A NOTE ON AN OPERATOR TRANSFORM S(T)

S.M. PATEL, K. TANAHASHI¹ AND A. UCHIYAMA

Received March 2, 2005; revised August 9, 2005

ABSTRACT. In the present article, we introduce a new operator transform of a bounded linear operator on a complex Hilbert space, the definition of which is parallel to that of the Aluthge transform. Also we study the relationship between this new transform and several classes of non-hyponormal operators.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $B(\mathcal{H})$ be the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators acting on a complex Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, we shall use the notations $\sigma(T), W(T), r(T)$ and w(T) to denote the spectrum, the numerical range, the spectral radius, and the numerical radius of T. An operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be p-hyponormal if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$, where p > 0; log-hyponormal if T is invertible and $\log |T| \ge \log |T^*|$; class A(s,t) operator if $|T^*|^t |T|^{2s} |T^*|^t)^{t/(s+t)} \ge |T^*|^{2t}$ where s, t > 0; convexoid if conv $\sigma(T)$ (convex hull of $\sigma(T)$) coincides with the closure of W(T), and normaloid if r(T) = ||T||. It is known that classes of p-hyponormal operators and log-hyponormal operators are subclasses of class A(s,t) operators, and if T is a class A(s,t) operator with $s \leq s', t \leq t'$, then T is a class A(s',t')operator (see [6], [10], [14], [15], [18], [19]). Also a class A(s,t) operator is normaloid([7]). In [1], Aluthge studied p-hyponormal operators by elegantly using the operator transform $\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2} U|T|^{1/2}$ of $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, where T = U|T| is the polar decomposition. Named after Aluthge, the transform \tilde{T} is known as the Aluthge transform in the literature. A further extension of T called the generalized Aluthge transform is defined as $T(s,t) = |T|^s U|T|^t$. Both the transforms have been proved to be powerful tools in introducing and exploring the properties of several classes of non-hyponormal operators ([2], [5], [6], [7], [12], [16], [17], [18]). By interchanging U with $|T|^{1/2}$ in the Aluthge transform, we define below a new transform.

Definition. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with the polar decomposition T = U|T|. Then the transform S(T) of T is defined as

$$S(T) = U|T|^{1/2}U.$$

In Section 2, we establish some basic properties of S(T). Section 3 is devoted to obtaining some conditions on S(T) implying the normality of T. In Section 4, we focus on conditions on S(T) under which T is k-hyponormal or a selfadjoint partial isometry or a projection operator. Section 5 deals with the polar decomposition of S(T).

 $^{^1}$ This research was supported by Grant-in-Aid Research No. 15540180

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47B20.

Key words and phrases. p-hyponormal operator, class A operator.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES

First we list some elementary properties of the transform S(T).

Theorem 2.1. For an operator $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$, the following assertions hold.

(i) $||S(T)||^2 \leq ||T||$. (ii) ker $S(T) = \ker U^2$. (iii) $S(T^*) = |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}$. (iv) ker $S(T) \subset \ker S(T)^* \cap \ker S(T^*)$ if ker $T \subset \ker T^*$. (v) ker $S(T)^* \cap \ker S(T^*) \subset \ker S(T)$ if ker $T^* \subset \ker T$. (vi) $\sigma(S(T^*)) = \sigma(S(T)^*)$.

Proof. Assertions (i) and (ii) are obvious. Assertion (iii) follows from the fact that $T^* = U^*|T^*|$ is the polar decomposition of T^* .

(iv) Suppose S(T)x = 0. Then $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$ implying $Ux \in \ker U \subset \ker U^*$. Hence Ux = 0 and so $U^*x = 0$ by the kernel condition. Hence $S(T)^*x = 0$ and $S(T^*)x = 0$. This proves (iv).

(v) If $S(T)^*x = 0$, then $|T|^{1/2}U^*x \subset \ker U^* = \ker T^* \subset \ker T = \ker U$. This gives $U|T|^{1/2}U^*x = 0$ or $|T|^{1/2}U^*x = 0$. Hence $U^*x = 0$ and Ux = 0 as $\ker U^* \subset \ker U$. From the hypothesis, we have $x \in \ker T = \ker U$. Hence S(T)x = 0. If $S(T^*)x = 0$, then $U^{*2}x = 0$. Hence $U^*x \in \ker U^* \subset \ker U$ by the kernel condition. Hence $U^*x = 0$ and $T^*x = 0$. Again S(T)x = 0. This proves (v).

(vi) Note that $\sigma(S(T)) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(S(T^*)^*) \setminus \{0\}$. Also S(T) is invertible if and only if U and |T| are invertible if and only if $S(T^*)$ is invertible. Therefore $\sigma(S(T)) = \sigma(S(T^*)^*)$ or $\sigma(S(T)^*) = \sigma(S(T^*))$.

Theorem 2.2. Let T be a p-hyponormal operator with 0 .

(i) If 0 , then <math>S(T) is 2p-hyponormal.

(ii) If 1/2 , then <math>S(T) is hyponormal.

Proof.

(i) Note that $S(T)^*S(T) = U^*|T|U$ and

$$S(T)S(T)^* = U|T|^{1/2}UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^* \le U|T|U^*.$$

Since T is p-hyponormal and $U|T|^q U^* = |T^*|^q$ for 0 < q,

$$(S(T)^*S(T))^{2p} = (U^*|T|U)^{2p}$$

$$\geq U^*|T|^{2p}U \quad \text{(by Hensen's inequality[8])}$$

$$\geq |T|^{2p} \geq U|T|^{2p}U^* = (U|T|U^*)^{2p}$$

$$\geq (S(T)S(T)^*)^{2p} \text{ (by Lower-Heinz's inequality[9], [11])}.$$

(ii) If 1/2 , then T is semi-hyponormal. Hence, by (i), it follows that <math>S(T) is hyponormal.

Remark. The proof of Theorem 2.2 indicates that for a *p*-hyponormal *T* with 0 , the following inequalities hold:

$$|S(T)|^{4p} \ge |T|^{2p} \ge |S(T)^*|^{4p}.$$

A fairly natural question presents itself: Does this inequality implies T is p-hyponormal? In case T satisfies the kernel condition ker $T^* \subset \ker T$ then the question has an affirmative

answer. Because ker $T^* \subset \ker T$ implies $U^*U \leq UU^*$, hence

$$|T|^{2p} \ge |S(T)^*|^{4p} = (U|T|^{1/2}UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^*)^{2p}$$
$$= (U|T|U^*)^{2p} = |T^*|^{2p}.$$

For p = 1/2, it is not difficult to verify that operators satisfying above inequality are *w*-hyponormal operators. However, the question is still remains unanswered.

Theorem 2.3. If T is a log-hyponormal operator, then so is S(T).

