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Abstract. This paper presents an analysis of models for Japanese short-term interest rate. The models are constructed based on mean reverting model using Bayesian method to capture the dynamics of short-term interest rate. The parameters of our models are estimated by marginal likelihood and posterior expectation and we shall make model selection using the information criterion EIC(extended information criterion). An application of the models will be implemented using weekly Japanese average interest rates on certificates of deposit (new issues) less than 30 days in the period from January 2001 to December 2008.

1 Introduction Correct modeling of the short-term interest rate is essential in finance, as it is this rate that is fundamental to the pricing of securities and important for risk management. In the study of the short-term interest rate dynamics, various models have been suggested. There are examples of these models, such as Vasicek model by Vasicek(1977), CIR model by Cox, Ingersoll and Ross(1985) and so on. An empirical comparison of these models was made by Chan, Karolyi, Longstaff and Sanders(1992). In the paper, the parameters are estimated by generalized method of moments(GMM) and they implemented the hypothesis testing methods developed by Newey and West for evaluation of the models. In the parameter estimation of mean reverting model using GMM, it is known that the results are easy to be influenced by an initial values, and it becomes often unstable.


However, more useful models which capture the dynamics of the short-term interest rate are needed. In this paper, we suggest two hierarchical Bayes models based on mean reverting model. The parameters of the models are treated as random variables so that we have to consider appropriate prior distributions for them. By regarding parameters as random variables, we can consider that model construction and estimation involve necessarily some uncertainty and utilize the prior information of interest rate. In the first hierarchical Bayes model, we assume that volatility is constant, and in the second one, it depends on the
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interest rate. Recently, MCMC has been widely used in model estimation because of its usability, but it takes much time for calculation. Instead, we suggest parameter estimation of our hierarchical Bayes models using its marginal likelihood and posterior expectation, whose computation time is very short.

Although the issue of comparison of the models is important, it is difficult to evaluate relative performance of models in a consistent way. In this paper, we shall make model selection by the information criterion EIC. Using EIC, we can evaluate the model performance involving bias of model estimation. Our method of analysis of the interest rate is applied to Japanese short-term interest rate data.

This paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we review mean reverting model in discrete-time and construct two hierarchical Bayes models. Section 3 gives methods of parameter estimation for three models. Firstly, we outline GMM for mean reverting model following Chan et al.(1992). Secondly, we derive marginal likelihood and posterior expectations of hierarchical Bayes models. In section 4, we estimate these models with simulation data generated from our hierarchical Bayes models, and in section 5, the methodologies are applied to Japanese short-term interest rate data series. Summary and conclusions are made in section 6.

2 Models

2.1 Mean Reverting Model In the mean reverting model, it seems that the short-term interest rate has a long-term mean and moves to revert the mean. Consider a discrete-time econometric specification and let $r_t$ be the short-term interest rate at time $t$. A dynamics for $r_t$ which has the mean reverting property can be nested within the following equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
  r_{t+1} &= r_t + \alpha + \beta r_t + \epsilon_{t+1}, \quad t = 1, 2, \cdots , \\
  \epsilon_{t+1} &= \sigma r_t \gamma t; \quad z_t \sim N(0, 1), \\
  E[\epsilon_{t+1}] &= 0, \quad E[\epsilon_{t+1}^2] = \sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma}.
\end{align*}
$$

Many well known interest rate models such as CIR model and Vasicek model can be obtained from this model by giving the restrictions on the four parameters $\alpha, \beta, \sigma_r^2$, and $\gamma$.

Now we consider about a difference of the short-term interest rate between time $t + 1$ and $t$, and develop its distribution.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $y_t$ be

$$
y_t = r_{t+1} - r_t,
$$
then $y_t$ is conditionally normally distributed $N(\alpha + \beta r_t, \sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma})$.

**Proof.** From equation (1), $y_t = \alpha + \beta r_t + \epsilon_{t+1}$, $E[y_t] = \alpha + \beta r_t$ and $Var[y_t] = \sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma}$. Since $y_t$ is a linear combination of $z_t$, $y_t$ is $N(\alpha + \beta r_t, \sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma})$. $\Box$

We call $\alpha + \beta r_t$ drift term and $\sigma_r^2$ volatility. Let parameter vector be $\theta = [\alpha, \beta, \sigma_r^2, \gamma]^T$, likelihood of $y_t$ with $n$ observations is derived as follows:

$$
l(\theta) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma}}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \alpha - \beta r_t)^2}{2\sigma_r^2 r_t^{2\gamma}} \right].
$$
2.2 Hierarchical Bayes Model I As a special case of mean reverting model, we now assume that parameter $\gamma$ in equation (2) equals to 0 and construct hierarchical Bayes model. Consider mean and variance in equation (1) are random variables and $y_t$ is $N(\mu_t, \sigma^2)$, then describe the probability density of $y_t$ with

$$f(y_t|\mu_t, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \mu_t)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right].$$

