## MEAN THEORETIC OPERATOR FUNCTIONS FOR EXTENSIONS OF THE GRAND FURUTA INEQUALITY

SAICHI IZUMINO \*, NOBORU NAKAMURA \*\*, AND MASARU TOMINAGA \*\*\*

Received May 22, 2010; revised August 19, 2010

ABSTRACT. The Furuta inequality is known as an exquisite extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality. The grand Furuta inequality is its further extension including Ando-Hiai inequality on log-majorization. We introduce two mean theoretic operator functions defined for positive invertible operators  $X \leq A$ , for a given positive invertible operator A and given  $t \in [0, 1]$ :

$$\Phi(X)(=\Phi(u,p;X)) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+p}} X^p (\le X) \quad \text{for} \quad u \ge 0, \ p \ge 1$$

and

 $\Psi(X)(=\Psi(q,s;X)) = (A^t \sharp_s X^q)^{\frac{1}{(1-s)t+sq}} (\le X) \text{ for } q \ge 1, \ s \ge 1.$ 

We have the grand Furuta inequality by using their composition and also have its further extension presented recently by Furuta, by using a successive composition of them.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this note, we consider bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space H. An operator A is positive, denoted by  $A \ge 0$ , if  $(Ax, x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in H$  and strictly positive, denoted by A > 0 if A is positive invertible.

The Löwner-Heinz inequality is well-known as one of the most important inequalities: (LH)  $A \ge B$  implies  $A^p \ge B^p$  for  $0 \le p \le 1$ .

The Furuta inequality is an exquisite extension of the Löwner-Heinz inequality:

**Theorem F** (The Furuta inequality [6], [8]). If  $A \ge B \ge 0$ , then the following inequality holds:

(F)  $(A^{\frac{r}{2}}B^{p}A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r+1}{r+p}} \leq A^{r+1} \text{ for } p \geq 1 \text{ and } r \geq 0.$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} {\it More \ precisely, \ define} \\ f(r,p):=A^{\frac{-r}{2}}(A^{\frac{r}{2}}B^{p}A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r+1}{r+p}}A^{\frac{-r}{2}}. \end{array}$ 

Then f(r, p) is a decreasing function for  $r \ge 0$  and  $p \ge 1$ .

Combining the above inequality with Ando-Hiai inequality on majorization [1], Furuta presented the following generalization, which is called the grand Furuta inequality:

**Theorem G** (The Grand Furuta inequality [7]). If  $A \ge B \ge 0$  with A > 0, then for each  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $p \ge 1$ ,

(G)  $\{A^{\frac{r}{2}}(A^{\frac{-t}{2}}B^{p}A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{s}A^{\frac{r}{2}}\}^{\frac{r-t+1}{r+(p-t)s}} \le A^{r-t+1}$ for  $r \ge t, s \ge 1$ .

More precisely, define

$$g(r,s) := A^{\frac{-r}{2}} \{ A^{\frac{r}{2}} (A^{\frac{-t}{2}} B^{p} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{s} A^{\frac{r}{2}} \}^{\frac{r-t+1}{r+(p-t)s}} A^{\frac{-r}{2}}.$$

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A63, 47A64.

Key words and phrases. positive operator, operator mean, Furuta inequality, grand Furuta inequality.

Then g(r,s) is a decreasing function for  $r \ge t$  and  $s \ge 1$ .

Recently, Furuta presented a further extension of the grand Furuta inequality as follows: Theorem FG (Further extension of the grand Furuta inequality [9]). If  $A \ge B \ge 0$ with A > 0, then for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and for  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_{2n-1} \ge 1$ ,  $(\mathrm{FG}) \left[ A^{\frac{r}{2}} \left( A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \left\{ A^{\frac{t}{2}} \dots \left( A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \left\{ A^{\frac{t}{2}} \left( A^{\frac{-t}{2}} B^{p_1} A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \right)^{p_2} A^{\frac{t}{2}} \right\}^{p_3} A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \right)^{p_4} \dots A^{\frac{t}{2}} \right\}^{p_{2n-1}} A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \right)^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} \right]^{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}}$  $< A^{r-t+1}$ for  $r \ge t$ ,  $p_{2n} \ge 1$  with q(0) = 1,  $q(2k) = \{q(2k-2) \cdot p_{2k-1} - t\}p_{2k} + t \ (k \ge 1)$ .

