ON PRE-COXETER ALGEBRAS

HEE SIK KIM AND HYO JIN KIM

Received June 13, 2006

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that the class of *PC*-algebras and the class of *B*-algebras with condition (*D*) are Smarandache disjoint, and show that an algebra (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra if and only if it is a *PC*-algebra with (*N*). Moreover, we show that there is no non-trivial quadratic *PC*-algebras on a field with $|X| \ge 3$.

1. Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras ([5, 6]). Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim ([7]) introduced a new notion, called a BH-algebra, i.e., (I), (II) and (V) x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y, which is a generalization of BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras. J. Neggers and H. S. Kim ([10]) introduced and investigated a class of algebras, called a *B*-algebra which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras. Furthermore, they demonstrated a rather interesting connection between B-algebras and groups. P. J. Allen et al. ([1]) included several new families of Smarandache-type P-algebras and studied some of their properties in relation to the properties of previously defined Smarandache-types. Recently, Kim et al. ([8]) introduced the notion of a (pre-)Coxeter algebra and showed that a Coxeter algebra is equivalent to an abelian group all of whose elements have order 2, i.e., a Boolean group. Moreover, they proved that the class of Coxeter algebras and the class of B-algebras of odd order are Smarandache disjoint. In this paper we show that the class of PC-algebras and the class of B-algebras with condition (D) are Smarandache disjoint, and show that an algebra (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra if and only if it is a PC-algebra with (N). Moreover, we show that there is no non-trivial quadratic *PC*-algebras on a field with |X| > 3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we refer notions and theorems discussed in [8]. A Coxeter algebra ([8]) is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation "*" satisfying the following axioms:

- (B1) x * x = 0,
- (B2) x * 0 = x,
- (C) (x * y) * z = x * (y * z),

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35.

Key words and phrases. (pre-) Coxeter algebra, Smarandache disjoint, condition (D), condition (N), quadratic.

for any $x, y, z \in X$. An example of a Coxeter algebra is a Klein 4-group.

Theorem 2.1. If (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra, then it is an abelian group all of whose elements have order 2, i.e., a Boolean group, and conversely.

J. Neggers and H. S. Kim introduced and investigated a class of algebras, called a *B*-algebra, which is related to several classes of algebras such as BCH/BCI/BCK-algebras. A *B*-algebra ([10]) is a non-empty set X with a constant 0 and a binary operation "*" satisfying the following axioms: (B1), (B2) and (B) (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y)), for any $x, y, z \in X$.

Proposition 2.2. If (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra, then it is a *B*-algebra.

An algebra (X; *, 0) is called a *pre-Coxeter algebra* (shortly, *PC-algebra*) if it satisfies the axioms (B1), (B2), (PC1) x * y = y * x, (PC2) $x * y = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y$, for any $x, y \in X$.

Example 2.3. Let $X := [0, \infty)$. If we define $x * y := |x - y|, x, y \in X$, then (X; *, 0) is a pre-Coxeter algebra, but not a Coxeter algebra, since (1 * 2) * 3 = 2, but 1 * (2 * 3) = 0.

Proposition 2.4. Every Coxeter algebra is a pre-Coxeter algebra.

Proposition 2.5. Let (X; *, 0) be a Coxeter algebra. Then x * (x * y) = y, for any $x, y \in Y$.

3. pre-Coxeter algebras and *B*-algebras in Smarandache settings

Let (X, *) be a binary system/algebra. Then (X, *) is a Smarandache-type P-algebra if it contains a subalgebra (Y, *), where Y is non-trivial, i.e., $|Y| \ge 2$, or Y contains at least two distinct elements, and (Y, *) is itself of type P. Thus, we have Smarandachetype semigroups (the type P-algebra is a semigroup), Smarandache-type groups (the type P-algebra is a group), Smarandache-type abelian groups (the type P-algebra is an abelian group). A Smarandache semigroup in the sense of Kandasamy is in fact a Smarandache-type group (see [11]). Smarandache-type groups are of course a larger class than Kandasamy's Smarandache semigroups since they may include non-associative algebras as well.

Given algebra types (X, *) (type- P_1) and (X, \circ) (type- P_2), we shall consider them to be *Smarandache disjoint* ([1]) if the following two conditions hold:

- (A) If (X, *) is a type- P_1 -algebra with |X| > 1 then it cannot be a Smarandache-type- P_2 -algebra (X, \circ) ;
- (B) If (X, \circ) is a type- P_2 -algebra with |X| > 1 then it cannot be a Smarandache-type- P_1 -algebra (X, *).