Further assume that the prior distribution for $\mu_t$ is $N(\psi_t, \sigma^2_0)$, and the prior distribution of two types of uniform and inverse gamma with scale parameter $\nu_0/2$ and shape parameter $\lambda_0/2$ in $\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0$. These probability densities are written

$$g_1(\mu_t|\psi_t, \sigma^2_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2_0}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\mu_t - \psi_t)^2}{2\sigma^2_0} \right], \quad \psi_t = a_1 + b_1r_t,$$

$$h_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0) = \frac{1}{k},$$

$$h_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0) = \frac{(\lambda_0/2)^{\nu_0/2}}{\Gamma(\nu_0/2)} (\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0)^{-(\nu_0/2+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda_0}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0)} \right],$$

where $\lambda_0$, $\nu_0$, $k_1$ and $k_2$ are hyper parameters and given as a prior information. (See Kawada(2008) about the detail of the model.)

2.3 Hierarchical Bayes Model II We constructed the hierarchical Bayes model I whose volatility’s fluctuation is not influenced by the interest rate. However, it is generally known that the volatility is influenced by the interest rate, and it is thought that the model which includes influence of the interest rate may capture the dynamics of the interest rate in volatility well.

Therefore, we construct the model that depends upon influence of the interest rate in volatility term as hierarchical Bayes model II. Now we assume that the prior of normal distribution $N(\varphi_t, \sigma^2_0)$ in $\mu_t$ and inverse gamma distribution which has shape parameter $c$ and scale parameter $e^{-\nu_0}$ in $\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0$. In addition, we also assume uniform distribution as the prior in the shape parameter $c$. These probability densities are written as

$$g_2(\mu_t|\varphi_t, \sigma^2_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2_0}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\mu_t - \varphi_t)^2}{2\sigma^2_0} \right], \quad \varphi_t = a_2 + b_2r_t,$$

$$h_3(\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0|c, e^{-\nu_0}) = \frac{(e^{-\nu_0})^{c}}{\Gamma(c)} (\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0)^{-(c+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{e^{-\nu_0}}{\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0} \right], \quad k_3 : k_4 = \sigma^2 : \sigma^2_0,$$

$$\kappa(c) = \frac{1}{l},$$

where the ratio $k_3$ and $k_4$ are given as a prior information.

3 Method of Parameter Estimation In this paper, we use GMM for mean reverting model, and marginal likelihood and posterior expectation for our hierarchical Bayes models to estimate the parameters. This section provides the details of the method of parameter estimation for the models.
3.1 GMM (Generalized Method of Moments) Using GMM, we estimate the parameters of mean reverting model (1) and (2). For the estimation, now we rewrite the equation (1),

$$\epsilon_{t+1} = r_{t+1} - r_t - \alpha - \beta r_t,$$

and define the vector $f_t(\theta)$ be

$$f_t(\theta) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{t+1} \\ \epsilon_{t+1} r_t \\ \epsilon_{t+1} - \sigma^2 r_t^2 \\ (\epsilon_{t+1} - \sigma^2 r_t^2) r_t \end{bmatrix}.$$ 

If the parameter of a model assuming is correct, we have $E[f_t(\theta)] = \emptyset$ from (1) and (2). The GMM procedure consists of replacing $E[f_t(\theta)]$ with its sample counterpart, $g_n(\theta)$, using the $n$ observations where

$$g_n(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n f_t(\theta),$$

and then choosing parameter estimators that minimize the quadratic form,

$$J_n(\theta) = g_n^\top(\theta) W_n g_n(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \sum_{j=1}^4 g^n_i(\theta) w^n_{ij} g^n_j(\theta),$$

where $W_n = (w^n_{ij})$ is a positive-definite symmetric weighting matrix, $g^n_i(\theta)$ is $i$th element of vector $g_n(\theta)$ and 4 is the number of parameters. See Chan et al. (1992) about selection of weighting matrix $W_n$.

3.2 Marginal Likelihood and Posterior Expectations of Hierarchical Bayes Model I We estimate the parameters in hierarchical Bayes model (4), (5), (6) and (7) using marginal likelihood and posterior expectation.