More precisely, if we define  $h(r, p_{2n}) := A^{\frac{-r}{2}} [A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{A^{\frac{t}{2}} \{A^{\frac{t}{2}} ... (A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{\frac{-t}{2}} B^{p_1} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{p_2} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_3} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{p_4} ... \times A^{\frac{t}{2}} \}^{p_{2n-1}} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{r}{2}}]^{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} A^{\frac{-r}{2}}.$ 

Then  $h(r, p_{2n})$  is a decreasing function for  $r \ge t, p_{2n} \ge 1$ .

Mean theoretic approach to the Furuta or the grand Furuta inequality was given by several authors [2], [3], [4], [5], [10], [12], etc. The same approach to the above further extension of the grand Furuta inequality has been presented by Ito and Kamei [11].

For operators A, B > 0,  $\alpha$ -power mean  $A \sharp_{\alpha} B$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$  is introduced [13] as  $A \sharp_{\alpha} B :=$  $A^{\frac{1}{2}}(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\alpha}A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Then in terms of a power mean and extended power mean, that is,  $\alpha$ -power mean for  $\alpha \geq 0$ , the Furuta inequality (F) and the grand Furuta inequality (G) can be reformed as their satellite forms  $(F^*)$  and  $(G^*)$ , respectively [12], [4], [5]:

Satellite forms of (F) and (G) ([12], [4]). If  $A \ge B \ge 0$ , then  $(\mathbf{F}^*)$ 

 $(G^*)$ 

\*)  $\begin{array}{l} A^{-r}\sharp_{r+1}^{r+1}B^{p} \leq B \ (\leq A) \ for \ r \geq 0, \ p \geq 1. \\ *) \qquad A^{-r+t}\sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r+(p-t)s}}(A^{t}\sharp_{s}B^{p}) \leq B \ (\leq A) \ for \ r \geq t, \ s \geq 1. \\ The \ left-hand \ side \ of \ (\mathbf{F}^{*}) \ is \ f(r,p). \ For \ the \ left-hand \ side \ of \ (\mathbf{G}^{*}), \ if \ we \ denote \ it \ by \end{array}$  $\tilde{g}(r,s), then$ 

 $\widetilde{g}(r,s) := A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r+(p-t)s}} (A^t \sharp_s B^p) = A^{\frac{t}{2}} g(r,s) A^{\frac{t}{2}},$ 

so that we can see  $\tilde{\tilde{g}}(r,s)$  is, similarly to g(r,s), a decreasing function for  $r \geq t$  and  $s \geq 1$ .

Now we notice that with the same assumption on A, B, t above and for  $p, s \ge 1$ , the following key inequality due to Fujii and Kamei [4, Theorem 2] holds:

(FK) 
$$(A^t \sharp_s B^p)^{\frac{1}{(1-s)t+sp}} \leq B.$$

Hence, related to (G<sup>\*</sup>), if we put r' = r - t,  $p' = t \nabla_s p$  (= (1-s)t+sp) and  $B_1 = (A^t \sharp_s B^p)^{\frac{1}{p'}}$ , then since  $r' \ge 0, p' \ge 1$ , and  $B_1 \le B$  as above, we obtain, from (F\*), (G\*\*)  $A^{-r'} \sharp_{\frac{r'+1}{r'+p'}} B_1^{p'} \le B_1 \le B \le A$ ,

which is of same form as  $(F^*)$  (and is, at the same time, more precise than  $(G^*)$ ).

Under the circumstances, we introduce, in Section 2, two mean theoretic operator functions related to  $(F^*)$  (or  $(G^{**})$ ) and (FK), and by using their composition and successive composition we express the grand Furuta inequality and its further extension.

