

AVERAGING FUNCTIONS AND INEQUALITIES DUE TO HÖLDER-ROGERS AND MINKOWSKI

SIN-EI TAKAHASI, TAKESHI MIURA, AND KUNIO SATO

Received May 29, 2005

ABSTRACT. We introduce two notations of functions, which we call “subaveraging function” and “superaveraging function”. Both the well-known Hölder-Rogers inequality and the Minkowski inequality can be seen simultaneously through these functions. Our study was inspired by the work of L. Maligranda [2].

1. SUBAVERAGING FUNCTION AND SUPERAVERAGING FUNCTION

Let X be a set and S a real linear space consisting of real functions on X . Let D be a domain in \mathbf{R}^n and S_0 a subset of S such that

- (0) $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$ and $x \in X$.

We consider two functions $m, M: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that

- (1) $m \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n), M \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$.
 (2) $m(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \leq L(m \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)), M(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \geq L(M \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n))$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$ and all positive linear functionals L from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$.

Here we say that L is positive if $Lf \geq 0$ for all positive functions $f \in S$.

Definition 1. We say that the above functions m and M are subaveraging on D and superaveraging on D with respect to the couple (S, S_0) , respectively.

Remark 1. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the following function on D is subaveraging and superaveraging :

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \alpha_1 a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n a_n \quad ((a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D)$$

This is a trivial case but it gives us an important suggestion for a construction of subaveraging functions and superaveraging functions. We next give non-trivial examples of such functions, which give us useful suggestions.

Let $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$, $S = \mathbf{R}^2$, $S_0 = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+$, where $\mathbf{R}^+ = \{x \in \mathbf{R} : x > 0\}$. Of course, we regard S as a real linear space consisting of all real functions on the set $\{1, 2\}$. Note that the couple (D, S_0) satisfies the condition (0). In this case, we have

- (i) Both $M_1(a, b) = (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ and $M_2(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ are superaveraging functions on D with respect to (S, S_0) .
 (ii) Both $m_1(a, b) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and $m_2(a, b) = a^2/b$ are subaveraging functions on D with respect to (S, S_0) .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B62, 26D07.

Key words and phrases. Hölder-Rogers inequality, Minkowski inequality, convex function, concave function.

In fact, let L be a positive linear functional on S such that $(Lf_1, Lf_2) \in D$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in S_0$. Then we can write $L(x, y) = \alpha x + \beta y$ for all $(x, y) \in S$ and some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0$. Let $a, b, c, d > 0$, and set $f_1 = (a, b)$ and $f_2 = (c, d)$, hence $f_1, f_2 \in S_0$.

(i) Note that

$$M_1(Lf_1, Lf_2) = M_1(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) = \left(\sqrt{\alpha a + \beta b} + \sqrt{\alpha c + \beta d} \right)^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(M_1 \circ (f_1, f_2)) &= L(M_1(a, c), M_1(b, d)) = \alpha M_1(a, c) + \beta M_1(b, d) \\ &= \alpha (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{c})^2 + \beta (\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{d})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sqrt{\alpha a + \beta b} + \sqrt{\alpha c + \beta d} \right)^2 &\geq \alpha (\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{c})^2 + \beta (\sqrt{b} + \sqrt{d})^2 \quad (\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0) \\ &\iff ad + bc \geq 2\sqrt{abcd} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $M_1(Lf_1, Lf_2) \geq L(M_1 \circ (f_1, f_2))$ holds, that is, M_1 is superaveraging on D .

Note that

$$M_2(Lf_1, Lf_2) = M_2(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) = \sqrt{(\alpha a + \beta b)(\alpha c + \beta d)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(M_2 \circ (f_1, f_2)) &= L(M_2(a, c), M_2(b, d)) \\ &= \alpha M_2(a, c) + \beta M_2(b, d) = \alpha \sqrt{ac} + \beta \sqrt{bd}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\sqrt{(\alpha a + \beta b)(\alpha c + \beta d)} \geq \alpha \sqrt{ac} + \beta \sqrt{bd} \quad (\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0) \iff ad + bc \geq 2\sqrt{abcd}$$

and hence $M_2(Lf_1, Lf_2) \geq L(M_2 \circ (f_1, f_2))$ holds, that is, M_2 is superaveraging on D .