Proposition 3.1. The posterior distribution for $\mu_t$ of hierarchical Bayes model I in equation (5) is

$$\mu_t | \psi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t \sim N \left( \frac{\psi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}, \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right) ,$$

and its posterior expectation is

$$E[\mu_t | \psi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t] = \frac{\psi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}.$$

Proof. Using the following Bayesian law, we can derive

$$p(\mu_t | \psi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t) = \frac{f(y_t | \mu_t, \sigma^2) g_1(\mu_t | \psi_t, \sigma_0^2) d\mu_t}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_t | \mu_t, \sigma^2) g_1(\mu_t | \psi_t, \sigma_0^2) d\mu_t} = \frac{D_1}{D_2}.$$
We divide this expression into a numerator and a denominator for the advantage of the
calculation. The numerator is developed as follows:

\[ D_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \mu_t)^2}{2\sigma^2} - \frac{(\mu_t - \psi)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right] \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma\sigma_0} \times \exp \left[ -(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \left\{ \mu_t - \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)}{\Sigma} \right\}^2 + \frac{(\psi\sigma_0^2 + y_t\sigma^2)^2}{\Sigma} - (\psi_t\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2) \right] \times 2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2, \]

and we set

\[ \Sigma = \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, \]

\[ R_0 = \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} - (\psi_t\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2), \]

then we have the numerator of the equation of the posterior distribution of \( \mu_t \)

\[ D_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma\sigma_0} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2} \left\{ \mu_t - \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)}{\Sigma} \right\}^2 - R_0 \right] \]

\[ = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2} \left\{ \mu_t - \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)}{\Sigma} \right\}^2 \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Sigma}} \exp \left( R_0 \right) \times 2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2. \]

The denominator is also rewritten as

\[ D_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Sigma}} \exp \left( \frac{R_0}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \right) \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2} \left\{ \mu_t - \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)}{\Sigma} \right\}^2 \right] d\mu_t \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Sigma}} \exp \left( \frac{R_0}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \right). \]

By the result of (14) and (15), we can rewrite equation (13) as follows:

\[ p(\mu_t | \psi, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t) = \sqrt{\frac{\Sigma}{2\pi\sigma^2\sigma_0^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{\Sigma}{{\Sigma}^2} \left\{ \mu_t - \frac{(\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2)}{\Sigma} \right\}^2 \right]. \]

Further we transform the equation,

\[ \sigma_B^2 = \frac{\sigma^2\sigma_0^2}{\Sigma}, \]

\[ A_\mu = \frac{\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2}{\Sigma}, \]

then we have

\[ p(\mu_t | \psi, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_B^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\mu_t - A_\mu)^2}{2\sigma_B^2} \right]. \]

We find that this is the density of normal distribution which has mean \( A_\mu \) and variance \( \sigma_B^2 \).

Therefore the posterior distribution of \( \mu_t \) of hierarchical Bayes model I in equation (5) is

\[ \mu_t | \psi, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t \sim N \left( \frac{\psi\sigma^2 + y_t\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}, \frac{\sigma^2\sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right). \]
and its posterior expectation is
\[ E[\mu_t|\psi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t] = \frac{\psi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}. \]

We estimate the parameters \( a_t \) and \( b_t \) in \( \psi_t = a_t + b_t r_t \) using marginal likelihood \( l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \) of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) in hierarchical Bayes model I and \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) using its posterior expectation.

**Lemma 3.1.** The marginal likelihood, \( l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \) which is used in estimation with \( n \) observations \( y_n = [y_1, \cdots, y_n] \) is written as
\[ l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \cdot \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \sum_{t=1}^{n}(y_t - \psi_t)^2 \right]. \]

**Proof.** Likelihood has the information of parameters depending on data, and marginal likelihood is provided by erasing unnecessary parameters using integral calculus from its likelihood. The marginal likelihood \( l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \) (16) is derived as follows:
\[
l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_t|\mu_t, \sigma^2)g_1(\mu_t|\psi_t, \sigma_0^2)d\mu_t.
\]
Using the result of (15) in proposition 3.1 and we have
\[
l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \left\{ \frac{(\psi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2)^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} - (\psi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2) \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \left\{ \frac{(\psi_t^2 \sigma^4 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4 + 2y_t \psi_t \sigma^2 \sigma_0^2) - (\psi_t^2 \sigma^4 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4 + \psi_t^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_0^2 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4)}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \left\{ \frac{\psi_t^2 \sigma^4 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4 + 2y_t \psi_t \sigma^2 \sigma_0^2 - (\psi_t^2 \sigma^4 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4 + \psi_t^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_0^2 + y_t^2 \sigma_0^4)}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2\sigma^2\sigma_0^2} \left\{ \frac{(y_t - \psi_t)^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right\} \right]
\]
\[
= \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} (y_t - \psi_t)^2 \right]
\]
\[
= (2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2))^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \sum_{t=1}^{n}(y_t - \psi_t)^2 \right]. \]
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In estimation, we choose the parameters $a_1, b_1$ and $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ to maximize this marginal likelihood. However, seeing this marginal likelihood, we find that it maximizes if we estimate $\psi_t = a_1 + b_1 r_t, t = 1, \cdots, n$ minimizing a value of $\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \psi_t)^2$ regardless of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$. Therefore, we estimate only $a_1$ and $b_1$ in this marginal likelihood and $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ is estimated using posterior expectation. Next, we develop the posterior distribution of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ to obtain its expectation.