2. Mean theoretic functions for the grand Furuta inequality

**Definition 2.1.** Let A > 0,  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then for  $0 < X \le A$ , define

 $\Phi(X)(=\Phi(u,p;X)) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+n}} X^p \text{ for } u \ge 0, \ p \ge 1$ 

and

$$\Psi(X)(=\Psi(q,s;X)) = (A^t \sharp_s X^q)^{\frac{1}{t \nabla_s q}} \quad \text{for} \quad q \ge 1, \ s \ge 1.$$

Then as their composition we have

(H)  $\Phi \circ \Psi(X) = \Phi(u, p; \Psi(q, s; X)) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+p}} (A^t \sharp_s X^q)^{\frac{p}{t \nabla_{sq}}}.$ Both of  $\Phi(X)$  and  $\Psi(X)$  are contractive, so that  $\Phi \circ \Psi(X) \leq \Psi(X) \leq X.$  If we put  $X = B(\leq A)$  and  $p = t \nabla_s q$  in (H), then from  $\Phi \circ \Psi(B) \leq \Psi(B) \leq B$ , we have

$$A^{-u}\sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\nabla_s q}}(A^t\sharp_s B^q) \ (=\hat{g}(u,s)) \le (A^t\sharp_s B^q)^{\frac{1}{t\nabla_s q}} \le B,$$

an equivalent inequality with  $(G^{**})$ .

Let

$$\hat{g}(u,s) = \Phi \circ \Psi(B)$$

as above. Putting u = r - t and replacing q by p in  $\hat{g}(u, s)$ , we obtain the function  $\tilde{g}(r, s)$  defined before (as the left-hand side of (G<sup>\*</sup>)), a decreasing function for  $r \ge t$ ,  $s \ge 1$ . Thus  $\hat{g}(u, s)$  is also a *decreasing* function for  $u \ge 0$ ,  $s \ge 1$ .

Now we show as an equivalent inequality with (FG) by using operator means:

**Lemma 2.2.** With the same assumption as in Theorem FG, let  $C_0 = B$  and for  $k \ge 1$   $C_{2k} = A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{A^{\frac{t}{2}} ... (A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{A^{\frac{t}{2}} (A^{\frac{-t}{2}} B^{p_1} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{p_2} A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_3} A^{\frac{-t}{2}})^{p_4} ... A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_{2k-1}} A^{\frac{-t}{2}}.$ Then as an equivalent inequality with (FG), we have (FG\*)  $A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} (A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}) \le A.$ The function  $h(r, p_{2n})$  is expressed as follows:

(1) 
$$h(r, p_{2n}) = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} = A^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left\{ A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} \left( A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}} \right) \right\} A^{-\frac{t}{2}}.$$

Here

(2) 
$$A^{\frac{t}{2}}C_{2n}^{p_{2n}}A^{\frac{t}{2}} = A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n}}\left(A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n-2}}\cdots\left(A^{t}\sharp_{p_{4}}\left(A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2}}B^{p_{1}}\right)^{p_{3}}\cdots\right)^{p_{2n-3}}\right)^{p_{2n-1}}.$$

**Proof.** First we can see  $C_{2k+2} = A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{ A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2k}^{p_{2k}} A^{\frac{t}{2}} \}^{p_{2k+1}} A^{\frac{-t}{2}}$ , or (for  $k \ge 1$ )

$$C_{2k} = A^{\frac{-t}{2}} \{ A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2k-2}^{p_{2k-2}} A^{\frac{t}{2}} \}^{p_{2k-1}} A^{\frac{-t}{2}}.$$

Hence

(3) 
$$A^{\frac{t}{2}}C^{p_{2k}}_{2k}A^{\frac{t}{2}} = A^{\frac{t}{2}}(A^{-\frac{t}{2}}\{A^{\frac{t}{2}}C^{p_{2k-2}}_{2k-2}A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_{2k-1}}A^{-\frac{t}{2}})^{p_{2k}}A^{\frac{t}{2}} = A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2k}}\{A^{\frac{t}{2}}C^{p_{2k-2}}_{2k-2}A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_{2k-1}}$$
  