(ii) Note that

$$m_1(Lf_1, Lf_2) = m_1(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) = \sqrt{(\alpha a + \beta b)^2 + (\alpha c + \beta d)^2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(m_1 \circ (f_1, f_2)) &= L(m_1(a, c), m_1(b, d)) = \alpha m_1(a, c) + \beta m_1(b, d) \\ &= \alpha \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} + \beta \sqrt{b^2 + d^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{(\alpha a + \beta b)^2 + (\alpha c + \beta d)^2} &\leq \alpha \sqrt{a^2 + c^2} + \beta \sqrt{b^2 + d^2} \quad (\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0) \\ &\iff 2abcd \leq (ad)^2 + (bc)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $m_1(Lf_1, Lf_2) \leq L(m_1 \circ (f_1, f_2))$ holds, that is, m_1 is subaveraging on D .

Note that

$$m_2(Lf_1, Lf_2) = m_2(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) = \frac{(\alpha a + \beta b)^2}{\alpha c + \beta d}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L(m_2 \circ (f_1, f_2)) &= L(m_2(a, c), m_2(b, d)) = \alpha m_2(a, c) + \beta m_2(b, d) \\ &= \alpha \frac{a^2}{c} + \beta \frac{b^2}{d}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(\alpha a + \beta b)^2}{\alpha c + \beta d} &\leq \alpha \frac{a^2}{c} + \beta \frac{b^2}{d} \quad (\forall \alpha, \beta \geq 0 : \alpha^2 + \beta^2 \neq 0) \\ &\iff 2abcd \leq (ad)^2 + (bc)^2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence $m_2(Lf_1, Lf_2) \leq L(m_2 \circ (f_1, f_2))$ holds, that is, m_2 is subaveraging on D .

The above examples are all homogeneous, but we can give non-homogeneous examples. Let $D = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$, $S = \mathbf{R}^2$ and $S_0 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : |x|, |y| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}\}$. Note that (D, S_0) satisfies the condition (0). In this case, we have

(iii) Let $A, B, C \in \mathbf{R}$ and put $\varphi(x, y) = Ax + By + C$ for each $(x, y) \in D$. Then φ is subaveraging (superaveraging) function on D with respect to (S, S_0) if and only if $C \leq 0$ (resp. $C \geq 0$).

Also let $A, B \geq 0$. Then we have

(iv) $Ax^2 + By^2 + C$ is subaveraging with respect to $(S, S_0) \iff C \leq 0$.

(iv') $C - Ax^2 - By^2$ is superaveraging with respect to $(S, S_0) \iff C \geq 0$.

In fact, note that an arbitrary real function φ on D satisfies that $\varphi \circ (f_1, f_2) \in S$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in S_0$. Now let L be an arbitrary positive linear functional from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, Lf_2) \in D$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in S_0$. Then we can write $L(x, y) = \alpha x + \beta y$ for all $(x, y) \in S$ and some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$. In this case, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ by the positivity of L . Now let $f_1 = (a, b)$, $f_2 = (c, d) \in S_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &(Lf_1, Lf_2) \in D \quad (\forall f_1, f_2 \in S_0) \\ \iff &(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) \in D \quad (\forall (a, b), (c, d) \in S_0) \\ \iff &(\alpha a + \beta b)^2 + (\alpha c + \beta d)^2 \leq 1 \quad (\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R} : |a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}) \\ \iff &(a^2 + c^2)\alpha^2 + (b^2 + d^2)\beta^2 + 2(ab + cd)\alpha\beta \leq 1 \\ &(\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbf{R} : |a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}) \\ \iff &\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\alpha\beta \leq 1 \\ \iff &\alpha + \beta \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the set of all positive linear functionals from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, Lf_2) \in D$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in S_0$ must be $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbf{R}^2 : \alpha, \beta \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \leq 1\}$. Therefore we can conclude that a real function φ on D is subaveraging (superaveraging) with respect to (S, S_0) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) &\leq \alpha\varphi(a, c) + \beta\varphi(b, d) \\ (\text{resp. } \varphi(\alpha a + \beta b, \alpha c + \beta d) &\geq \alpha\varphi(a, c) + \beta\varphi(b, d)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $|a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. This implies immediately (iii). Also this implies that $Ax^2 + By^2 + C$ is subaveraging with respect to (S, S_0) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad &2\alpha\beta(Aab + Bcd) + C \\ &\leq A(\alpha - \alpha^2)a^2 + B(\alpha - \alpha^2)c^2 + A(\beta - \beta^2)b^2 + B(\beta - \beta^2)d^2 + (\alpha + \beta)C \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $|a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. Set $\vec{x} = (\sqrt{A}a, \sqrt{B}c)$ and $\vec{y} = (\sqrt{A}b, \sqrt{B}d)$. Then (3) can be transposed into