Proof. Since T is invertible, $|S(T)^*|^2 = U|T|U^*$ and $|S(T)|^2 = U^*|T|U$. Therefore $2\log|S(T)| = \log(U^*|T|U) = U^*(\log|T|)U$

$$\geq U^*(\log |T^*|)U = \log |T| \geq \log |T^*|$$

= log(U|T|U^*) = U(log |T|)U^* = 2 log |S(T)^*|.

This proves the result.

Next, we relate the approximate point spectra of an operator T and S(T) when T is either p-hyponormal or log-hyponormal.we first prove a couple of theorems that shall be needed.

Theorem 2.4. Let T = U|T| be p-hyponormal with $0 . Let <math>X = U^2|T|^{1/2}$. Then $X = U^2|T|^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition of X and the following assertions hold.

- (i) If 0 , then X is 2p-hyponormal.
- (ii) If 1/2 , then X is hyponormal.

Proof. Since T is p-hyponormal, ker $T \subset \ker T^*$. Hence $U^*U^2 = U$ and $U^2U^{*2}U^2 = U^2U^*U = U^2$. Also, ker $U^2 = \ker U = \ker |T|^{1/2}$. This implies $X = U^2|T|^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition of X.

If 0 , then

$$(X^*X)^{2p} = |T|^{2p} \ge |T^*|^{2p}$$
$$= U|T|^{2p}U^* \ge U^2|T|^{2p}U^{*2}.$$

Since

$$(U^2|T|^{2p}U^{*2})(U^2|T|^{2p}U^{*2}) = U^2|T|^{4p}U^{*2},$$

we have $f(U^2|T|^{2p}U^{*2}) = U^2 f(|T|)U^{*2}$ for any polynomial f(x) with f(0) = 0. Hence

$$(X^*X)^{2p} \ge U^2 |T|^{2p} U^{*2} = (U^2 |T| U^{*2})^{2p} = (XX^*)^{2p}.$$

If $1/2 \le p \le 1$, then T is semi-hyponormal. Hence, by (i), it follows that X is hyponormal.

Theorem 2.5. Let T = U|T| be log-hyponormal. Then $X = U^2|T|^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition of X and X is log-hyponormal.

Proof. That X is invertible and $X = U^2 |T|^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition should be fairly apparent. To show that X is log-hyponormal, observe first that $|X| = |T|^{1/2}$ and $|X^*| = U|T^*|^{1/2}U^*$. Since T is log-hyponormal, we find

$$\log |X| = \frac{1}{2} \log |T| \ge \frac{1}{2} \log |T^*| = \frac{1}{2} U(\log |T|) U^*$$
$$\ge U(\log |T^*|) U^* = \log(U|T^*|^{1/2} U^*) = \log |X^*|.$$

Hence X is log-hyponormal.

ļ			ļ	

The approximate point spectrum of T is definded by

$$\sigma_a(T) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists \text{unit vectors } x_n, (T-z)x_n \to 0 \}.$$

It is known ([3]) that if T is p-hyponormal, then

$$\sigma_a(T) = \sigma_{na}(T) = \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists \text{unit vectors } x_n, (T-z)x_n, (T-z)^* x_n \to 0 \}.$$

Theorem 2.6. Let T = U|T| be either p-hyponormal or log-hyponormal, then

$$\sigma_a(U^2|T|^{1/2}) = \{r^{1/2}e^{2i\theta} | re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_a(T)\} = \sigma_a(S(T))$$

Proof. Let T be p-hyponormal and $0 \neq re^{i\theta} \in \sigma_a(T)$. Then there exist unit vectors x_n such that

$$(|T|-r)x_n \to 0, (U-e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0.$$

Hence $(U^2|T|^{1/2} - r^{1/2}e^{2i\theta})x_n \to 0$. If $0 \in \sigma_a(T)$, then there exist unit vectors x_n such that $|T|x_n \to 0$. Hence $U^2|T|^{1/2}x_n \to 0$.

Conversely, let $0 \neq \rho e^{2i\phi} \in \sigma_a(U^2|T|^{1/2})$. Since $U^2|T|^{1/2}$ is the polar decomposition of 2*p*-hyponormal operator by Theorem 2.4, there exist unit vectors x_n such that

$$(U^2|T|^{1/2} - \rho e^{2i\phi})x_n \to 0, (U^2|T|^{1/2} - \rho e^{2i\phi})^*x_n \to 0.$$

Hence

$$(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)x_n \to 0, (U^2|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$$

and

$$(U^2 - e^{2i\phi})x_n = (U + e^{i\phi})(U - e^{i\phi})x_n \to 0$$

If there exists a subsequence x_{n_k} such that $(U - e^{i\phi})x_{n_k} \to 0$, then $(T - \rho^2 e^{i\phi})x_{n_k} \to 0$. Hence $\rho^2 e^{i\phi} \in \sigma_a(T)$.

Suppose there is no such subsequence. For a sequence u_n of unit vectors and |z| = 1, it is known that $(U - zI)u_n \to 0$ if and only if $(U - zI)^*u_n \to 0$. Hence we may assume that $||(U - e^{i\phi})^*x_n|| \ge \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. We show $(|T^*|^{1/2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$. Since $(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$, $(U^2|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$, we have

$$(|T|^p - \rho^{2p})x_n \to 0, (U^2|T|^p U^{*2} - \rho^{2p})x_n \to 0.$$

T is p-hyponormal, hence

$$|T|^{2p} \ge U|T|^{2p}U^* \ge U^2|T|^{2p}U^{2*}$$

and

$$|T|^p \ge U|T|^p U^* \ge U^2|T|^p U^{2*}.$$

Then

$$||U|T|^p U^* x_n|| \to \rho^{2p}, \langle U|T|^p U^* x_n, x_n \rangle \to \rho^{2p}$$

Therefore

$$\|(|T^*|^p - \rho^{2p})x_n\|^2$$

= $\|U|T|^p U^* x_n\|^2 - 2\rho^{2p} \langle U|T|^p U^* x_n, x_n \rangle + \rho^{4p} \to 0$

Hence $(|T^*|^p - \rho^{2p})x_n \to 0$ and $(|T^*|^{1/2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$. Set

$$y_n = (U - e^{i\phi})^* x_n / \| (U - e^{-i\phi})^* x_n \|.$$

Since $(U^2 - e^{2i\phi}) x_n \to 0$, we have $(U + e^{i\phi})^* y_n \to 0$ and $(U + e^{i\phi}) y_n \to 0$. Now
 $(|T^*|^{1/2} - \rho) x_n = (U|T|^{1/2}U^* - \rho) x_n \to 0$

implies $(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)U^*x_n \to 0$. Consequently,

$$(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)(U - e^{i\phi})^* x_n$$

= $(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)U^* x_n - e^{-i\phi}(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)x_n \to 0$

and $(|T|^{1/2} - \rho)y_n \to 0$. Thus $(T + \rho^2 e^{i\phi})y_n = (T - \rho^2 e^{i(\phi+\pi)})y_n \to 0$ and $\rho^2 e^{i(\phi+\pi)} \in \sigma_a(T)$. If $0 \in \sigma_a(U^2|T|^{1/2})$, then there exist unit vectors x_n such that $|T|^{1/2}x_n \to 0$ or $Tx_n \to 0$.

