

SMARANDACHE DISJOINT IN *BCK/D*-ALGEBRAS

P. J. ALLEN, H. S. KIM AND J. NEGGERS

Received September 24, 2004

ABSTRACT. In this paper we include several new families of Smarandache-type *P*-algebras and we study some of their properties in relation to the properties of previously defined Smarandache-types.

Let $(X, *)$ be a binary system/algebra. Then $(X, *)$ is a *Smarandache-type P-algebra* if it contains a subalgebra $(Y, *)$, where Y is non-trivial, i.e., $|Y| \geq 2$, or Y contains at least two distinct elements, and $(Y, *)$ is itself of type *P*. Thus, we have *Smarandache-type semigroups* (the type *P*-algebra is a semigroup), *Smarandache-type groups* (the type *P*-algebra is a group), *Smarandache-type abelian groups* (the type *P*-algebra is an abelian group). Smarandache semigroup in the sense of Kandasamy is in fact a Smarandache-type group (see [2]). Smarandache-type groups are of course a larger class than Kandasamy's Smarandache semigroups since they may include non-associative algebras as well.

In this paper we include several new families of Smarandache-type *P*-algebras and we study some of their properties in relation to the properties of previously defined Smarandache-types.

A *d-algebra* ([4]) $(X, *, 0)$ is an algebra satisfying the following axioms: (i) $x * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$; (ii) $0 * x = 0$ for all $x \in X$; (iii) $x * y = y * x = 0$ if and only if $x = y$.

If $X = [0, \infty) = \{x \in R \mid x \geq 0\}$, where R is the collection of real numbers, and if $x * y = \max\{0, x - y\}$, then $(X, *, 0)$ is a *d-algebra*. *d-algebras* are quite common and occur in many situations as the example above indicates.

Instead of asking whether a *d-algebra* can be a semigroup using the same operation, we can instead ask the much wider question: Can a *d-algebra* be a Smarandache-type semigroup?

Theorem 1. *If $(X, *, 0)$ is a *d-algebra*, then it cannot be a Smarandache-type semigroup.*

Proof. Suppose that it is in fact a Smarandache-type semigroup. Let $|Y| \geq 2$, where $(Y, *)$ is a subalgebra of $(X, *)$, which is also a semigroup. Thus, if $y \in Y$, then $y * y = 0 \in Y$ as well. Therefore $(y * y) * y = 0 * y = 0 = y * (y * y) = y * 0$. But $y * 0 = 0 * y = 0$ by condition (iii) for *d-algebras* implies $y = 0$, so that in fact $Y = \{0\}$ and $|Y| = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 2. *If $(X, *, 0)$ is a *d-algebra*, then it cannot be a Smarandache-type group.*

We can say the following however:

Theorem 3. *If $(X, *)$ is a semigroup, then it cannot be a Smarandache-type *d-algebra*.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35.

Key words and phrases. *BCK/d-algebras*, Smarandache-type, Smarandache disjoint.

Proof. Suppose that $(Y, *, 0)$ is a non-trivial sub- d -algebra of $(X, *)$. Then if $y \in Y$, we have $y * y = 0$ and $0 \in Y$ and $(y * y) * y = 0 * y = 0 = y * (y * y) = y * 0$, so that $y = 0$ and $|Y| = 1$, $Y = \{0\}$. Hence, the condition $|Y| \geq 2$ is impossible, i.e., $(X, *)$ is not a Smarandache-type d -algebra. \square

Given a d -algebra and abelian group, we can construct an algebra which is both a Smarandache-type d -algebra and a Smarandache-type abelian group. Let $(Y, *, 0)$ be a d -algebra and $(Z, +)$ be the additive abelian group of natural numbers. Let (X, \otimes) be defined for $X = Y \times Z = \{(a, m) \mid a \in Y, m \in Z\}$ as follows: $(a, m) \otimes (b, n) := (a * b, m + n)$. Then $(a, 0) \otimes (b, 0) = (a * b, 0)$, and thus $(Y \times \{0\}, \otimes, (0, 0))$ is a subalgebra of (X, \otimes) which is isomorphic to the d -algebra $(Y, *, 0)$. On the other hand, $(\{0\} \times Z, \otimes)$ has a product $(0, m) \otimes (0, n) = (0 * 0, m + n) = (0, m + n)$ so that $(\{0\} \times Z, \otimes)$ is an example of an algebra which is a Smarandache-type abelian group. Hence $(X, *)$ is both a Smarandache-type d -algebra and Smarandache-type abelian group.

Given algebra types $(X, *)$ (type- P_1) and (X, \circ) (type- P_2), we shall consider them to be *Smarandache disjoint* if the following two conditions hold:

- (A) If $(X, *)$ is a type- P_1 -algebra with $|X| > 1$ then it cannot be a Smarandache-type- P_2 -algebra (X, \circ) ;
- (B) If (X, \circ) is a type- P_2 -algebra with $|X| > 1$ then it cannot be a Smarandache-type- P_1 -algebra $(X, *)$.

This condition does not exclude the existence of algebras (X, \diamond) which are both Smarandache-type- P_1 -algebras and Smarandache-type- P_2 -algebras. In fact we have already produced an example of such an algebra where $P_1 \equiv$ 'semigroup' and $P_2 \equiv$ ' d -algebra'.

If $(X, *, 0)$ is a d -algebra which also satisfy the following conditions:

- (iv) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (v) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in X$,

then it is a *BCK*-algebra (see [1, 3]). Since *BCK*-algebras are d -algebras it follows that:

Theorem 4. *Semigroups and d -algebras are Smarandache disjoint.*

Corollary 5. *Semigroups and *BCK*-algebras are Smarandache disjoint.*

Corollary 6. *Groups and d -algebras are Smarandache disjoint.*

Of course, since groups are semigroups we have:

Corollary 7. *Groups and semigroups are not Smarandache disjoint.*

Consider the collection of left semigroups, i.e., semigroups $(X, *)$ with an associative product $x * y = x$. If $(Y, *)$ is a subgroup of $(X, *)$, then $x * y = x$ means that y is the multiplicative identity of $(Y, *)$ and since $y \in Y$ is arbitrary, it follows that $|Y| = 1$ as well. Hence we show that:

Theorem 8. *If $(X, *)$ is a left semigroup, then $(X, *)$ cannot be a Smarandache-type group.*

If $(X, *, e)$ is a group and if $(Y, *)$ is a left semigroup, then being closed, we have for $x, y \in Y$, $x * y = x$, whence from the group structure of $(X, *)$ we find $y = e$, and since $y \in Y$

is arbitrary, $|Y| = 1$ as well. Thus $(X, *)$ cannot be a Smarandache-type left semigroup and hence we have shown that:

Theorem 9. *Left semigroups and groups are Smarandache disjoint.*

Corollary 10. *If $(X, *)$ with $x * y = y$ is a right semigroup, then it follows that right semigroups and groups are Smarandache disjoint.*

The notion of Smarandache disjointness illustrated here appears to be novel and of interest as well.

Question. *Give an examples of a special class of d -algebras which is Smarandache disjoint from the class of BCK-algebras.*

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras*, Math. Japonica **23** (1978), 1-26.
- [2] W. B. V. Kandasamy, *Smarandache semirings, semifield, and semivector spaces* American Research Press, Rehoboth, 2002.
- [3] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa, Seoul, 1994.
- [4] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On d -algebras*, Math. Slovaca **23** (1999), 19-26.

P. J. Allen and J. Neggers, Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0350, U. S. A.

{pallen}{jneggers}@gp.as.ua.edu

Hee Sik Kim, Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea
heekim@hanyang.ac.kr