$$(4) \quad 2\alpha\beta \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle + C \leq (\alpha - \alpha^2)\|\vec{x}\|^2 + (\beta - \beta^2)\|\vec{y}\|^2 + (\alpha + \beta)C.$$

But we can see easily that $2\alpha\beta \langle \vec{x}, \vec{y} \rangle \leq (\alpha - \alpha^2)\|\vec{x}\|^2 + (\beta - \beta^2)\|\vec{y}\|^2$ for all $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$. Therefore we have that $C \leq 0$ if and only if (4) is true for all $a, b, c, d, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ such that

$|a|, |b|, |c|, |d| \leq 1/\sqrt{2}$, $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$, and then (iv) holds. Similarly we can see that (iv') holds.

2. A CONSTRUCTION OF SUBAVERAGING FUNCTIONS AND SUPERAVERAGING FUNCTIONS

We construct more general subaveraging functions and superaveraging functions. Let D , S and S_0 be as in Definition 1. Let T be a set and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$ real functions on T such that

$$\sup_{t \in T} \{\alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n\} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad -\infty < \inf_{t \in T} \{\beta_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)a_n\}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. In this case, we define

$$m_\alpha(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \sup_{t \in T} (\alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n)$$

and

$$M_\beta(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \inf_{t \in T} (\beta_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)a_n)$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. Then we have the following

Proposition 1. *Suppose that $m_\alpha \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ and $M_\beta \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for each $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then m_α is a subaveraging function on D and M_β is a superaveraging function on D with respect to (S, S_0) .*

Proof. Let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$ and L a positive linear functional from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(t)f_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)f_n &\leq m_\alpha \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \\ \text{and} \quad \beta_1(t)f_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)f_n &\geq M_\beta \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in T$. Then

$$\alpha_1(t)Lf_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)Lf_n = L(\alpha_1(t)f_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)f_n) \leq L(m_\alpha \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n))$$

and

$$\beta_1(t)Lf_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)Lf_n = L(\beta_1(t)f_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)f_n) \geq L(M_\beta \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n))$$

for all $t \in T$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) &\leq L(m_\alpha \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)) \\ \text{and} \quad M_\beta(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) &\geq L(M_\beta \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)), \end{aligned}$$

so that m_α is subaveraging on D and M_β is superaveraging on D . \square

3. HÖLDER TYPE FUNCTIONS

Let S and S_0 be as in Definition 1. Let $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^+$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathbf{R}$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$. Set

$$\text{Höl}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \equiv \text{Höl}_{p_1, \dots, p_n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. In this case, we have the following

Proposition 2. *Suppose that $\text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then*

- (i) *If all p_i are positive, then $\text{Höl}_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ is a superaveraging function on D with respect to (S, S_0) .*
- (ii) *If the only one of $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is positive, then $\text{Höl}_{p_1, \dots, p_n}$ is a subaveraging function on D with respect to (S, S_0) .*

Proof. Let $T = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \cdots \times \mathbf{R}^+$.

(i) Suppose that all p_i are positive and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. For each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i a_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n (t_i a_i)^{p_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{p_j} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i}$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(p_i t_i \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j} \right) a_i \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} = \text{H\"ol}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Set

$$\beta_1(t) = p_1 t_1 \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j}, \dots, \beta_n(t) = p_n t_n \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j}$$

and

$$h^*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \beta_1(t) a_1 + \cdots + \beta_n(t) a_n$$

for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$. Then we have

$$\inf_{t \in T} h^*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) \geq \text{H\"ol}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Also since $h^*(t_*, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \text{H\"ol}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for $t_* = (a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}) \in T$, it follows that $\inf_{t \in T} h^*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \text{H\"ol}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Therefore the desired result follows from Proposition 1.