Now assume that T is log-hyponormal. Then the similar reasoning will lead to the desired conclusion.

3. NORMALITY

In [12], the first author proved that a p-hyponormal operator is normal if its Aluthge transform is normal. More generally the result is found to be true for w-hyponormal operators by [2], those are class A(1/2, 1/2) operators by [6]. As a further extension, it has been shown that a class A(s,t) operator is normal provided its generalized Aluthge transform T(s,t) is normal. That this result holds if we assume the normality of S(T) instead of the normality of T(s,t) will follow as a corollary to the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $T(s,s) = |T|^s U|T|^s$. If S(T) is normal and ker S(T) = ker T, then T(s,s) is normal.

Proof. First we show that

 $(3.1) ker T^* \subset ker T.$

Since S(T) is normal, we have

(3.2)
$$U^*|T|U = U|T|^{1/2}UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^*.$$

Suppose $T^*x = 0$. Then $U^*x = 0$. And therefore (3.2) implies $U^*|T|Ux = (|T|^{1/2}U)^*|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$ or $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$. This in turn gives S(T)x = 0 and so by the kernel condition, Tx = 0, which establishes (3.1). Note that by (3.1), ker $UU^* \subset \ker U^*U$. Hence $UU^*|T|^{1/2} = |T|^{1/2}$. Then (3.2) reduces to

(3.3)
$$U^*|T|U = U|T|U^*$$

If Tx = 0, then Ux = 0 and so $U^*x = 0$ or $T^*x = 0$ by (3.3). Thus by (3.1), ker $T^* = \ker U^* = \ker U = \ker T$. Clearly U is normal. Then (3.3) implies $U^*|T|^s U = U|T|^s U^*$. Now the normality of T(s, s) is immediate.

Some consequences of Theorem 3.1 are of particular interest and list them below as corollaries.

Corollary 3.2. Let T be a class A(s,t) operator. If S(T) is normal, then T is normal.

Proof. We may assume $t \leq s$. Then T is of class A(s,s). First, we show that T(s,s) is normal. This will follow from Theorem 3.1 once we show that ker $S(T) = \ker T$. Suppose S(T)x = 0. Then $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$. Choose $z \in [\operatorname{ran}|T|^s]$ and $y \in \ker |T|^s$ such that x = z + y, where $[\operatorname{ran}|T|^s]$ denotes the closure of $\operatorname{ran}|T|^s$. Then

$$(3.4) |T|^s Uz = 0.$$

Select a sequence x_n of vectors from \mathcal{H} such that $|T|^s x_n \to z$. By (3.4), $T(s, s)x_n \to 0$. Since T is of class A(s, s), $|T|^s x_n \to 0$ and hence z = 0. Thus $x = y \in \ker |T|^s = \ker T$. This shows that $\ker S(T) \subset \ker T$. Since the reverse inclusion is obvious, we have $\ker S(T) = \ker T$ and hence T(s, s) is normal. By [13, Corollary 2.2], we conclude that T is normal. \Box **Corollary 3.3.** If T is a class A(s,t) operator and if S(T) is a positive operator, then T is selfadjoint.

Proof. By Corollary 3.2, T is normal. Therefore if $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then $|\lambda|^{1/2} e^{2i\theta} \in \sigma(S(T)) \subset$ $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \ge 0\}$. This shows that $\sigma(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Hence T is selfadjoint.

Example 1. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$. Then T is normal and $S(T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence if we relax the condition "S(T) is a positive operator" by assuming "S(T) is a selfadjoint operator", then the result is invalid.

Corollary 3.4.

- (i) If $S(T^*)$ is normal and ker $S(T) = \ker T$, then T(s, s) is normal.
- (ii) If T is a class A(s,t) operator for which $S(T^*)$ is normal, then T is normal.

Proof.

(i) First we show that ker $S(T^*) = \ker T^*$. Suppose $T^*x = 0$. Then it is obvious that $S(T^*)x = 0$. Let $S(T^*)x = 0$. By the normality of $S(T^*)$, we have $0 = S(T^*)^*x =$ $U^{2}|T|^{1/2}x$. This means that $U|T|^{1/2}x \in \ker T = \ker S(T)$. Hence $S(T)|T|^{1/2}x = U|T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}x = U|T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}x$ 0 Then $|T|^{1/2}x \in \ker S(T) = \ker T = \ker |T|$, and hence we obtain $|T|^{3/2}x = 0$ or Tx = 0. Thus we have

(3.5)
$$\ker T^* \subset \ker S(T^*) \subset \ker T.$$

On the other hand, if $x \in \ker T$, then $S(T^*)^* U |T^*|^{1/2} U x = 0$. Since $S(T^*)$ is normal,

$$0 = S(T^*)x = |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}x$$

or $U^{*2}x = 0$. From (3.5), it will follow that $U^*x \in \ker U^* \subset \ker T = \ker U$ or $U^*x = 0$. This proves ker $T \subset \ker U^* = \ker T^*$. Combining this inclusion with (3.5) gives ker $S(T^*) =$ ker T^{*}. By Theorem 3.1, $T^*(s,s)$ is normal. Since $T^*(s,s) = UT(s,s)^*U^*$, the normality of T(s, s) is immediate.

(ii) The assertion follows from (i) as T being a class A(s,t) operator, ker $S(T) = \ker T$ (refer the proof of Corollary 3.2).

Theorem 3.5. If ker $T^* = \ker T$, then the following assertions hold.

(i) $W(S(T^*)) = W(S(T)^*)$. (ii) $||S(T)||^2 = ||T|| = ||S(T^*)||^2$.

Proof.

(i) The kernel condition implies that $|T|^{1/2}UU^* = |T|^{1/2}$. Let x be a unit vector. Then

$$\begin{split} \langle S(T^*)x,x\rangle &= \langle |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}x,x\rangle = \langle x,U^2|T|^{1/2}UU^*x\rangle \\ &= \langle U^*x,U|T|^{1/2}UU^*x\rangle \\ &= \langle U^*x/\|U^*x\|,S(T)(U^*x)/\|U^*x\|\rangle \|U^*x\|^2 \end{split}$$

Thus

(3.6)
$$\langle S(T^*)x, x \rangle = \langle S(T)^* U^* x / \| U^* x \|, U^* x / \| U^* x \| \rangle \| U^* x \|^2$$

If $0 \in W(S(T)^*)$, then the right hand side (3.6) belongs to $W(S(T)^*)$ as $W(S(T)^*)$ is convex and $||U^*x|| \leq 1$. Suppose $0 \in W(S(T)^*)$. Then S(T) and hence T is injective. Therefore the kernel condition shows that T^* is injective. Thus $UU^* = I$. Again the right hand side is in $W(S(T)^*)$, proving $W(S(T^*)) \subset W(S(T)^*)$. Replacing T by T^* , we obtain the reverse inclusion.

