2^n + 1) \\ &= f(2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}) - f(2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}) \\ &= 1 + f(0, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1}, 2^{n-1}) - f(0, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1} + 1, 2^{n-1} + 1) \\ &= 1 + f(2^{n-2} - 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) - f(2^{n-2} - 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-2} + 1, 2^{n-2}) \\ &= 1 + f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) - f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2} + 1) \\ &= 1 + f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) - f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

For $k > 0$, putting $K = k - (k \bmod 2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 2^k, 2^n + 2^k) \\
&= f(2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}) - f(2^{m-1} - 2^{k-1}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1} + 2^{k-1}) \\
&= f(2^{m-2} + 2^{n-2}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-2}, 2^{m-2} + 2^{n-1}) \\
&\quad - f(2^{m-2} + 2^{n-2}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-2} - 2^{k-2}, 2^{m-2} + 2^{n-1} + 2^{k-2}) \\
&= f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) - f(0, 2^{m-2} - 2^{k-2}, 2^{n-2} + 2^{k-2}) \\
&= \dots = f(0, 2^{m-K}, 2^{n-K}) - f(0, 2^{m-K} - 2^{k \bmod 2}, 2^{n-K} + 2^{k \bmod 2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 1, 2^n + 1) = f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 2, 2^n + 2) = 1$$

In fact, $f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 1, 2^n + 1) = 1$ has been already shown in the above. Also

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 2, 2^n + 2) \\
&= f(2^{m-1}, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1}) - f(2^{m-1} - 1, 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1}, 2^{n-1} + 1) \\
&= f(0, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1}, 2^{n-1}) + 1 - f(0, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-1} + 2, 2^{n-1} + 1) \\
&= f(2^{n-2} - 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) + 1 \\
&\quad - f(2^{n-2} - 2^{m-2} + 1, 2^{n-1} - 2^{m-2} + 1, 2^{n-2}) \\
&= f(0, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2}) + 1 - f(1, 2^{m-2}, 2^{n-2} + 1) \\
&= f(2^{m-3}, 2^{m-3} + 2^{n-3}, 2^{n-3}) + 1 - f(2^{m-3}, 2^{m-3} + 2^{n-3}, 2^{n-3} + 1) \\
&= f(2^{m-3}, 2^{m-3} + 2^{n-3}, 2^{n-3}) + 1 - f(2^{m-3}, 2^{m-3} + 2^{n-3}, 2^{n-3}) \quad (\text{by Lemma 4}) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. Let $k = 0$. In case $(m, n) = (0, 0), (3, 2), (1, x), (x, 1)$ for $x \neq 1$, we have

$$f(0, 2^m, 2^n) - f(0, 2^m - 1, 2^n + 1) = 0.$$

Other cases, we also have the above difference is 1.

So we try to estimate $f(a, b, c)$ by $(a + b + c)/3$:

Lemma 13. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $0 \leq x, y \leq 4^k - 1$. Then

$$f(0, 4^k K + x, 4^k N + y) \geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{4^k(K + N)}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k} + f(0, K, N)$$

for $K, N \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{x+y}{3} \leq \frac{2(4^k-1)}{3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(0, 4^k K + x, 4^k N + y) &\geq f(0, 4^k K, 4^k N) = 4^{k-1}(K + N) + f(0, 4^{k-1}K, 4^{k-1}N) \\
&= \dots = (4^{k-1} + \dots + 1)(K + N) + f(0, K, N) \\
&= \frac{4^k - 1}{3}(K + N) + f(0, K, N) \\
&= \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{4^k(K + N) + x + y}{3} - \frac{(4^k - 1)(x + y)}{3 \times 4^k} + f(0, K, N) \\
&\geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{4^k(K + N) + x + y}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k} + f(0, K, N). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3. If $x = y = 0$ in the above theorem, then

$$f(0, 4^k K, 4^k N) = \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{4^k(K + N)}{3} + f(0, K, N).$$

Corollary 14. $f(0, k, n) \geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{k + n}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k}$.

Theorem 15. For nonnegative integers a, b, c and k ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c) &\geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{\min\{a, b, c\}}{4^k} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k} \\ &\geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $a, b, c \geq \frac{2(4^k - 1)^2}{3}$, then

$$f(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3}.$$

Proof. Since we may assume $a \leq b, c$, the above corollary implies

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c) &= a + f(0, b - a, c - a) \geq a + \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{b + c - 2a}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k} \\ &= \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{a}{4^k} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k} \\ &\geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} - \frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{(4^k - 1)^2}{4^k}. \end{aligned}$$

If $b, c \geq a \geq \frac{2(4^k - 1)^2}{3}$, then

$$\frac{a}{4^k} \geq \frac{2(4^k - 1)^2}{3 \times 4^k},$$

so that we have the last inequality. □

Remark 4. By Remark 3, if $b - a$ and $c - a$ are the multiples of 4^k for $b, c \geq a$, then

$$f(a, b, c) = \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{a}{4^k} + f(0, b - a, c - a).$$

Remark 5. If $a, b, c \geq \frac{2(4^k - 1)^2}{3}$, then

$$f(a, b, c) \geq \frac{4^k - 1}{4^k} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3}.$$

We also pose the case for $k = 1$:

Corollary 16.

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c) &\geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} + \frac{\min\{a, b, c\}}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3} - \frac{3}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, if $a, b, c \geq 12$, then

$$f(a, b, c) \geq \frac{3}{4} \cdot \frac{a + b + c}{3}.$$

Acknowledgement. The first author is partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 23540200, 2011.

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Ando, C.-K.Li and R.Mathias, Geometric means, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, 385(2004), 305–334.
- [2] C.Jung, H.Lee and T.Yamazaki, On a new construction of geometric mean of n -operators, *Linear Alg. Appl.*, 431(2009), 1477–1488.
- [3] F.Kubo and T.Ando, Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.*, 246(1980), 205–224.
- [4] J.D.Lawson and Y.Lim, A general framework for extending means to higher orders, *Colloq. Math.*, 113 (2008), 191–221.
- [5] Y.Seo, J.I.Fujii and A.Matsumoto, n -means on a metric space, *RIMS Kôkyûroku*, **1753**(2011), 119–126.

communicated by *Masatoshi Fujii*.

DEPARTMENT OF ART AND SCIENCES (INFORMATION SCIENCE), OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY,
ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.

E-mail address: fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

* DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA,
OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.

** NOSE HIGHSCHOOL, NOSE, TOYONO-GUN, OSAKA 563-0122, JAPAN.