(ii) Suppose that the only one of $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is positive and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. For each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$, we have

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i a_i \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (t_i a_i)^{p_i} = \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{p_j} \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i}.$$

In fact we can assume that $p_1 > 0, p_2, \dots, p_n < 0$ without loss of generality. Set $q_1 = p_1, q_i = -p_i$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$). Then $q_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $q_1 = 1 + q_2 + \cdots + q_n$. Also set $x_i = t_i a_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Then we have from the usual arithmetic-geometric mean inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{x_1^{q_1} + q_2 x_2 \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{q_i} + \cdots + q_n x_n \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{q_i}}{q_1} \\ & \geq (x_1^{q_1})^{1/q_1} \left(x_2 \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{q_i} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \cdots \left(x_n \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{q_i} \right)^{q_n/q_1} \\ & = x_1 (x_2)^{\frac{(1+q_2)q_2}{q_1} + \frac{q_2 q_3}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{q_2 q_n}{q_1}} \cdots (x_n)^{\frac{q_n q_2}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{q_n q_{n-1}}{q_1} + \frac{(1+q_n)q_n}{q_1}} \\ & = x_1 \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{q_i} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $x_1^{q_1} \prod_{i=2}^n x_i^{-q_i} + \sum_{i=2}^n q_i x_i \geq q_1 x_1$. But this can be transposed into $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i t_i a_i \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (t_i a_i)^{p_i}$ as required. By (5) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left(p_i t_i \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j} \right) a_i \leq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} = \text{H\"ol}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Set

$$\alpha_1(t) = p_1 t_1 \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j}, \dots, \alpha_n(t) = p_n t_n \prod_{j=1}^n t_j^{-p_j}$$

and

$$h_*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n$$

for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$. Then we have

$$\sup_{t \in T} h_*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq \text{Höl}(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Also since $h_*(t_*, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \text{Höl}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for $t_* = (a_1^{-1}, \dots, a_n^{-1}) \in T$, it follows that $\sup_{t \in T} h_*(t, a_1, \dots, a_n) = \text{Höl}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Therefore the desired result also follows from Proposition 1. \square

4. MINKOWSKI TYPE FUNCTIONS

Let $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^+ \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\rho : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ and $f : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a concave (convex) function. We define

$$f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_n) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(a_i)\right)$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. Also suppose that

$$-\infty < \inf_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \sup_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) < \infty\right)$$

for each $0 < \tau < 1$. In this case, we define

$$\mu_f^-(\tau) = \inf_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mu_f^+(\tau) = \sup_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right)\right)$$

for each $0 < \tau < 1$. Moreover set

$$T = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) : t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1, t_1, \dots, t_n > 0\}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \alpha_1(t) = \mu_f^-(t_1), \dots, \alpha_n(t) = \mu_f^-(t_n) \quad \left(\text{resp. } \beta_1(t) = \mu_f^+(t_1), \dots, \beta_n(t) = \mu_f^+(t_n)\right)$$

for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$. Then we have the following

Lemma 3. (i) *If f is concave, then $\sup_{t \in T} (\alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n) \leq f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$.*

(ii) *If f is convex, then $\inf_{t \in T} (\beta_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)a_n) \geq f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$.*

Proof. (i) Suppose that f is concave and let $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. For each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i f(b_i) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n t_i b_i\right)$$

for each $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in D$ and hence by putting $b_1 = \rho(a_1)/t_1, \dots, b_n = \rho(a_n)/t_n$ in the above inequality,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i f\left(\frac{\rho(a_i)}{t_i}\right) \leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(a_i)\right)$$

holds. Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_f^-(t_i) a_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^n t_i f\left(\frac{\rho(a_i)}{t_i}\right)$$

for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_f^-(t_i) a_i \\ &\leq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \rho(a_i)\right) = f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_n) \end{aligned}$$

for each $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$, so that we have the desired result.