(ii) Note

$$||S(T)||^{2} = ||U|T|^{1/2}U||^{2} = ||T|^{1/2}U||^{2} = ||U^{*}|T|^{1/2}||^{2}$$
$$= ||T|^{1/2}UU^{*}|T|^{1/2}|| = ||T||| = ||T||.$$

Then, by the kernel condition,

$$||S(T^*)|| = |||T|^{1/2}U^{*2}|| = ||UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^{*2}||$$

= ||US(T)^*U^*|| \le ||S(T)||.

Replacing T by T^* , we get the reverse inequality.

Example 2. Let T be the unilateral weighted shift operator on $\mathcal{H} = l^2$ with weights $\{25, 1, 1, 1, 1, \dots\}$. Then for a vector $(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \mathcal{H}$, a computation shows that

$$S(T)(x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (0, 0, x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots)$$

and

$$S(T^*)(x_0, x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (5x_2, x_3, x_4, \cdots).$$

Note that ker $T = \{0\} \subset \ker T^*$. Let $x = (1/\sqrt{2}, 0, 1/\sqrt{2}, 0, 0, \cdots)$. Then $\langle S(T^*)x, x \rangle = 5/2$, hence we find $5/2 \in W(S(T^*))$. However, as $w(S(T)^*) \leq ||S(T)|| = 1$, it follows that $5/2 \notin W(S(T)^*)$. Hence Theorem 3.5 does not holds if the underlying kernel condition is replaced by the weaker conditions like "ker $T^* \subset \ker T$ " and "ker $T \subset \ker T^*$ ".

Corollary 3.6. Suppose ker $T^* = \ker T$. Then

(i) S(T) is convexoid if and only if $S(T^*)$ is convexoid.

(ii) S(T) is normaloid if and only if $S(T^*)$ is normaloid.

Proof. Note that $\sigma(S(T)) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(S(T^*)^*) \setminus \{0\}$. Also S(T) is invertible if and only if U and |T| are invertible if and only if $S(T^*)$ is invertible. Therefore $\sigma(S(T)) = \sigma(S(T^*)^*)$ or $\sigma(S(T)^*) = \sigma(S(T^*))$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.7. If $S(T)^2 = T$ and ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, then T is normal.

Proof. The condition $S(T)^2 = T$ means $U|T|^{1/2}U^2|T|^{1/2}U = U|T|$. Hence $|T|^{1/2}U^2|T|^{1/2}U = |T|$ and $U^3|T|^{1/2}U = U|T|^{1/2}$ as ker $U = \ker |T|^{1/2}$. Note that $U^*U^2 = U$ as ker $T \subset \ker T^*$. Therefore

(3.7)
$$|T|^{1/2} = U^* U^3 |T|^{1/2} U = U^2 |T|^{1/2} U$$

or $U^*|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = |T|^{1/2}$ implying ker $U^* \subset \ker U$. This together with the kernel condition gives ker $U = \ker U^*$ and $U^*U = UU^*$. Hence

$$|T^*|^{1/2} = U|T|^{1/2}U^* = U^*U^2|T|^{1/2}U^* = U^*|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = |T|^{1/2}.$$

Thus T is normal.

Corollary 3.8. If $S(T^*)^2 = T^*$ and ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, then T is normal.

Proof. The condition $S(T^*)^2 = T^*$ means $|T|^{1/2}U^{*2}|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = |T|U^*$. Since ker $|T|^{1/2} = \ker U$, we find $UU^{*2}|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = U|T|^{1/2}U^*$. Hence $U^{*2}|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = U^*UU^{*2}|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = |T|^{1/2}U^*$ or $U|T|^{1/2} = U^2|T|^{1/2}U^2$. Since ker $U \subset \ker U^*$, one can see that $U^*U^2 = U$ and so the last equation reduces to

(3.8)
$$|T|^{1/2} = U^* U |T|^{1/2} = U^* U^2 |T|^{1/2} U^2 = U |T|^{1/2} U^2.$$

Now multiplying (3.8) on the left by UU^* , we find $UU^*|T|^{1/2} = U|T|^{1/2}U^2 = |T|^{1/2}$ or $|T|^{1/2} = |T|^{1/2}UU^*$. Especially, ker $T^* \subset \ker T$ and hence by the hypothesis, ker $T = \ker T^*$. Clearly, then U is normal. Now (3.8) along with the normality of U yields

 $U^*|T|^{1/2}U^* = |T|^{1/2}U^2U^* = |T|^{1/2}U$. Hence $U^*|T|^{1/2} = U|T|^{1/2}U$ and $U^*|T|^{1/2}U = U|T|^{1/2}U^2 = |T|^{1/2}$. This implies $U|T|^{1/2} = UU^*|T|^{1/2}U = |T|^{1/2}U$. But then $S(T^*) = |T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = U^*|T|^{1/2}U^* = S(T)^*$. Then, by our hypothesis, it follows that $S(T)^2 = T$. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.7.

Theorem 3.9. $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ is normal if any one of the following conditions holds.

(i) $|S(T)^*|^2 = |T|$.

(ii) $|S(T^*)|^2 = |T|$, where T is a class A(s,t) operator.

(iii) $|S(T)|^2 = |T|$, where T is a class A(s,t) operator.

Proof.

(i) Note that $|S(T)^*|^2 = |T|$ implies

$$|T| = U|T|^{1/2}UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^* \le U|T|U^*.$$

Then clearly ker $U^* \subset \ker U$ or $U^*U \leq UU^*$. This in turn shows that $|T| = |S(T)^*|^2 = U|T|U^* = |T^*|$. Hence T is normal.

(ii) The condition $|S(T^*)|^2 = |T|$ implies $U^2|T|U^{*2} = |T|$. Hence ker $T^* \subset \ker T$. On the other hand if Tx = 0, then it follows from the equation $U^2|T|U^{*2} = |T|$ that $|T|^{1/2}U^{*2}x = 0$ or $U^{*2}x = 0$. Then $U^*x \in \ker T^* \subset \ker T$. Hence $TU^*x = 0$ or $U|T|U^*x = 0$, which is the same as $T^*x = 0$. Thus ker $T = \ker T^*$ or U is normal. Therefore the equation $U^2|T|U^{*2} = |T|$ implies $U|T|U^* = U^*U^2|T|U^{*2}U = U^*|T|U$. Now it is easy to show that S(T) is normal. By Corollary 3.2, we conclude that T is normal.

(iii) Notice that the underlying condition is equivalent to $U|T|U^* = U^*|T|U$. Therefore if Ux = 0, then $U|T|U^*x = 0$ or $T^*x = 0$, giving $\ker U \subset \ker U^*$. On the other hand if $U^*x = 0$, then $U^*|T|Ux = 0$ implying $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$ or equivalently, $U^2x = 0$. Since $\ker U \subset \ker U^*$, we find $U^*Ux = 0$ or Ux = 0. Therefore $\ker U = \ker U^*$, which shows that U is normal. Hence

$$S(T)^*S(T) = U^*|T|U = U|T|U^* = S(T)S(T)^*.$$

Then S(T) is normal and T is normal by Corollary 3.2.