Hence for (2), we see

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\frac{t}{2}}C_{2n}^{p_{2n}}A^{\frac{t}{2}} &= A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n}}\{A^{\frac{t}{2}}C_{2n-2}^{p_{2n-2}}A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_{2n-1}} = A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n}}\{A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n-2}}(A^{\frac{t}{2}}C_{2n-4}^{p_{2n-4}}A^{\frac{t}{2}})^{p_{2n-3}}A^{\frac{t}{2}}\}^{p_{2n-1}} \\ &= A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n}}\left(A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2n-2}}(\cdots(A^{t}\sharp_{p_{2}}B^{p_{1}})^{p_{3}}\cdots)^{p_{2n-3}}\right)^{p_{2n-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to see that (FG) can be rewritten as

(4) 
$$\left\{A^{\frac{r}{2}}C_{2n}^{p_{2n}}A^{\frac{r}{2}}\right\}^{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} \le A^{r-t+1}.$$

Multiplying  $A^{\frac{-r}{2}}$  from the both sides of (4), we have

$$A^{\frac{-r}{2}} [A^{\frac{r}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{r}{2}}]^{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} A^{\frac{-r}{2}} \le A^{-t+1}.$$

Hence

$$A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} \le A^{-t+1}.$$

Again, multiplying  $A^{\frac{t}{2}}$  from the both sides, we have (by the transformer identity of the geometric mean [13]) the desired inequality (FG<sup>\*</sup>)

$$A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} (A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}) \le A.$$

For  $h(r, p_{2n})$ , we can see that  $h(r, p_{2n}) = A^{\frac{-r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} A^{\frac{-r}{2}} = A^{-r} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}}$   $= A^{-\frac{t}{2}} \left\{ A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} (A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}) \right\} A^{-\frac{t}{2}}$  (by the transformer identity).

Now in order to approach to (FG) or (FG<sup>\*</sup>) with the functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , we need the following:

**Definition 2.3.** With the assumption as in (FG), let for  $0 < X \le A$ , define  $\Psi_k(X) = \Psi(q_k, s_k; X) \left( = (A^t \sharp_{s_k} X^{q_k})^{\frac{1}{t \nabla s_k q_k}} \right)$  with  $q_k = p_{2k-1} \cdot q(2k-2), s_k = p_{2k} \ (k \ge 1)$ , that is,

$$\Psi_k(X) = \Psi(p_{2k-1} \cdot q(2k-2), p_{2k}; X) \quad \left( = (A^t \sharp_{p_{2k}} X^{p_{2k-1}q(2k-2)})^{\frac{1}{q(2k)}} \right)$$
  
(recall  $q(2k) = t \nabla_{p_{2k}} p_{2k-1}q(2k-2)$ ).

Further, if we put  $\Psi^{(0)}(X) = X$ ,  $\Psi^{(2k)}(X) = \Psi_k \circ \Psi^{(2k-2)}(X)$ , then we obtain  $\Psi^{(2k)}(X) = \Psi(p_{2k-1} \cdot q(2k-2), p_{2k}; \Psi^{(2k-2)}(X))$ 

$$= \left\{ A^t \sharp_{p_{2k}} (\Psi^{(2k-2)}(X))^{p_{2k-1} \cdot q(2k-2)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q(2k)}},$$

or,

 $\Psi^{(2k)}(X)^{q(2k)} = A^t \sharp_{p_{2k}} \left( \Psi^{(2k-2)}(X)^{q(2k-2)} \right)^{p_{2k-1}}.$ Then, inductively,

$$\Psi^{(2n)}(X)^{q(2n)} = A^t \sharp_{p_{2n}} \left( \Psi^{(2n-2)}(X)^{q(2n-2)} \right)^{p_{2n-1}}$$
  