(ii) Similarly, we can treat the case where f is convex. □

The above lemma suggests to us the following

Definition 2. We say that f_ρ is of Minkowski type when

$$f_\rho(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} \sup_{t \in T} (\alpha_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t)a_n) & \text{if } f \text{ is concave} \\ \inf_{t \in T} (\beta_1(t)a_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t)a_n) & \text{if } f \text{ is convex} \end{cases}$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$, where α_k and β_k are as in (6).

We will give an example of Minkowski type function. Let $0 < p < 1$ ($p > 1$ or $p < 0$) and $f(t) = t^p$, $\rho(t) = t^{1/p}$ ($t > 0$). Then f is a concave (resp. convex) function on \mathbf{R}^+ . Put $\text{Mink}_p = f_\rho$ and then

$$\text{Mink}_p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/p}\right)^p$$

for each $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$. Note that

$$\frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) = \frac{\tau}{s} \frac{s}{\tau^p} = \tau^{1-p}$$

for all $s > 0$ and $0 < \tau < 1$. Then

$$\mu_f^-(\tau) = \inf_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{1-p} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \mu_f^+(\tau) = \sup_{s>0} \frac{\tau}{s} f\left(\frac{\rho(s)}{\tau}\right) = \tau^{1-p}\right)$$

for all $0 < \tau < 1$. Therefore the functions α_i (resp. β_i) defined in (6) are such that

$$\alpha_i(t) = t_i^{1-p} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (\text{resp. } \beta_i(t) = t_i^{1-p} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n))$$

for all $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in T$. Fix $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in D$ arbitrarily and set

$$t_i^* = \frac{a_i^{1/p}}{a_1^{1/p} + \dots + a_n^{1/p}}$$

for each $(i = 1, \dots, n)$. Put $t^* = (t_1^*, \dots, t_n^*)$ and then $t^* \in T$. In this case, we can see that

$$\text{Mink}_p(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \begin{cases} \alpha_1(t^*)a_1 + \dots + \alpha_n(t^*)a_n & \text{if } 0 < p < 1 \\ \beta_1(t^*)a_1 + \dots + \beta_n(t^*)a_n & \text{if } p > 1 \text{ or } p < 0 \end{cases}$$

from an easy computation. Then by Lemma 3, we have that Mink_p is a Minkowski type function on D . Therefore we have the following

Lemma 4. Let $p \neq 0$. Then Mink_p is a Minkowski type function on D .

Let S and S_0 be as in Definition 1. Then we have the following

Proposition 5. *Suppose that $f_\rho \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then*

- (i) *If f is concave and f_ρ is of Minkowski type, then f_ρ is a subaveraging function on D with respect to (S, S_0) .*
- (ii) *If f is convex and f_ρ is of Minkowski type, then f_ρ is a superaveraging function on D with respect to (S, S_0) .*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 1. □

5. HÖLDER-ROGERS INEQUALITY AND MINKOWSKI INEQUALITY

Let $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^+ \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $S = \{f: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R} : f \in L^1(X, \mu)\}$ and $S_0 = \{f \in S : f(x) > 0 (\forall x \in X)\}$. Then we have $(f_1(x), \dots, f_n(x)) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$ and $x \in X$. Now let $p \neq 0$ and $p_1, \dots, p_n > 0$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$. Then $\text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ and $\text{Mink}_p \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for each $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. In fact, let $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then we have

$$\text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = f_1^{p_1} \cdots f_n^{p_n} \leq p_1 f_1 + \dots + p_n f_n \in S$$

and

$$\text{Mink}_p \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f_i^{1/p} \right)^p \leq n^p \max\{f_1, \dots, f_n\} \in S.$$

Therefore we have $\text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n), \text{Mink}_p \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$. Set $L(f) = \int f d\mu$ for each $f \in S$. Then L is a positive linear functional from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Also we have

$$\text{Höl}(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) = \left(\int f_1 d\mu \right)^{p_1} \cdots \left(\int f_n d\mu \right)^{p_n}$$

and

$$L(\text{Höl}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) = \int f_1^{p_1} \cdots f_n^{p_n} d\mu$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then by Proposition 2, (i), we have the Hölder-Rogers inequality:

$$\int |f_1|^{p_1} \cdots |f_n|^{p_n} d\mu \leq \left(\int |f_1| d\mu \right)^{p_1} \cdots \left(\int |f_n| d\mu \right)^{p_n}$$