4. PARTIAL ISOMETRY, PROJECTION

Theorem 4.1. If T is normaloid, then S(T) is normaloid and $||T|| = ||S(T)||^2$.

Proof. First we observe that $||S(T)||^2 \leq ||T||| = ||T||$ for any operator T. Since T is normaloid, ||T|| = |z| for some $z \in \sigma(T)$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of unit vectors such that $(T-z)x_n \to 0$ and $(T-z)^*x_n \to 0$. If $z = |z|e^{i\theta}$, then $(|T|^{1/2} - |z|^{1/2})x_n \to 0$ and $(U-e^{i\theta})x_n \to 0$. Consequently, $(S(T) - |z|^{1/2}e^{2i\theta})x_n \to 0$ and therefore $|z|^{1/2} \leq r(S(T)) \leq ||S(T)||$. Hence

$$r(T) = ||T|| \le r(S(T))^2 \le ||S(T)||^2 \le ||T||.$$
$$r(T) = ||T|| = r(S(T))^2 = ||S(T)||^2.$$

Thus

Example 3. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly $T^2 = I$. If T = U|T|, then $U|T|U = |T|^{-1}$. Define $A = U|T|^2$. Then $S(A) = U|A|^{1/2}U = U|T|U = |T|^{-1}$. This shows that S(A) is a positive invertible operator. Clearly $||S(A)||^2 = ||A||$. We assert A is not normaloid. Suppose to the contrary that A is normaloid. Since a normaloid operator on a two-dimensional space

is normal, it will follow that U commutes with $|T|^2$ and hence with |T|. But then T will be normal, which is not true. Hence the converse of Theorem 4.1 is not true.

Definition. Let $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ with the polar decomposition T = U|T|. For each positive integer *n*, the *n*-th Aluthge transform $\tilde{T}(n)$ of *T* is defined as the Aluthge transform of $\tilde{T}(n-1)$ and $\tilde{T}(1) = \tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}$. It is known that $r(T) = \lim ||\tilde{T}(n)||$ ([17]).

Theorem 4.2. Let T be a p-hyponormal operator. If S(T) is a partial isometry, then the following assertions hold.

(i) T^n is a k-hyponormal operator for each positive integer n and each k > 0.

(ii) The n-th Aluthge transform $\tilde{T}(n)$ has the polar decomposition given by $\tilde{T}(n) = U|T|^{1/r(n)}$ where $r(n) = 2^n$ and $\tilde{T}(n)$ converges strongly to U.

Proof.

(i) We may assume that $s \ge t$. Now T being a class A(s,t) operator, it must be normaloid by [7]. By Theorem 4.1, $||T|| = ||S(T)||^2$. Since S(T) is a partial isometry, it is a contraction. Therefore

(4.1)
$$||T|| \le 1.$$

Next we show that $\ker U = \ker |T|^{1/2}U$. Suppose $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$. Then $Ux \in \ker |T|^{1/2} = \ker T$. Since T is p-hyponormal, $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$. Hence $Ux \in \ker T^* = \ker U^*$. Then $U^*Ux = 0$ or Ux = 0. Hence $\ker |T|^{1/2}U = \ker |T|$. Since S(T) is a partial isometry, $S(T)^*S(T) = (|T|^{1/2}U)^*(|T|^{1/2}U)$ is a projection or $|T|^{1/2}U$ is a partial isometry. This in combination with the relation $\ker |T|^{1/2}U = \ker |T| = \ker U$ implies $|T|^{1/2}U$ and U are isometries on $[\operatorname{ran}|T|]$. Therefore we have

(4.2)
$$||x|| = ||T|^{1/2} Ux|| = ||Ux||$$

for $x \in [ran|T|]$, and then $|T|^{1/2}Ux = Ux$ as $0 \le |T|^{1/2} \le 1$ (see [12]). Hence

(4.3)
$$|T|^{1/2}U = U$$

and

$$|T|UU^* = |T|^{1/2}UU^* = UU^*.$$

Then $|T|^{2m}UU^* = UU^*$ for every positive integer m. Hence the inequality $UU^* \le I$ implies (4.4) $|T|^{2m} \ge |T|^m UU^* |T|^m = UU^*.$

An application of (4.3) will show that

$$T^n = U(|T|U)^{n-1}|T| = U^n|T|.$$

Since by (4.4), ker $T \subset \ker T^*$. Then it follows that U is quasinormal and so, in particular, $U^{*n}U^n = U^*U$. Clearly this shows that U^n is a partial isometry with ker $U^n = \ker U = \ker |T|$. Therefore $|T^n| = |T|$ and $T^n = U^n|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T^n . Now by (4.4), we have

$$|T^n|^{2m} = |T|^{2m} \ge UU^* \ge U^n U^{*n}$$

and hence (4.1) will imply $|T^n|^{2m} \ge U^n |T|^{2m} U^{*n} = |T^{*n}|^{2m}$ or T^n is *m*-hyponormal for every positive integers *m* and *n*. Invoking the Lowner-Heinz Inequality, we conclude that T^n is *k*-hyponormal for every positive integer *n* and any positive real number *k*.

(ii) First, we note that (4.1) and (4.2) imply

$$||x|| = ||Ux|| = |||T|^{1/2}Ux|| \le |||T|^{1/4}Ux|| \le$$

$$\dots \le |||T|^{1/r(n)}Ux|| \le |||T|^{1/r(n+1)}Ux|| \le ||Ux||,$$

and hence $|T|^{1/r(n)}Ux = Ux$ for all $x \in [\operatorname{ran}|T|^{1/r(n)}] = [\operatorname{ran}|T|]$. Euvalently, we have $|T|^{1/r(n)}U|T|^{1/r(n)} = U|T|^{1/r(n)}$ for each positive integer n. Clearly $U|T|^{1/r(n)}$ is the polar decomposition of $|T|^{1/r(n)}U|T|^{1/r(n)}$. As a consequence of this, we find $\tilde{T}(1) = \tilde{T} = U|T|^{1/2}$ and hence $\tilde{T}(2) = |T|^{1/4}U|T|^{1/4} = U|T|^{1/4}$. An induction argument shows that $\tilde{T}(n) = |T|^{1/r(n)}U|T|^{1/r(n)} = U|T|^{1/r(n)}$. Since $|T|^{1/r(n)} \to U^*U$ strongly, it follows that $\tilde{T}(n) \to U^*UUUU^*U = U$ strongly.

Example 4. Let T to be a unilateral weighted shift with weights $\{1/2, 1, 1, 1, \dots\}$. Then one can check that T is a non-quasinormal hyponormal operator for which S(T) is an isometry. Hence if we put a stronger condition on S(T) by assuming it to be an isometry, we may not get a stronger conclusion like T is quasinormal.