**Proposition 3.2.(a).** Using $n$ observations vector $y_n = [y_1, \cdots, y_n]$ the posterior distribution for $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ with the prior (6) is derived

\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n \sim Ga^{-1}(\frac{n}{2} - 1, \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \psi_t)^2}{2}) , \]

where $Ga^{-1}$ describe inverse gamma distribution. The posterior expectation for the distribution (18) is calculated as

\[ E[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n] = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \psi_t)^2}{\frac{n}{2} - 2} . \]

**Proof.** See Appendix A.1.1. \( \diamond \)

**Proposition 3.2.(b).** The posterior distribution for $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ with the prior (7) is derived

\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n \sim Ga^{-1}(\frac{n + \nu_0}{2}, \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \psi_t)^2 + \lambda_0}{2}) , \]

and the posterior expectation for the distribution (20) is calculated as

\[ E[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n] = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \psi_t)^2 + \lambda_0}{\frac{n + \nu_0}{2} - 1} . \]

**Proof.** See Appendix A.1.2. \( \diamond \)

Because $\psi_t = a_1 + b_1 r_t$, substituting the estimators of $a_1$ and $b_1$ into each of the posterior expectation of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$, we have the estimators of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$.

### 3.3 Marginal Likelihood and Posterior Expectations of Hierarchical Bayes Model II

The parameters of hierarchical Bayes Model II are also estimated by its marginal likelihood and posterior expectation. We derive the marginal likelihood and the posterior distributions.

**Proposition 3.3.** The posterior distribution for $\mu_t$ of hierarchical Bayes model II in equation (8) is

\[ \mu_t | \phi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t \sim N \left( \frac{\phi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}, \frac{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right) , \]
and its posterior expectation is

\[(23) \quad E[\mu|\varphi_t, \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2, y_t] = \frac{\varphi_t \sigma^2 + y_t \sigma_0^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}.\]

**Proof.** This proof can lead similarly Proposition 3.1 using Bayesian law. \(\diamond\)

We need to develop the posterior distribution of \(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2\) in equation (9) because it is estimated using posterior expectation.

**Proposition 3.4.** The posterior distribution of \(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2\) is derived by following Bayesian law,

\[(24) \quad p(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2|c, e^{-pr_t}, y_n) = \frac{l_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) h_3(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}{\int_0^\infty l_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) h_3(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)},\]

where \(l_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)\) is marginal likelihood of \(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2\) in hierarchical Bayes model II. We have

\[(25) \quad \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2|c, e^{-pr_t}, y_n \sim \text{Ga}^{-1}\left(\frac{n}{2} + c, \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \varphi_t)^2 + e^{-pr_t}\right),\]

and find that the expectation

\[(26) \quad E\left[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2|c, e^{-pr_t}, y_n\right] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \varphi_t)^2 + e^{-pr_t}}{\frac{n}{2} + c - 1},\]

where \(\varphi_t = a_2 + b_2 r_t\).

**Proof.** This proof can lead similarly Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.2.(b) using Bayesian law. \(\diamond\)

The parameters \(a_2, b_2, c\) and \(p\) are estimated by marginal likelihood of \(c\) and \(e^{-pr_t}\) in hierarchical Bayes model II.

**Proposition 3.5.** The marginal likelihood \(l(c, e^{-pr_t})\) is derived as follows:

\[(27) \quad l(c, e^{-pr_t}) = \left[\frac{\Gamma \left(c + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma(c)}\right]^n (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(e^{-pr_t}\right)^c \left[\frac{2}{(y_i - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_t}}\right]^{(c + \frac{1}{2})},\]

for convenience of estimation,

\[(28) \quad \log l(c, e^{-pr_t}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + n \log \frac{\Gamma(c + \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(c)}\]

\[+ \sum_{i=1}^n \left( c(\varphi_t) + \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left( \frac{2}{(y_i - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_t}} \right) \right),\]

**Proof.** See Appendix A.2. \(\diamond\)

In estimation, we choose the parameters \(a_2, b_2, c\) and \(p\) to maximize this marginal likelihood. Substituting \((a_2, b_2, c, p)\) estimated in (28) into the posterior expectations (26) and we have a estimator of \(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2\) in hierarchical Bayes model II.
4 Simulation Analysis In this section, we show the effectiveness and the validity of usage of marginal likelihood and posterior expectation in parameter estimation and the information criterion EIC for model selection. We generate simulation data from the models that we suggested and implement the parameter estimation of each model for the simulation data. Using the estimators, we calculate EIC and choose the model that the value is smallest as the most suitable one for the data which we analyzed. (See Ishiguro, Sakamoto and Kitagawa(1997) about the detail of EIC.) We generate 1000 simulation data for three of hierarchical Bayes models.