Theorem 3.1. ([8]) The class of Coxeter algebras and the class of B-algebras of odd order are Smarandache disjoint.

Lemma 3.2. If (X; *, 0) is a pre-Coxeter algebra with (B), then (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x*y)*z &= x*(z*(0*y) \quad [(B)] \\ &= x*(z*(y*0)) \quad [(PC1)] \\ &= x*(z*y) \quad [(B2)] \\ &= x*(y*z), \quad [(PC1)] \end{aligned}$$

proving the lemma.

Proposition 3.3. The class of *PC*-algebras and the class of *B*-algebras of odd order are Smarandache disjoint.

Proof. Assume that a *PC*-algebra (X; *, 0) contains a *B*-algebra (Y; *, 0) of odd order where $|Y| \ge 2$. By applying Lemma 3.2, we obtain that Y is a Coxeter algebra. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that Y can not be a non-trivial a *B*-algebra of odd order, a contradiction.

Conversely, Assume that a *B*-algebra (X; *, 0) of odd order contains a *PC*-algebra (Y; *, 0) where $|Y| \ge 2$. Then (Y; *, 0) satisfies the condition (B). By Lemma 3.2 it is a Coxeter algebra, which leads to a contradiction by Theorem 3.1.

A *B*-algebra (X; *, 0) is said to have the *condition* (D) if $x * (0 * x) \neq 0$ for any $x \neq 0$ in *X*.

Example 3.4. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a set with the following table:

Then it is a *B*-algebra ([10]) with condition (D).

Example 3.5. Let X be the set of all real numbers except for a negative integer -n. Define a binary operation * on X by

$$x * y := \frac{n(x-y)}{n+y}.$$

Then (X; *, 0) is a *B*-algebra ([10]), but it does not have condition (D), since (-2n) * (0 * (-2n)) = 0.

Proposition 3.6. Let (X; *, 0) be a *PC*-algebra. Then X can not be a Smarandachetype *B*-algebra with condition (D).

Proof. Assume that (Y; *, 0) is both a *B*-algebra with condition (D) and a *PC*-algebra with $Y \subset X$, $|Y| \ge 2$. Then 0 = a * a = a * (a * 0) = a * (0 * a) by applying (B1), (B2) and (PC1), which leads to a contradiction.

Proposition 3.7. Let (X; *, 0) be a *B*-algebra with condition (D). Then X can not be a Smarandache-type *PC*-algebra.

Proof. Similar to Proposition 3.7.

It follows from Propositions 3.6 and 3.7 that:

Theorem 3.8. The class of PC-algebras and the class of B-algebras with condition (D) are Smarandache disjoint.

4. PC-algebras and BF/BF₂/Coxeter-algebras

A. Walendiziak ([12]) introduced the notion of BF-algebras, and showed a very good diagram to understand the address of various algebras which are related to BF-algebras.

Definition 4.1. An algebra (X; *, 0) is called a *BF*-algebra ([3]) if it satisfies (B1),(B2) and (BF) 0 * (x * y) = y * x, for any $x, y \in X$.

Proposition 4.2. Every PC-algebra is a BF-algebra.

Proof. Let (X; *, 0) be a *PC*-algebra. Then, for any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0*(x*y) &=& (x*y)*0 & [(PC1)] \\
&=& x*y & [(B2)] \\
&=& y*x, & [(PC1)]
\end{array}$$

proving that X is a BF-algebra.

A *BF*-algebra (X; *, 0) is called a *BF*₂-algebra ([12]) if it satisfies (V) x * y = 0 and y * x = 0 imply x = y.

Corollary 4.3. If (X, *) is a *PC*-algebra, then (X, *) is also a *BF*₂-algebra. *Proof.* It can be easily proved by (PC2).

Proposition 4.4. If a *PC*-algebra (X; *, 0) satisfies the condition

$$(N)$$
 $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y_{z}$

then it is a Coxeter algebra.

Proof. Given $x, y, z \in X$, we have

(x * y) * z	=	$((x\ast y)\ast y)\ast (z\ast y)$	[(N)]
	=	$((x\ast y)\ast (y\ast 0))\ast (z\ast y)$	[(B2)]
	=	$((x\ast y)\ast (0\ast y))\ast (z\ast y)$	[(PC1)]
	=	(x*0)*(z*y)	[(N)]
	=	x * (z * y)	[(B2)]
	=	x * (y * z)	[(PC1)],

proving the proposition.