T is said to be paranormal if

$$||Tx||^2 \le ||T^2x|| ||x||$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. It is known that A(1, 1) operators are paranormal by [6]. In the next theorem, we extend the above result for paranormal operators with the closed range.

Theorem 4.3. Let T be a paranormal operator with closed range. If S(T) is a partial isometry, then the following assertions hold.

(i) T^n is a k-hyponormal operator for each positive integer n and each k > 0.

(ii) The n-th Aluthge transform $\tilde{T}(n)$ has the polar decomposition given by $\tilde{T}(n) = U|T|^{1/r(n)}$ where $r(n) = 2^n$ and $\tilde{T}(n)$ converges strongly to U.

 $||T|| \le 1.$

 $\mathit{Proof.}$ Since a paranormal operator is normaloid, as argued in Theorem 4.2, we get

Next, we show that

(4.6)
$$\ker |T|^{1/2}U = \ker |T|.$$

Suppose $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$. Then U|T|Ux = 0. Since ran T and therefore ran |T| is closed, x = y + z with some $y \in \ker |T|$ and $z \in \operatorname{ran} |T|$. Let z = |T|u for some vector $u \in \mathcal{H}$. Then 0 = U|T|Ux = U|T|U|T|u or $T^2u = 0$. The paranormality of T implies Tu = 0 or 0 = |T|u = z. This leads to $x = y \in \ker |T|$ proving (4.6).

Hence we have $|T|^{1/2}U = U$ or $U^*|T|^{1/2} = U^*$ as shown in the proof of Theorem 4.2. In paticular, ker $T \subset \ker T^*$ as $U^* = U^*|T|$. Now using the same line of argument used in Theorem 4.2, we arrive at the desired conclusion.

Theorem 4.4. If S(T) is an idempotent operator and ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, then T is a selfadjoint partial isometry.

Proof. The hypothesis $S(T)^2 = S(T)$ means $U|T|^{1/2}U^2|T|^{1/2}U = U|T|^{1/2}U$ which gives $|T|^{1/2}U^2|T|^{1/2}U = |T|^{1/2}U$ and hence $U^3|T|^{1/2}U = U^2$. Then applying the kernel condition, we obtain $U|T|^{1/2}U = U^*U$ or $U^*|T|^{1/2}U^* = U^*U$. Now it is obvious that ker $U^* \subset \ker U$. This along with our hypothesis implies ker $U = \ker U^*$. In particular, U is normal. Since $U|T|^{1/2}U = U^*U$, the normality of U gives $|T|^{1/2} = U^*U|T|^{1/2}UU^* = U^{*2}UU^* = U^{*2}$ or $|T|^{1/2} = U^2$. Therefore $U^*U = U|T|^{1/2}U = U^4$ and so $|T| = U^*U$. Hence T = U. In order to complete the proof, it is enough to show that $U^* = U$. Now $U^2 = |T|^{1/2}$ combined with $|T| = U^*U$ imply $U^2 = U^*U$ and hence $U^* = (U^*U)U^* = U^2U^* = UU^*U = U$ as U is normal. This finishes the proof. □

Example 5. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then S(T) = I. Hence conditions in Theorem 4.4 do not guarantee that T is a projection.

Corollary 4.5. Suppose S(T) is a projection. If ker S(T) = ker T, then T is a selfadjoint partial isometry.

Proof. First we observe that the condition $\ker S(T) = \ker T$ implies the condition $\ker U = \ker U^2$. Since S(T) is normal, we have

(4.7)
$$U^*|T|U = U|T|^{1/2}UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^* \le U|T|U^*.$$

Then $U^*x = 0$ implies $U^*|T|U = (|T|^{1/2}U)^*|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$ which is the same as $U^2x = 0$. Hence Ux = 0 showing ker $U^* \subset \ker U$. Obviously then $U^*U \leq UU^*$. Then from (4.7), we derive

(4.8)
$$U|T|U^* \le U^*|T|U.$$

From (4.7) and (4.8), we have $U^*|T|U = U|T|U^*$. In particular, ker $T = \ker U \subset \ker U^* = \ker T^*$. Consequently, the corollary follows from the Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. If S(T) is idempotent and if ker $T^* \subset \ker T$, then T is a selfadjoint partial isometry.

Proof. By our hypothesis on S(T), $U^3|T|^{1/2}U = U^2$ or $U^*|T|^{1/2}U^{*3} = U^{*2}$. Therefore, since ker $T^* \subset \ker T$, we obtain

(4.9)
$$|T|^{1/2}U^{*3} = UU^*|T|^{1/2}U^{*3} = UU^{*2} = U^*$$

Also by Theorem 2.1, $\ker S(T)^* \subset \ker S(T)$ implying S(T) to be a projection. As seen in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the underlying kernel condition indicates

$$1 \ge ||S(T)|| \ge ||S(T^*)|| = |||T|^{1/2}U^{*2}||$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} \| (U^2 |T|^{1/2} U^* - U^*) x \|^2 \\ &= \| U^2 |T|^{1/2} U^* x \|^2 - \langle U^2 |T|^{1/2} U^* x, U^* x \rangle - \langle U^* x, U^2 |T|^{1/2} U^* x \rangle + \| U^* x \|^2 \\ &\leq \| U^* x \|^2 - \langle U U^* x, x \rangle - \langle x, U U^* x \rangle + \| U^* x \|^2 = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence $U^2|T|^{1/2}U^* = U^*$ or equivalently, $U|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = U$ and hence ker $T = \ker U \subset \ker U^* = \ker T^*$. Invoking Theorem 4.4, we arrive at the desired conclusion.

Corollary 4.7. Suppose the following conditions hold for $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$.

- (i) S(T) is idempotent.
- (ii) $S(T^*)$ is a contraction.
- (iii) $\ker S(T) = \ker T$.

Then T is a selfadjoint partial isometry.

Proof. As seen earlier, the condition (i) yields $U^3|T|^{1/2}U = U^2$. Then applying (iii) which is equivalent to ker $U = \ker U^2$ gives $U^2|T|^{1/2}U = U$. Since $||S(T^*)|| = ||T|U^{*2}|| \le 1$ by (ii), we have

$$\begin{split} \| (|T|^{1/2}U^{*2}U - U)x \|^2 \\ &= \| |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}Ux \|^2 - \langle |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}Ux, Ux \rangle - \langle Ux, |T|^{1/2}U^{*2}Ux \rangle + \| Ux \|^2 \\ &\leq \| Ux \|^2 - \langle U^*Ux, x \rangle - \langle x, U^*Ux \rangle + \| Ux \|^2 = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence $|T|^{1/2}U^{*2}U = U$ and $|T|^{1/2}U^{*2} = UU^*$. Then $UU^* = U^2|T|^{1/2}$, and so ker $T \subset \ker T^*$. Hence the result is immediate from Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.8. If S(T) = T and ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, then T is a projection.