For the comparison, we also estimate mean reverting model (1) and (2) using GMM for the simulation data. Estimation results are shown in from Table 1 to Table 3. The hierarchical Bayes models used in the simulation are as follows.

(i) Simulation data 1F hierarchical Bayes model I(h1) with uniform distribution (see (4), (5) and (6))

\[ y_t \sim N(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \]
\[ \mu_t \sim N(a_1 + b_1 r_t, \sigma_0^2), \]
\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \sim Unif(0, k), \quad \sigma^2 : \sigma_0^2 = k_1 : k_2, \]
\[ a_1 = 1.6, b_1 = -0.2, k = 1.0, k_1 : k_2 = 7 : 3. \]

The estimation result is given in Table 1. It is shown that the most suitable model is hierarchical Bayes model I(h2), though the true model is hierarchical Bayes model I(h1). This can be considered due to the estimation bias.

(ii) Simulation data 2 F hierarchical Bayes model I(h2) with inverse gamma distribution (see (4), (5) and (7))

\[ y_t \sim N(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \]
\[ \mu_t \sim N(a_1 + b_1 r_t, \sigma_0^2), \]
\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \sim Ga^{-1} \left( \frac{\nu_0}{2}, \frac{\lambda_0}{2} \right), \quad \sigma^2 : \sigma_0^2 = k_1 : k_2, \]
\[ a_1 = 0.6, b_1 = -0.15, \nu_0 = 3.0, \lambda_0 = 6.0, k_1 : k_2 = 7 : 3. \]

The estimation result is given in Table 2. It is shown that the most suitable model is hierarchical Bayes model I(h2).

(iii) Simulation data 3F hierarchical Bayes model II (see (4), (8), (9) and (10))

\[ y_t \sim N(\mu_t, \sigma^2), \]
\[ \mu_t \sim N(a_2 + b_2 r_t, \sigma_0^2), \]
\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \sim Ga^{-1} \left( c, e^{-pr_t} \right), \quad \sigma^2 : \sigma_0^2 = k_3 : k_4, \]
\[ c \sim Unif(0, l) \]
\[ a_2 = 0.7, b_2 = -0.2, p = 0.1, l = 10.0, k_3 : k_4 = 8 : 2. \]

The estimation result is given in Table 3. It is shown that the most suitable model is hierarchical Bayes model II.

Note that the estimate of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) in hierarchical Bayes model II depends on time \( t \) and we have estimates of the same number as the data, therefore it is described as expectation.
5 Data Analysis  The weekly Japanese average interest rates on certificates of deposit (new issues) less than 30 days in the period from January 2001 to December 2008 which is 418 observations are used in this practical analysis. Figure 1 shows the data $r_t$ and Figure 2 shows $y_t = r_{t+1} - r_t$. Because the values of the data are so small, we extend the data 100 times and use it for the analysis. In this estimation, the values of hyper parameter are $\lambda_0 = 1.0$, $\nu_0 = 5.0$, $k_1 = k_3 = 0.8$, and $k_2 = k_4 = 0.2$ respectively. The result is shown in Table 4, in which the most suitable model is hierarchical Bayes model II.

![Figure 1: Short-Term Interest Rate Data](image1)

![Figure 2: First Differenced Rate Data](image2)

6 Summary and Conclusions  In this paper, we constructed more useful models based on mean reverting model to express the dynamics of short-term interest rate using hierarchical Bayes method. We further suggested a method of parameter estimation for the models using its marginal likelihood and posterior expectation and made model selection by EIC. In adopting Bayesian approach uncertainty relative to all unknown parameters is inferred from
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likelihood and posterior probabilities, which reflect both data and prior information about the interest rate process.

Simulation results showed that our method is effective and valid in the modeling of the short-term interest rate and estimation. Seeing the estimation results of Japanese interest rate data, we found that our models are more suitable than the mean reverting model for the interest rate data which we analyzed in the model selection using EIC. In comparison with GMM and MCMC, large reduction of calculation time is realized by using marginal likelihood and posterior expectation for estimation. And we can apply the information criterion EIC that is an inclusive model evaluation method.

Hierarchical Bayes method allows to infer an influence of drift and volatility in the interest rate at each hierarchy. For future prospects, it is necessary to consider more useful models of drift term, and to further examine how to take in influence of the interest rate in volatility.

A Appendix

A.1 Proof of Proposition 3.2 In this Appendix, we develop the posterior distributions and expectations of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ of hierarchical Bayes model I in proposition 3.2.