Lemma 4.5. If (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra, then (x * y) * x = y for any $x, y \in X$.

Proof. Given
$$x, y \in X$$
, we have $(x * y) * x = (x * y) * (x * 0) = (x * y) * [x * (y * y)] = (x * y) * [(x * y) * y] = [(x * y) * (x * y)] * y = 0 * y = y$, proving the lemma.

Proposition 4.6. If (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra, then it satisfies the condition (N).

Proof. By applying Lemma 4.5, we have (x*z)*(y*z) = x*(z*(y*z)) = x*((z*y)*z) = x*y, proving the proposition.

Theorem 4.7. An algebra (X; *, 0) is a Coxeter algebra if and only if it is a *PC*-algebra with (N).

Proof. It is a consequence of Propositions 4.4 and 4.6.

5. Quadratic *PC*-algebras

Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. An algebra (X, *) is said to be *quadratic* if x * y is defined by

 $x * y := a_1 x^2 + a_2 x y + a_3 y^2 + a_4 x + a_5 y + a_6,$

where $a_1, \dots, a_6 \in X$, for any $x, y \in X$. A *PC*-algebra (X, *) is said to be a *quadratic PC*-algebra if it is quadratic.

Theorem 5.1. Let X be a field with $|X| \ge 3$. Then there is no non-trivial quadratic *PC*-algebras.

Proof. Let

$$x * y := Ax^2 + By^2 + Cxy + Dx + Ey + F \tag{1}$$

where $A, B, C, D, E, F \in X$. Consider (B1). Given $x \in X$, we have

$$e = x * x = (A + B + C)x^{2} + (D + E)x + F.$$
(2)

Then we have A + B + C = 0, D + E = 0, F = 0. If we consider (B2), then $x = x * 0 = Ax^2 + Dx$, i.e., A = 0, D = 1. Hence $x * y = By^2 - Bxy + x - y = (x - y)(1 - By)$. Consider (PC1). Then we have (x - y)(1 - By) = (y - x)(1 - Bx), i.e., (x - y)(2 - B(x + y)) = 0. From this, either x = y or 2 = B(x + y).

We claim that $B \neq 0$. If we assume that B = 0, then x * y = x - y, and x * 0 = x, 0 * x = -x. By (*PC*1), we obtain 2x = 0, i.e., $X = \{0\}$, a contradiction.

In case of x = y, we have x * y = 0, a trivial case. Assume 2 = B(x + y). Then $y = -x + \frac{2}{B}$ and hence $x * y = (x - y)(1 - By) = 2Bx^2 - 4x + \frac{2}{B}$. By applying (B2), $x = x * 0 = 2Bx^2 - 4x + \frac{2}{B}$ for any $x \in X$, a contradiction. This means that there is no non-trivial quadratic polynomials satisfying the condition (PC1) in X, proving the theorem. \Box

Corollary 5.2. There is no non-trivial quadratic Coxeter algebra on the field X with $|X| \ge 3$.

Proof. It can be easily proved by Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 4.7.

References

- P. J. Allen, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers, Smarandache disjoint in BCK/d-algebras, Sci. Math. Japo. 61 (2005), 447–449.
- [2] Jung R. Cho and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras and quasigroups, Quasigroups and Related systems 8 (2001), 1–6.
- [3] Qing Ping Hu and Xin Li, On BCH-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 11 (1983), 313-320.
- [4] Qing Ping Hu and Xin Li, On proper BCH-algebras, Math. Japonica 30 (1985), 659–661.

- [5] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras, Math. Japonica 23 (1978), 1–26.
- [6] K.Iséki, On BCI-algebras, Math. Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125–130.
- [7] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh and H. S. Kim, On BH-algebras, Sci. Math. Japo. Online 1 (1998), 347–354.
- [8] H. S. Kim, Y. H. Kim and J. Neggers, Coxeter algebras and pre-Coxeter algebras in Smarandache setting, Honam Mathematical J. 26 (2004), 471–481.
- [9] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, BCK-algebras, Kyung Moon Sa Co., Seoul, 1994.
- [10] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, On B-algebras, Math. Vesnik 54 (2002), 21-29.
- [11] W. B. V. Kandasamy, Smarandache semirings, semifield, and semivector spaces American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2002.
- [12] Walendiziak, On BF-algebras, Math. Slovaca (to appear).

Hee Sik Kim Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{heekim@hanyang.ac.kr}$

Hyo Jin Kim Department of Physics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{rlagywls83@hotmail.com}$