Proof. Since $U|T|^{1/2}U = U|T|$, $|T|^{1/2}U = |T|$. Then $|T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2} = |T|^{3/2}$ and $\langle Ux, x \rangle \ge 0$ for all $x \in \operatorname{ran} |T|^{1/2}$. Also, $\langle Uy, y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \ker |T|^{1/2}$. For any $z \in \mathcal{H}$, there exist $x \in \operatorname{[ran} |T|^{1/2}]$ and $y \in \ker |T|^{1/2}$ such that z = x + y. Therefore

$$\langle Uz, z \rangle = \langle Ux, x + y \rangle = \langle Ux, x \rangle + \langle x, U^*y \rangle = \langle Ux, x \rangle \ge 0$$

as ker $T \subset$ ker T^* . This shows that U is positive. Since U is also a partial isometry, $U^*U = U^2$ is a projection. Then $\sigma(U) \subset \{0, 1\}$ as U is positive. Hence U is a projection and $U^*U = U$. Then $T = U|T| = U^*U|T| = |T|$ and $|T| = |T|^{1/2}U = |T|^{1/2}U^*U = |T|^{1/2}$. Therefore |T|, and hence T is a projection.

Example 6. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the polar decomposition T = U|T| is given by $U = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|T| = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $S(T) = U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U = T$, but T is not a projection. This example shows that Theorem 4.8 does not hold if the underlying kernel condition

This example shows that Theorem 4.8 does not hold if the underlying kernel condition $\ker T \subset \ker T^*$ is replaced even by the weaker condition like $\ker U = \ker U^2$.

Corollary 4.9. Let S(T) = T. If either (i) T is convexoid or (ii) U is convexoid, then T is a projection.

Proof.

(i) The condition S(T) = T implies $|T|^{1/2}U = |T|$. Clearly $\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2} = |T|^{3/2}$ and hence $\sigma(\tilde{T}) = \sigma(T) \subset \{x : x \ge 0\}$. Since T is convexied, it follows that T is a positive operator. In particular, ker $T = \ker T^*$. Now the result follows from Theorem 4.8.

(ii) Again as $|T|^{1/2}U = |T|$, we have $UU^*|T|^{1/2} = U|T|$ or $|T|^{1/2}UU^* = |T|U^*$ implying $U^2U^* = U|T|^{1/2}U^*$. Since

$$\sigma(U) = \sigma(UU^*U) = \sigma(UUU^*)$$

by [3, lemma], we have

$$\sigma(U) = \sigma(U|T|^{1/2}U^*) \subset \{t : t \ge 0\}.$$

Since U is convexoid, it follows that U is a positive operator. Consequently, ker $T = \ker T^*$. Now the result is clear from Theorem 4.8.

Corollary 4.10. If S(T) = T and T is a class A(s,t) operator, then T is a projection.

Proof. We may assume 1/2 < s by [9]. Note that the condition S(T) = T implies $|T|^{1/2}U = |T|$. Then $T(s,t) = |T|^{s-1/2}(|T|^{1/2}U)|T|^t = |T|^{s+t+1/2}$. Hence T is normal by [12] and so the result follows from Theorem 4.8.

Example 7. Let
$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
. Then $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $|T|^{1/2} = 2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence

 $S(T) = 2^{-\frac{3}{4}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and S(T) is not idempotent. Hence S(T) may not be even idempotent

if T is idempotent. It is well known that \tilde{T} is a projection whenever T is idempotent. However, in case T and S(T) are idempotent operators, then both T and S(T) turn out to be projections.

Theorem 4.11. If T and S(T) are idempotent operators, then T is projection and T = S(T).

Proof. Since $U|T| = T = T^2 = U|T|U|T|$, we have $|T|U|T| = |T| = |T|U^*|T|$. Hence $U = UU^*|T|$ and $U^*U = U^*UU^*|T| = U^*|T|$. Then $|T|U = U^*U$ and

$$(4.10) ker U = ker U^2.$$

Now the condition that S(T) is idempotent yields $U^3|T|^{1/2}U = U^2$. Then

(4.11)
$$U^2 |T|^{1/2} U = U.$$

by (4.10). Multiplying (4.11) on the right by U, we obtain $U^2|T|^{1/2}U^2 = U^2$. By (4.10), it follows that $U|T|^{1/2}U^2 = U$. Hence $|T|^{1/2}U^2 = U^*U$ and then $|T|U^2 = |T|^{1/2}U^*U = |T|^{1/2}$. Since $|T|U = U^*U$, we get $|T|^{1/2} = (|T|U)U = U^*U^2$ and so $S(T) = U(U^*U^2)U = U^3$. Since U^3 is a contraction and S(T) is idempotent, U^3 is a projection. Since ker $U = \ker U^2 = \ker U^3$ by (4.10), $U^3 = U^*U$ or $U^{*3} = U^*U$. Now it is obvious from the last equation that ker $T^* = \ker U^* \subset \ker U = \ker T$. Hence T is a projection as T is idempotent. Clearly S(T) = T which finishes the proof.

5. POLAR DECOMPOSITION

Theorem 5.1. If the operator $|T|^{1/2}U$ has the polar decomposition given by $|T|^{1/2}U = W||T|^{1/2}U|$, then S(T) = UW|S(T)| is the polar decomposition of S(T).

Proof. Clearly S(T) = UW|S(T)|. To complete the proof, we must show that UW is a partial isometry with ker $UW = \ker |S(T)|$.

We show UW is a partial isometry. Suppose Ux = 0 for some $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $U^*|T|^{1/2}x = 0$. By our hypothesis, $W^*x = 0$. Thus ker $U^*U \subset \ker WW^*$ or $U^*UWW^* = WW^*$. Therefore

$$UW(UW)^*UW = UWW^*U^*UW = UWW^*W = UW.$$

Next we show ker $UW = \ker S(T)$. Let UWx = 0. Then $Wx \in \ker U$ and $U^*|T|^{1/2}Wx = 0$. Then $W^*Wx = 0$ and S(T)x = 0. On the other hand if S(T)x = 0, then $|T|^{1/2}Ux = U^*U|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$. This implies that Wx = 0 and therefore UWx = 0.

Theorem 5.2. If S(T) = W|S(T)| is the polar decomposition, then the operator $|T|^{1/2}U$ has the polar decomposition given by $U^*W||T|^{1/2}U|$.

Proof. By our hypothesis,

$$|T|^{1/2}U = U^*W|S(T)| = U^*W||T|^{1/2}U|.$$

We show ker $U^*W = \ker ||T|^{1/2}U|$. If $U^*Wx = 0$, then we find $Wx \in \ker U^*$. Since $\ker U^* \subset \ker W^*$, $W^*Wx = 0$. Then it follows that $W^*Wx = 0$ and hence $x \in \ker |S(T)| = \ker ||T|^{1/2}U|$. Conversely if $||T|^{1/2}U|x = 0$, then Wx = 0 and so $x \in \ker U^*W$.