=  $A^t \sharp_{p_{2n}} (A^t \sharp_{p_{2n-2}} (\cdots A^t \sharp_{p_4} (A^t \sharp_{p_2} X^{p_1})^{p_3} \cdots)^{p_{2n-3}})^{p_{2n-1}}.$ 

Hence if we put  $X = B \leq A$ , we have, from (2),

(5) 
$$\Psi^{(2n)}(B)^{q(2n)} = A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}$$

**Remark 2.4** ([11]). To define  $\Psi_k(X)$  above, it suffices to assume that  $q_k \ge 1 \Leftrightarrow p_{2k-1} \ge 1/q(2k-2)$ . Hence the condition  $p_1, ..., p_{2n} \ge 1$  in Theorem FG can be weaken such as

(6) 
$$p_{2k-1} \ge 1/q(2k-2)$$
 and  $p_{2k} \ge 1$  for  $k = 1, ..., n$ .

Now we have:

**Proposition 2.5.** Assume that A, X, t are the same as before and  $p_1, ..., p_{2n}$  satisfy (6). Define

$$\Phi_n(X) = \Phi(u, q(2n); X) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+q(2n)}} X^{q(2n)}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \Phi_n \circ \Psi^{(2n)}(X) &= A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+q(2n)}} (\Psi^{(2n)}(X))^{q(2n)} \\ &= A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+t \nabla_{p_{2n}} p_{2n-1} \cdot q(2n-2)}} \left( A^t \sharp_{p_{2n}} \Psi^{(2n-2)}(X) \right)^{p_{2n-1} \cdot q(2n-2)} \end{split}$$

In particular, if we put X = B, then

$$\tilde{h}(u,p_{2n}) := \Phi_n \circ \Psi^{(2n)}(B) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+q(2n)}} (\Psi^{(2n)}(B))^{q(2n)} = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+t\nabla p_{2n}Q_n}} \left(A^t \sharp_{p_{2n}} B_n\right)^{Q_n}$$

with  $Q_n = p_{2n-1} \cdot q(2n-2)$ ,  $B_n = \Psi^{(2n-2)}(B)$ , both of them not depending on u and  $p_{2n}$ . Hence  $\tilde{h}(u, p_{2n})$  possessing the same form with  $\hat{g}(u, s)$  is a decreasing function for  $u \geq 0$ and  $p_{2n} \geq 1$ .

Corollary 2.6. Notice that from (5)

$$\tilde{h}(u,p_{2n}) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+q(2n)}} (\Psi^{(2n)}(B))^{q(2n)} = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+q(2n)}} A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}.$$

If we put u = r - t in  $\tilde{h}(u, p_{2n})$ , then we obtain the left-hand side of (FG<sup>\*</sup>):

$$\tilde{h}(r-t,p_{2n}) = A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} (\Psi^{(2n)}(B))^{q(2n)} = A^{-r+t} \sharp_{\frac{r-t+1}{r-t+q(2n)}} (A^{\frac{t}{2}} C_{2n}^{p_{2n}} A^{\frac{t}{2}}).$$

Hence by (1),  $\tilde{h}(r-t, p_{2n}) = A^{\frac{t}{2}}h(r, p_{2n})A^{\frac{t}{2}}$ , or  $h(r, p_{2n}) = A^{-\frac{t}{2}}\tilde{h}(r-t, p_{2n})A^{-\frac{t}{2}}$ , so that monotone decrease of  $h(r, p_{2n})$  stated in Theorem FG can be obtained from that of  $\tilde{h}(r, p_{2n})$ given in Proposition 2.5.

**Remark 2.7.** We constructed the further extension  $(FG^*)$  of the grand Furuta inequality by

using a successive composition of type  $\Phi \circ \overline{\Psi \circ \cdots \circ \Psi}$ . Similarly, we could construct various extensions of the Furuta or the grand Furuta inequality by using successive compositions of  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .

## 3. Monotonicity of a generalized Furuta-type operator function

By composing the contractive functions  $\Phi(X)$  and  $\Psi(X)$ , we introduce a parameterized operator function:

**Definition 3.1.** In (H), put  $X = B \leq A$ ,  $p = \lambda(t \nabla_s q) \geq 1$  (for  $\lambda > 0$ ), and define

$$\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s) := \Phi \circ \Psi(B) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_s q)}} (A^t \sharp_s B^q)^{\lambda}.$$

We then see  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s) \leq B$ , since  $\Phi \circ \Psi$  is contractive for  $u \geq 0, s \geq 1$ . The grand Furuta inequality is understood as the case  $\lambda = 1$ .

Now as an extension of Ito-Kamei's result [10] on monotonicity of the operator function  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s)$ , we have the following result:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $q \ge 1, t \in [0, 1]$  and  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, s)$  be defined as above. Then

- (i) If  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \lambda$ , then  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, s)$  is monotone decreasing for  $u \geq 0$ . (ii) If  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then (B =)  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(0, 1) \leq \hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, s)$  for  $-1 \leq u \leq 0$ ,  $\frac{1-\lambda t}{\lambda(q-t)} \leq s \leq 1$ .
- (iii) If  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , then  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s)$  is monotone decreasing for  $u \geq 0, s \geq 1$ .

**Proof.** First note that  $\lambda(t \nabla_s q) (= p) \ge 1$  since  $\lambda \ge \frac{1}{q}$  and  $t \nabla_s q \ge q$ . Now for (i), it is easy, since  $\Phi(u, p; \Psi(B))$  is monotone decreasing for  $u \ge 0$ . For (ii), we can see that  $0 \leq \frac{1-\lambda t}{\lambda(q-t)} \leq 1$  for  $\frac{1}{q} \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . By  $A \geq B > 0$  and the Löwner-Heinz inequality, we have

$$\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s) = A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{s}q)}} (A^{t} \sharp_{s} B^{q})^{\lambda} \ge B^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{s}q)}} (B^{t} \sharp_{s} B^{q})^{\lambda} = B = \hat{g}_{\lambda}(0,1).$$

For (iii), it suffices to show that  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, ss_1) \leq \hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, s)$  for  $1 \leq s_1 \leq 2$ . Since  $A^t \sharp_{ss_1} B^q = A^t \sharp_{s_1}(A^t \sharp_s B^q)$ , we see that

$$A^{t}\sharp_{ss_{1}}B^{q} = A^{t}\sharp_{s_{1}}B_{1}^{t\nabla_{s}q} \text{ for } B_{1} = (A^{t}\sharp_{s}B^{q})^{\frac{1}{t\nabla_{s}q}} (\leq B) \leq A.$$

Now from [4, Lemma 1],

$$A^t \sharp_{s_1} B_1^{t\nabla_s q} \le B_1^{t\nabla_{s_1}(t\nabla_s q)} = B_1^{t\nabla_{ss_1} q},$$

or  $(A^t \sharp_{s_1} B_1^{t \nabla_s q})^{\lambda} \leq B_1^{\lambda(t \nabla_{ss_1} q)}$ . Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} \hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,ss_{1}) &= A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{ss_{1}}q)}} (A^{t}\sharp_{ss_{1}}B^{q})^{\lambda} \\ &= A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{ss_{1}}q)}} \left(A^{t}\sharp_{s_{1}}B_{1}^{t\nabla_{s}q}\right)^{\lambda} \\ &\leq A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{ss_{1}}q)}} B_{1}^{\lambda(t\nabla_{ss_{1}}q)} \left(= \hat{g}(u,\lambda(t \nabla_{ss_{1}}q))\right) \\ &\leq A^{-u} \sharp_{\frac{u+1}{u+\lambda(t\nabla_{s}q)}} B_{1}^{\lambda(t\nabla_{s}q)} \left(= \hat{g}(u,\lambda(t \nabla_{s}q))\right) \\ &= \hat{g}_{\lambda}(u,s). \end{split}$$

The last inequality above is obtained from monotone decrease of  $\hat{g}(u, s)$  (with respect s).

