

A KANTOROVICH TYPE INEQUALITY WITH A NEGATIVE PARAMETER

JUN ICHI FUJII* AND YOUNG OK KIM**

Received January 30, 2009

ABSTRACT. In [5], one of the authors showed Kantorovich type inequalities with two positive parameters, which is a difference version of Furuta-Giga's one [4]. In this note, we show the following order for $p \leq -1$: Let $A \geq B > 0$ and $MI \geq A \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. Then

$$B^p + C(m, M, p)I \geq B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p\right)I \geq A^p$$

holds for $p \leq -1$ where the constant is defined as

$$C(m, M, p) \equiv (p-1) \left(\frac{M^p - m^p}{p(M-m)}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \frac{Mm^p - M^p m}{M-m}.$$

We also show a similar inequality for the chaotic order: Let A and B positive operators on a Hilbert space with $MI \geq A \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. If $\log A \geq \log B$, then

$$B^p + \frac{M}{m}(m^p - M^p)I \geq B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, 1-p\right)MI \geq A^p$$

for $p \leq 0$.

Throughout this paper, we consider bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space H . An operator T is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geq 0$) if $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in H$. The positivity defines the usual order $A \geq B$ for selfadjoint operators A and B . For the sake of convenience, $T > 0$ means T is positive and invertible. The Löwner-Heinz inequality asserts that $A \geq B \geq 0$ ensures $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. However $A \geq B \geq 0$ does not ensure $A^\alpha \geq B^\alpha$ for $\alpha > 1$ in general. In 1997, M. Fujii, S. Izumino, R. Nakamoto and Y. Seo [1] showed the following reverse inequality for t^2 :

$$A \geq B \geq 0, MI \geq A \geq mI > 0 \implies \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}A^2 \geq B^2.$$

It is obtained as an application of the celebrated Kantorovich inequality, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} MI \geq A \geq mI > 0, M > m > 0 \\ \implies \langle A^{-1}x, x \rangle \langle Ax, x \rangle \leq \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm} \quad \text{for all unit vectors } x \in H. \end{aligned}$$

The constant $\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is called the Kantorovich constant.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A63.

Key words and phrases. Kantorovich inequality, positive and invertible operator, chaotic order.

As an extension of this, T. Furuta and M. Giga [4] gave a complementary result of Kantorovich type order preserving inequality by Mićić-Pečarić-Seo [6]. For our note, we need two constants. One is the generalized Kantorovich constant introduced by Furuta [2, 3]:

$$K(m, M, p) = \frac{mM^p - Mm^p}{(p-1)(M-m)} \left(\frac{(p-1)}{p} \frac{(M^p - m^p)}{(mM^p - Mm^p)} \right)^p$$

for $M > m > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Another is the following by J. Mićić, Y.Seo, S-E. Takahashi and M. Tominaga [7]:

$$C(m, M, p) = (p-1) \left(\frac{M^p - m^p}{p(M-m)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \frac{Mm^p - M^p m}{M-m}$$

for $M > m > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover we prepare the following theorems.

Furuta [3] showed the following inequality.

Theorem A. *If $M > m > 0$ for positive numbers M and m , then*

$$K(m, M, p) \leq \left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{p-1} \quad \text{for } p > 1.$$

Yamazaki [8] showed Theorem B and Theorem C.

Theorem B. *If $M > m > 0$ for positive numbers M and m , then*

$$C(m, M, p) = \frac{mM^p - Mm^p}{M-m} (K(m, M, p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - 1) \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem C. *Let A and B be positive operators on H such that $A \geq B \geq 0$ and $MI \geq B \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. Then*

$$A^p + C(m, M, p)I \geq B^p \quad \text{for } p > 1.$$

Theorem (The chaotic Furuta inequality). *Let A and B be positive invertible operators on H . Then the chaotic order $\log A \geq \log B$ is equivalent to the inequality*

$$(B^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p B^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \geq B^r \quad \text{for all } p, r \geq 0.$$

In the below, we observe the case $p < 0$. If $-1 \leq p < 0$, then $B^p \geq A^p$ holds by the Löwner-Heinz inequality. The other case $p \leq -1$, we have the following inequality:

Theorem 1. *Let A and B be positive and invertible operators on H such that $A \geq B > 0$ and $MI \geq A \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. Then*

$$B^p + C(m, M, p)I \geq A^p \quad \text{for } p < -1.$$

Proof. It is shown in [7] that

$$0 \leq \langle A^p x, x \rangle - \langle Ax, x \rangle^p \leq C(m, M, p)$$

holds for unit vectors x . Then Hölder-McCarthy inequality shows

$$\langle A^p x, x \rangle \leq \langle Ax, x \rangle^p + C(m, M, p) \leq \langle Bx, x \rangle^p + C(m, M, p) \leq \langle B^p x, x \rangle + C(m, M, p),$$

which implies the required inequality. \square

To discuss more precise estimation than Theorem 1, we prepare the following Lemma 2 by Yamazaki[8]. For the reader's convenience, we give a proof:

Lemma 2. *If $q \geq 1$ and $M > m > 0$, then*

$$0 \leq C(m, M, q) \leq M(M^{q-1} - m^{q-1}).$$

Incidentally, $C(m, M, 1) = 0$.

Proof. By Theorems B and A, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C(m, M, q) &= \frac{mM^q - Mm^q}{M - m} (K(m, M, q)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} - 1) \\ &\leq \frac{mM^q - Mm^q}{M - m} \left(\left(\left(\frac{M}{m} \right)^{q-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} - 1 \right) \\ &= M(M^{q-1} - m^{q-1}) \quad \text{for } q > 1. \end{aligned}$$

The case $q = 1$ is clear. □

Now we show the following reverse inequality. It characterizes the usual order $A \geq B$ by Lemma 2:

Theorem 3. *If A and B be positive and invertible operators on H such that $MI \geq A \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B$, then*

$$B^p + \frac{m^{p+1} - M^{p+1}}{m} I \geq B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p\right) I \geq A^p$$

holds for $p \leq -1$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have the left inequality since

$$C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \left(\left(\frac{1}{m} \right)^{-p-1} - \left(\frac{1}{M} \right)^{-p-1} \right) = \frac{m^{p+1} - M^{p+1}}{m}.$$

For the proof of the right inequality, we use the Theorem C. Let $p_1 := -p$, $A_1 := B^{-1}$, $B_1 := A^{-1}$, $L := m^{-1}$, and $l := M^{-1}$. By the inequalities

$$B^{-1} \geq A^{-1} > 0, \quad m^{-1}I \geq A^{-1} \geq M^{-1}I,$$

we have that

$$(B^{-1})^{-p} + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p\right) I \geq (A^{-1})^{-p}.$$

Then we obtain the second inequality.

$$B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p\right) I \geq A^p \quad \text{for } p < -1.$$

Taking limit $p \rightarrow -1$, we have that all the inequalities hold also for $p \leq -1$. □

Theorem 3 is better than Theorem 1 by the following comparison:

Theorem 4. *If $q > 1$ and $M > m > 0$, then*

$$C(m, M, -q) \geq C(1/M, 1/m, q).$$

Proof. Let $G_1(x) = a_1x + b_1$ be an affine function on $[m, M]$ with

$$G_1(m) = m^{-q} \quad \text{and} \quad G_1(M) = M^{-q}. \quad (0)$$

Since x^{-q} is a convex function, we have

$$x^{-q} \leq G_1(x) \quad (1)$$

on $[m, M]$. Moreover, since G_1 is a tangent line for the curve $x^{-q} + C(m, M, -q)$, we have

$$G_1(x) \leq x^{-q} + C(m, M, -q) \quad (2)$$

on $[m, M]$.

On the other hand, a function x^q on $[1/M, 1/m]$ is also convex. So, putting $G_2(x) = a_2x + b_2$ ($a_2 > 0$) be an affine function on $[1/M, 1/m]$ with $G_2(1/M) = M^{-q}$ and $G_2(1/m) = m^{-q}$, we have

$$x^q \leq G_2(x) \quad (3)$$

and G_2 is a tangent line for $x^q + C(1/M, 1/m, q)$ with a contact point $x_2 \in [1/M, 1/m]$, that is,

$$G_2(x) \leq x^q + C(1/M, 1/m, q) \quad \text{and} \quad G_2(x_2) = x_2^q + C(1/M, 1/m, q) \quad (4)$$