Next we show U^*W is a partial isometry. Since ker $UU^* \subset \ker WW^*$, we have $WW^*UU^* = WW^*$. Therefore

$$U^*W(U^*W)^*U^*W = U^*(WW^*UU^*)W = U^*WW^*W = U^*W.$$

Theorem 5.3. Let T be a binormal operator, i.e., |T| commutes with $|T^*|$. If S(T) = W|S(T)| is the polar decomposition, then the Aluthge transform $\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}$ has the polar decomposition given by $\tilde{T} = U^*W|\tilde{T}|$.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, $|T|^{1/2}U = U^*W|S(T)|$ is the polar decomposition. Then

(5.1)
$$\tilde{T} = U^* W |S(T)| |T|^{1/2}.$$

Since |T| commutes with $|T^*| = U|T|U^*$, we have

$$|S(T)|^{2}|T|^{1/2} = (U^{*}|T|U)|T|^{1/2} = U^{*}(|T|U|T|^{1/2}U^{*})U$$
$$= U^{*}(U|T|^{1/2}U^{*}|T|)U$$
$$= |T|^{1/2}U^{*}|T|U = |T|^{1/2}|S(T)|^{2}.$$

Thus |S(T)| commutes with $|T|^{1/2}$. As a consequence of this fact, we find $\tilde{T}^*\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U^*|T|U|T|^{1/2} = |S(T)|^2|T|$ or $|\tilde{T}| = |S(T)||T|^{1/2}$. Therefore (5.1) implies

(5.2)
$$\tilde{T} = U^* W |\tilde{T}|.$$

Note that U^*W is a partial isometry. In order to complete the proof, we must show that $\ker U^*W = \ker \tilde{T}$. Suppose $\tilde{T}x = 0$. Then $|S(T)|^2 |T|^{1/2}x = U^*|T|U|T|^{1/2}x = 0$. Since |S(T)| commutes with $|T|^{1/2}, |T|^{1/2}U^*|T|Ux = 0$. Then $UU^*|T|Ux = 0$ or $U^*|T|Ux = 0$. Hence $|T|^{1/2}Ux = 0$ and S(T)x = 0. Consequently we have $x \in \ker U^*W$. On the other hand if $U^*Wx = 0$, then $|\tilde{T}|x = |T|^{1/2}|S(T)|x = 0$. Thus $x \in \ker \tilde{T}$.

Theorem 5.4. If S(T) = W|S(T)| and $\tilde{T} = U^*W|\tilde{T}|$ are polar decompositions, then |S(T)|, |T| and $|\tilde{T}|$ are commuting. Moreover if ker $T^* \subset \ker T$, then T is binormal.

Proof. By Theorem 5.2, $|T|^{1/2}U$ has the polar decomposition $U^*W|S(T)|$. From this we derive that $\tilde{T} = U^*W|S(T)||T|^{1/2}$. Therefore

$$U^*W|\tilde{T} = U^*W|S(T)||T|^{1/2}$$

Since $(U^*W)^*U^*W|S(T)| = |S(T)|$ and $(U^*W)^*U^*W|\tilde{T}| = |\tilde{T}|$, we obtain $|\tilde{T}| = |S(T)||T|^{1/2}$. Thus |S(T)|, |T| and $|\tilde{T}|$ are commuting. Suppose ker $T^* \subset \ker T$. Then $UU^*U^* = U^*$. Since $|S(T)|^2|T|^{1/2} = U^*(|T|U|T|^{1/2}U^*)U$ and $|T|^{1/2}|S(T)|^2 = U^*(U|T|^{1/2}U^*|T|)U$, we find $U^*(|T|U|T|^{1/2}U^*)U = U^*(U|T|^{1/2}U^*|T|)U$. Now the kernel condition implies that T is binormal.

References

- [1] A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integr. Equat. Oper. Th.,**13**(1990), 307–315.
- [2] A. Aluthge and D. Wang, *w-Hyponormal operators*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., **36**(2000), 1–10.
- [3] M. Chō and T. Huruya, *p*-hyponormal operators (0 , Commentations Math.,**33**(1993), 23–29.
- [4] M.Chō, T.Huruya, and Y.O.Kim, A note on w-hyponormal operators, J. Inequal. Appl. (to appear).
- [5] M. Chō, I.H.Jeon, I.B. Jung, J.I.Lee and K. Tanahashi, Joint spectra of n-tuples of generalized Aluthge transformations, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 46(2001), 725–730.
- [6] M. Fujii, D. Jung, S.H. Lee, M.Y. Lee and R. Nakamoto, Some classes of operators related to paranormal and log-hyponormal operators, Math. Japonica, 51 (2000) 395–402.
- [7] T. Furuta, M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, A subclass of paranormal operators including class of loghyponormal and several classes, Scientiae Mathematicae, 1(1998), 389–403.
- [8] F. Hansen, An inequality, Math. Ann., 246(1980), 249-250.
- [9] E. Heinz, Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung, Math. Ann., 123(1951), 415–438.
- [10] M. Ito and T. Yamazaki, Relations between two operator inequalities $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^pB^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \ge B^r$ and $A^p \ge (A^{\frac{p}{2}}B^rA^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{p}{p+r}}$ and their applications, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., 44(2002), 442–450.
- [11] K. Löwner, Über monotone Matrixfunktionen, Math. Z., 38(1934), 177-216.
- [12] S. M. Patel, A note on p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integral Equations and Operator Theory,**21**(1995), 498–503.
- [13] S. M. Patel, K. Tanahashi, A. Uchiyama and M. Yanagida, Quasinormality, Fuglede-Putnam theorem for A(s,t) operators, preprint.
- [14] K. Tanahashi, On log-hyponormal operators, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., 34(1999), 364-372.
- [15] K. Tanahashi, Putnam's inequality for log-hyponormal operators, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., 48(2004), 103–114.
- [16] D. Xia, Spectral theory of hyponormal operators, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1983.

- [17] T. Yamazaki, An expression of spectral radius via Aluthge transformation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 130(2001), 1131–1137.
- [18] M. Yanagida, Powers of class wA(s,t) operators with generalized Aluthge transformation, J. Inequal. Appl., 7(2002), 143–168.
- [19] T. Yoshino, The p-hyponormality of the Aluthge transform, Interdisciplinary Information Sciences, 3(1997), 91–93.

S.M. PATEL DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SARDAR PATEL UNIVERSITY VALLABH VIDYANAGAR 388 120, GUJARAT, INDIA *E-mail address:* smpatel-320yahoo.com

Kôtarô Tanahashi Department of Mathematics Tohoku Pharmaceutical University Sendai 981-8558, Japan *E-mail address*: tanahasi@tohoku-pharm.ac.jp

Atsushi Uchiyama Sendai National College of Technology Sendai 989-3128, Japan *E-mail address*: uchiyama@cc.sendai-ct.ac.jp