**Remark 3.3.** Is  $\hat{g}_{\lambda}(u, s)$  monotone decreasing, if  $\lambda \geq 1$ ? To this question, we give a negative answer from the following numerical computation. Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2.1 & 1.1 \\ 1.1 & 1.1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \lambda = 1.3, t = 0.7, q = 2, u = 8.$$

Define  $D_{i,j} = \hat{g}_{\lambda}(8,i) - \hat{g}_{\lambda}(8,j)$ . Then we obtain (discarded less than  $10^{-5}$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} D_{1,3} &= \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,1) - \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,3) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3142 & 0.1805 \\ 0.1805 & 0.1389 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \det D_{1,3} = 0.0110 > 0. \\ D_{3,5} &= \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,3) - \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,5) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1653 & 0.0931 \\ 0.0931 & 0.0709 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \det D_{3,5} = 0.0030 > 0. \\ D_{5,7} &= \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,5) - \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,7) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.0965 & 0.3633 \\ 0.3633 & -0.4328 \\ 0.3633 & -0.4328 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \det D_{5,7} = -0.0902 < 0. \\ D_{7,9} &= \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,7) - \hat{g}_{1.3}(8,9) = \begin{bmatrix} -1.0401 & 1.7586 \\ 1.7586 & -2.6428 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \det D_{7,9} = -0.3438 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- T. ANDO and F. HIAI, Log majorization and complementary Golden-Thompson type inequalities, Linear Algebra Appl., 197, 198 (1994), 113-131.
- [2] M. FUJII, Furuta's inequality and its mean theoretic approach, J. Operator Theory, 23 (1990), 67-72.
- [3] M. FUJII, T. FURUTA and E. KAMEI, Furuta's inequality and its application to Ando's Theorem, Linear Algebra Appl., 149 (1991), 91-96.
- M. FUJII and E. KAMEI, Mean theoretic approach to the grand Furuta inequality, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 124 (1996), 2751-2756.
- [5] M. FUJII and E. KAMEI, On an extension of the grand Furuta inequality, Sci. Math. Japon., 56 (2002), 351-354.
- [6] T. FURUTA,  $A \ge B \ge 0$  assures  $(B^r A^p B^r)^{1/q} \ge B^{(p+2r)/q}$  for  $r \ge 0, p \ge 0, q \ge 1$  with  $(1+2r)q \ge p+2r$ , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **101** (1987), 85-88.

- [7] T. FURUTA, Extension of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log majorization, Linear Algebra Appl., 219 (1995), 139-155.
- [8] T. FURUTA, Invitation to Linear Operators, Taylor and Francis, 2001.
- [9] T. FURUTA, Further extension of an order preserving operator inequality, J. Math. Inequal., 2 (2008), 465-472.
- [10] M. ITO and E. KAMEI, A complement to monotonicity of generalized Furuta-type operator functions, Linear Algebra Appl., 430 (2009), 544-546.
- [11] M. ITO and E. KAMEI, Mean theoretic approach to a further extension of grand Furuta inequality, J. Math. Inequal., 4 (2010), 325-333.
- [12] E. KAMEI, A satellite to Furuta's inequality, Math. Japon., 33 (1988), 833-836.
- [13] F. KUBO and T. ANDO, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann., 246 (1980), 205-224.

\* UNIVERSITY OF TOYAMA, GOFUKU, TOYAMA, 930-8555, JAPAN *E-mail address:* s-izumino@h5.dion.ne.jp

\*\* Тоуама National College of Technology, Hongo-масні 13, Тоуама, 939-8630, Japan *E-mail address*: n-nakamu@nc-toyama.ac.jp

\*\*\* HIROSHIMA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2-1-1 MIYAKE, SAEKI-KU, HIROSHIMA, 731-5193, JAPAN *E-mail address*: m.tominaga.3n@it-hiroshima.ac.jp