A.1.1 Proof of Proposition 3.2 (a) Firstly, we consider the posterior distribution of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ which has uniform distribution as the prior in equation (6). It is derived using following Baysian law:

\[ p(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2|y_n) = \frac{l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)h_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}{\int_0^{\infty} l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)h_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} = \frac{D_3}{D_4}, \tag{29} \]

where $l(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)$ is the marginal likelihood in Lemma 3.1. We divide this expression into numerator and denominator for the advantage of the calculation. The denominator advanced as follows:

\[ D_4 = \int_0^{\infty} \left\{ 2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{1}{k} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - \psi_t)^2 \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \]

\[ = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{1}{k} \times \int_0^{\infty} (\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2)} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - \psi_t)^2 \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2). \]

Now we set

\[ P = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}, \]

\[ dP = \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2), \quad d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = -P^{-2}dP, \]

\[ T = \sum_{t=1}^{n} (y_t - \psi_t)^2, \]

then we rewrite

\[ D_4 = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} P^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{T}{2P}} dP. \]
Further we set

\[ Q = \frac{T}{2} P, \]

\[ dQ = \frac{T}{2} dP, \]

then

\[ D_4 = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{T} Q \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 2} e^{-Q} \times \left( \frac{2}{T} \right) dQ \]

\[ = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times \left( \frac{2}{T} \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \times \frac{1}{k} \int_0^{\infty} Q^{(\frac{d}{2} - 1)} e^{-Q} dQ, \]

we find that

\[ \int_0^{\infty} Q^{(\frac{d}{2} - 1)} e^{-Q} dQ = \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right). \]

Therefore we have

\[ D_4 = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times \left( \frac{2}{T} \right)^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \times \frac{1}{k} \times \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right). \]

Do it likewise, the numerator is rewritten as follows:

\[ D_3 = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times \frac{1}{k} \times P^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{T}{2} P \right]. \]

Consequently, the equation of the posterior distribution of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) is

\[ \frac{D_3}{D_4} = \frac{-\frac{n}{2} - 1 \times \frac{1}{k} \times P^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{T}{2} P \right]}{-(2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \times (\frac{2}{T})^{\frac{d}{2} - 1} \times \frac{1}{k} \times \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)} \]

\[ = \frac{T}{2} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1 \right)} \times P^{\frac{d}{2} - 2} \times e^{-\frac{T}{2} P}. \]

We set

\[ s = \frac{n}{2} - 1, \]

\[ u = \frac{T}{2}, \]

then it is rewritten as follows:

\[ \frac{D_3}{D_4} = \frac{u^s}{\Gamma(s)} P^{s-1} e^{-uP} \]

\[ = \frac{u^s}{\Gamma(s)} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(s+1)} e^{-\frac{(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)u}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}}. \]

We find that this is the density of inverse gamma \( Ga^{-1}(s, u) \), therefore the posterior distribution of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) is derived as follows:

\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n \sim Ga^{-1} \left( \frac{n}{2} - 1, \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \psi_i)^2 \frac{2}{\psi_i} \right), \]
Because of the expectation of inverse gamma distribution $Ga^{-1}(s, u)$ is $u/(s - 1)$, the posterior expectation of (18) is

$$E [\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n] = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}(y_t - \psi)^2}{\frac{2}{\sigma_c^2} - 2}.$$  

A.1.2 Proof of Proposition 3.2.(b) Secondly, we consider the posterior distribution of $\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$ which has the prior distribution of inverse gamma in equation (7). The Bayesian law is applied to this derivation:

$$p(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n) = \frac{l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)h_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}{\int_0^\infty l_1(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)h_2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} = \frac{D_5}{D_6}.  

The numerator is derived as follows:

$$D_5 = \{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)\}^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}(y_t - \psi)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \right] \times \frac{(\frac{\lambda_0}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda_0}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \right],$$

and the denominator is derived as follows:

$$D_6 = \int_0^\infty \{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)\}^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n}(y_t - \psi)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \right] \times \frac{(\frac{\lambda_0}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{\lambda_0}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \times \frac{P_{\frac{n+\lambda_0}{2}} - 1}{2} \exp \left[ -\frac{T + \lambda_0}{2} \right] dP.$$  

Now we set for advantage,

$$M = \frac{T + \lambda_0}{2},$$
$$P = \frac{M}{T + \lambda_0},$$
$$dP = \frac{1}{M} dM,$$

then we have

$$D_6 = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \frac{(\frac{\lambda_0}{2})^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \times \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{2}{T + \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{n+\lambda_0}{2} - 1} e^{-M} \frac{2}{T + \lambda_0} dM$$

$$= -\frac{2\pi}{2} \left( \frac{2}{T + \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{n+\lambda_0}{2} - 1} \times \int_0^\infty M^{\frac{n+\lambda_0}{2} - 1} e^{-M} dM$$

$$= -\frac{2\pi}{2} \left( \frac{2}{T + \lambda_0} \right)^{\frac{n+\lambda_0}{2} - 1} \times \frac{\lambda_0}{T + \lambda_0} \times \Gamma \left( \frac{n+\lambda_0}{2} \right).$$
Do it likewise, we rewrite the equation of the numerator and have

\[ D_5 = -(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \frac{(\lambda_0 \sigma_0^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \times P^{\frac{n+\nu_0-1}{2}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{T + \lambda_0}{2} P\right]. \]