$(f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(X, \mu), p_1, \dots, p_n > 0 : p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1).$

Moreover, we have

$$\text{Mink}_p(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) = \left(\left(\int f_1 d\mu \right)^{1/p} + \dots + \left(\int f_n d\mu \right)^{1/p} \right)^p$$

and

$$L(\text{Mink}_p(f_1, \dots, f_n)) = \int \left(f_1^{1/p} + \dots + f_n^{1/p} \right)^p d\mu$$

for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Then by Lemma 4 and Proposition 5 we have the Minkowski inequalities:

$$\int \left(|f_1|^{1/p} + \dots + |f_n|^{1/p} \right)^p d\mu \leq \left(\left(\int |f_1| d\mu \right)^{1/p} + \dots + \left(\int |f_n| d\mu \right)^{1/p} \right)^p$$

$(f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(X, \mu), p \geq 1 \text{ or } p < 0)$

and

$$\int \left(|f_1|^{1/p} + \dots + |f_n|^{1/p} \right)^p d\mu \geq \left(\left(\int |f_1| d\mu \right)^{1/p} + \dots + \left(\int |f_n| d\mu \right)^{1/p} \right)^p$$

$$(f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^1(X, \mu), 0 < p < 1).$$

In case that p_1, \dots, p_n are in \mathbf{R} with $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$ such that the only one of $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is positive,

$$f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0 \Rightarrow \text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$$

doesn't hold in general. Then we consider a discrete version of the Hölder-Rogers inequality. Let $n, m \in \mathbf{N}$, $D = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^+ \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $S = \mathbf{R}^m$ and $S_0 = \mathbf{R}^+ \times \dots \times \mathbf{R}^+ \subset \mathbf{R}^m$. Of course, we regard S as a real linear space consisting of all real functions on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that (D, S_0) satisfies the condition (0). Also it is clear that $\text{Höl} \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in S$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$.

Now set

$$L(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j$$

for each $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in S$. Then L is a positive linear functional from S into \mathbf{R} such that $(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) \in D$ for all $f_1, \dots, f_n \in S_0$. Moreover we have

$$\text{Höl}(Lf_1, \dots, Lf_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij} \right)^{p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad L(\text{Höl}(f_1, \dots, f_n)) = \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^n f_{ij}^{p_i}$$

for all $f_1 = (f_{11}, \dots, f_{1m}), \dots, f_n = (f_{n1}, \dots, f_{nm}) \in S_0$. Then by Proposition 2, (ii), we have the Hölder-Rogers inequality:

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i=1}^n f_{ij}^{p_i} \geq \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^m f_{ij} \right)^{p_i}$$

when the only one of $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ is positive and $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$.

Remark 2. The so-called Hölder's inequality :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k b_k \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_k^q \right)^{1/q}$$

$$\left(p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, a_k > 0, b_k > 0, k = 1, \dots, n \right)$$

was discovered by L. J. Rogers in 1888. However, O. Hölder discovered independently this inequality (cf. [1, 4]). Therefore, following L. Maligranda, we will call it the Hölder-Rogers inequality (cf. [3]).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referees for their careful reading of the paper and their valuable comments. The first and second authors are partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Hölder, *Über einen Mittelwertssatz*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. Kl., (1889), 38–47.
- [2] L. Maligranda, *A simple proof of the Hölder and the Minkowski inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **102** (1995), 256–259.
- [3] L. Maligranda, *Why Hölder's inequality should be called Rogers' inequality*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **1** (1998), 69–83.
- [4] L. J. Rogers, *An extension of a certain theorem in inequalities*, Messenger of Math., **17** (1888), 145–150.

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY,
YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: `sin-ei@emperor.yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY,
YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: `miura@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp`

DEPARTMENT OF BASIC TECHNOLOGY, APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, YAMAGATA UNIVERSITY,
YONEZAWA 992-8510, JAPAN

E-mail address: `sato@yz.yamagata-u.ac.jp`