on $[1/M, 1/m]$. Consider a function $F(x) = G_2(1/x)$ on $[m, M]$. Then

$$F(m) = m^{-q}, \quad \text{and} \quad F(M) = M^{-q}.$$

Since F is convex, (0) implies

$$F(x) \leq G_1(x) \quad (5)$$

and

$$F(x) \leq x^{-q} + C(1/M, 1/m, q) \quad \text{and} \quad F(1/x_2) = x_2^q + C(1/M, 1/m, q) \quad (4')$$

on $[m, M]$. Therefore, (5) and (2) show

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^q + C(1/M, 1/m, q) = F(1/x_2) &\leq G_1(1/x_2) \\ &\leq (1/x_2)^{-q} + C(m, M, -q) = x_2^q + C(m, M, -q), \end{aligned}$$

so that we have the required inequality. \square

We also have a similar inequality for the chaotic order, which does not characterize the chaotic order $\log A \geq \log B$ unfortunately since $C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, 1-p\right)/p$ does not converge to 0 as p tends to 0:

Theorem 5. *Let A and B be positive and invertible operators on H with $MI \geq A \geq mI > 0$ for some positive numbers $M > m > 0$. If $\log A \geq \log B$, then*

$$B^p + \frac{M(m^p - M^p)}{m}I \geq B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, 1-p\right)MI \geq A^p$$

for $p \leq 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2, we have the following inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p+1\right) M &\leq \left(\frac{1}{m}\right) \left(\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{-p} - \left(\frac{1}{M}\right)^{-p}\right) M \\ &= \frac{M}{m}(m^p - M^p) \end{aligned}$$

holds for $p < 0$. Hence we get the first inequality. By using the chaotic Furuta inequality, we have the following for $r = 1$ and $q \geq 0$,

$$\log A \geq \log B \implies (B^{\frac{1}{2}} A^q B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \geq B.$$

Then we have

$$\log B^{-1} \geq \log A^{-1} \implies (A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^{-q} A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \geq A^{-1} \quad \text{for } q \geq 0.$$

Hence it follows from Theorem C that

$$(A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B^{-q} A^{-\frac{1}{2}}) + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, q+1\right) I \geq A^{-(q+1)},$$

or equivalently,

$$B^{-q} + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, q+1\right) A \geq A^{-q}.$$

Finally, since $MI \geq A \geq mI$, we have

$$B^p + C\left(\frac{1}{M}, \frac{1}{m}, -p+1\right) MI \geq A^p \quad \text{by } p := -q \leq 0.$$

Then we have the second inequality. Whence the proof is complete. □

Acknowledgement. We would like to express our hearty thanks to Prof. Yuki Seo for his kind advice. This research was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), 20540166, 2008.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Fujii, S. Izumino, R. Nakamoto and Y. Seo, *Operator inequalities related to Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder-McCarthy inequalities*, Nihonkai Math. J., **8**(1997), 117–122.
- [2] T. Furuta, *Extensions of Hölder-McCarthy and Kantorovich inequalities and their applications*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, **73**(1997), 38–41.
- [3] T. Furuta, *Operator inequalities associated with Hölder-McCarthy and Kantorovich inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2**(1998), 137–148.
- [4] T. Furuta, M. Giga, *A complementary result of Kantorovich type order preserving inequalities by Mičić-Pe.čarić-Seo*, Linear Algebra Appl., **369**(2003), 27–40.
- [5] Y. O. Kim, *A difference version of Furuta-Giga theorem on Kantorovich type inequality and its application*, Sci. Math. Japon., **68**(2008), 89–94.
- [6] J. Mičić, J. Pečarić and Y. Seo, *Function order of positive operators based on the Mond-Pečarić method*, Linear Alg. Appl., **360**(2003), 15–34.

- [7] J. Mićić, Y.Seo, S-E. Takahashi and M. Tominaga, *Inequalities of Furuta and Mond-Pe.carić*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **2**(1999), 83–111.
- [8] T. Yamazaki, *An extension of Specht's theorem via Kantorovich inequality and related results*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **3**(2000), 89–96.

* DEPARTMENT OF ARTS AND SCIENCES (INFORMATION SCIENCE), OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY, ASAHIGAOKA, KASHIWARA, OSAKA 582-8582, JAPAN.
E-mail address : fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

** DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUWON UNIVERSITY, BONGDAMOUP, WHASUNGSU, KYUNGKIDO 445-743, KOREA.
E-mail address : yokim@skku.edu