Therefore, the equation of the posterior expectation of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) which has the prior distribution of inverse gamma,

\[
\frac{D_5}{D_6} = \frac{-(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \frac{(\lambda_0 \sigma_0^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \times P^{\frac{n+\nu_0-1}{2}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{T + \lambda_0}{2} P\right]}{-(2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \times \frac{(\lambda_0 \sigma_0^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)} \times (\frac{2}{T + \lambda_0})^{\frac{n+\nu_0-2}{2}} \times \Gamma\left(\frac{n+\nu_0-2}{2}\right)}
\]

\[ = \left(\frac{T + \lambda_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{n+\nu_0-2}{2}} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n+\nu_0-2}{2}\right)} \times P^{\frac{n+\nu_0-2}{2}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{T + \lambda_0}{2} P\right]. \]

Setting

\[ s' = \frac{n + \nu_0}{2}, \]

\[ u' = \frac{T + \lambda_0}{2}, \]

then we have

\[
\frac{D_5}{D_6} = \frac{u'^{s'} \times P^{s'-1}}{\Gamma\left(s'\right)} \times e^{u'P}
\]

\[ = \frac{u'^{s'} \times (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(s'-1)} \times \exp\left[-\frac{u'^2}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}\right]}{\Gamma\left(s'\right)}. \]

This is the density of inverse gamma distribution \( Ga^{-1}(s', u')\), and we find that the posterior distribution of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) which has the prior distribution of inverse gamma is

\[ \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n \sim Ga^{-1}\left(\frac{n + \nu_0}{2}, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \psi)^2 + \lambda_0}{2}\right). \]

Consequently, we find that the posterior expectation of \( \sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 \) in (20) is

\[ E[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | y_n] = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}(y_i - \psi)^2 + \lambda_0}{\frac{n+\nu_0}{2} - 1}. \]

### A.2 Proof of Proposition 3.5

The marginal likelihood \( l(c, e^{-pr_t}) \) of hierarchical Bayes model II is derived as follows:

\[ l(c, e^{-pr_t}) = \prod_{t=1}^{n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_t | \mu_t, \sigma^2) g_2(\mu_t | \phi_t, \sigma_0^2) h_3(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | c, e^{-pr_t}) d\mu_t d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2). \]

Firstly, we consider the integral in equation (31).

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_t | \mu_t, \sigma^2) g_2(\mu_t | \phi_t, \sigma_0^2) h_3(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2 | c, e^{-pr_t}) d\mu_t d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)
\]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(y_t - \mu_t)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_0^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{(\mu_t - \phi_t)^2}{2\sigma_0^2}\right] \times \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(c+1)} \exp\left[-\frac{e^{-pr_t}}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2}\right] d\mu_t d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = D_7. \]
In proposition 3.1, we found that

\[ D_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_t|\mu_t, \sigma^2) g_2(\mu_t|\varphi_t, \sigma_0^2) d\mu_t \]

\[ = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \mu_t)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0^2} \exp \left[ -\frac{(\mu_t - \varphi_t)^2}{2\sigma_0^2} \right] d\mu_t \]

\[ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} (y_t - \varphi_t)^2 \right], \]

substituting this into \( D_7 \) and we have

\[ D_7 = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)}} \times \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} (y_t - \varphi_t)^2 \right] \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(c+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{e^{-pr_t}}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(c+1)} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \varphi_t)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} - \frac{e^{-pr_t}}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} (\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^{-(c+\frac{1}{2})} \exp \left[ -\frac{(y_t - \varphi_t)^2}{2(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)} - \frac{e^{-pr_t}}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} \right] d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2). \]

Secondly, setting

\[ \frac{1}{\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2} = L, \quad \frac{-1}{(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2)^2} d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2) = dL, \]

\[ -\frac{1}{L^2} dL = d(\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2), \]

\[ (y_t - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_t} = M, \]

then

\[ D_7 = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} L^{c-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{ML}{2} \right] \times \left( -\frac{1}{L^2} \right) dL \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} L^{c-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{ML}{2} \right] dL. \]

Further set

\[ \frac{ML}{2} = N, \quad L = \frac{2N}{M}, \quad dL = \frac{2}{M} dN, \]

and transform the equation as follows:

\[ D_7 = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2N}{M} \right)^{c-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-N} \left( \frac{2}{M} \right) dN \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_t})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{2}{M} \right)^{c+\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} N^{c-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-N} dN, \]
the integral is gamma function and it is rewritten as

\[ \int_0^\infty N^{(c+\frac{1}{2})-1}e^{-N}dN = \Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right). \]

Substituting it into the integral of the marginal likelihood,

\[ D_\tau = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(y_{it}\mid \mu_t, \sigma_t^2)g_2(\mu_t\mid \varphi_t, \sigma_0^2)h_3(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_0^2|c, e^{-pr_i})d\mu_t d(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_0^2) \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_i})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left( \frac{2}{M} \right)^{(c+\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{(e^{-pr_i})^c}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \left[ \frac{2}{(y_{it} - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_i}} \right]^{(c+\frac{1}{2})} \Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \]

\[ = \frac{\Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (e^{-pr_i})^c \left[ \frac{2}{(y_{it} - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_i}} \right]^{(c+\frac{1}{2})}, \]

then

\[ l(c, e^{-pr_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(y_{it}\mid \mu_t, \sigma_t^2)g_2(\mu_t\mid \sigma_2, \sigma_0^2)h_3(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_0^2|c, p)d\mu_t d(\sigma_t^2 + \sigma_0^2) \]

\[ = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(c)} (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} (e^{-pr_i})^c \left[ \frac{2}{(y_{it} - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_i}} \right]^{(c+\frac{1}{2})} \]

\[ \left( \Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right)^n (2\pi)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^n (e^{-pr_i})^c \left[ \frac{2}{(y_{it} - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_i}} \right]^{(c+\frac{1}{2})}. \]

We take a logarithm of (32) and have

\[ \log l(c, e^{-pr_i}) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) + n \log \left( \frac{\Gamma \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma(c)} \right) \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ c(-pr_i) + \left( c + \frac{1}{2} \right) \log \left[ \frac{2}{(y_{it} - \varphi_t)^2 + 2e^{-pr_i}} \right] \right\}. \]
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Table 1: Estimation Result of Simulation data 1 ($k = 1.0, k_1 : k_2 = 7 : 3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True values</th>
<th>mean reverting</th>
<th>Bayes model</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_1$)</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_2$)</th>
<th>Bayes model II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>1.2356</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>-0.1658</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_r^2$</td>
<td>0.292</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>-0.1295</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.5485</td>
<td>1.5485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.2084</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.2084</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$</td>
<td>0.1753</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2]$</td>
<td>0.1750</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIC</td>
<td>1113.775</td>
<td>1105.908</td>
<td>1096.829</td>
<td>1111.197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Estimation Result of Simulation data 2 ($\nu_0 = 3.0, \lambda_0 = 6.0, k_1 : k_2 = 7 : 3$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>True values</th>
<th>mean reverting</th>
<th>Bayes model</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_1$)</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_2$)</th>
<th>Bayes model II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.5734</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>-0.1304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_r^2$</td>
<td>1.6036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>-0.6532</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
<td>0.6144</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>-0.1386</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.1386</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2$</td>
<td>0.2291</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E[\sigma^2 + \sigma_0^2]$</td>
<td>0.2287</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIC</td>
<td>1430.378</td>
<td>1391.636</td>
<td>1364.476</td>
<td>1368.669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Estimation Result of Simulation data 3 ($l = 10.0, k_3 : k_4 = 8 : 2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>True values</th>
<th>mean reverting Bayes</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_1$)</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_2$)</th>
<th>Bayes model II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.5577</td>
<td>0.5583</td>
<td>0.5583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>-0.1630</td>
<td>-0.1607</td>
<td>-0.1607</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_r$</td>
<td>0.3825</td>
<td>0.1208</td>
<td>0.1243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>-0.4638</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0$</td>
<td>0.1208</td>
<td>0.1243</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_2$</td>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>-0.1649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E[\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0]$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIC</td>
<td>774.511</td>
<td>736.478</td>
<td>725.966</td>
<td>723.830</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Estimation Result of Short-Term Interest Rate Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>mean reverting Bayes</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_1$)</th>
<th>Bayes model I($h_2$)</th>
<th>Bayes model II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.1925</td>
<td>0.1490</td>
<td>0.1490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>-0.0101</td>
<td>-0.0077</td>
<td>-0.0077</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2_r$</td>
<td>0.0510</td>
<td>6.3939</td>
<td>6.2902</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>0.7334</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_1$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0$</td>
<td>6.3939</td>
<td>6.2902</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4542</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E[\sigma^2 + \sigma^2_0]$</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.3729</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIC</td>
<td>2883.2780</td>
<td>1975.1840</td>
<td>1970.6630</td>
<td>1785.5820</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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