

On R -maps in BCK/BCI -algebras

SUN SHIN AHN AND HEE SIK KIM

Received January 16, 2002

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate some properties of R -maps in BCK/BCI -algebras.

1. Introduction.

K. H. Dar and B. Ahmad([DA]) studied R -maps and L -maps for BCK -algebras and obtained some results. In fact early in 1981, H. Huang introduced the notion of R -maps for positive implicative BCK -algebras and gave some interesting results. In this paper, we investigate some properties of R -maps in BCK/BCI -algebras.

2. Introduction.

An algebraic system $(X; *, 0)$ is said to be a BCI -algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) $(x * y) * (x * z) \leq z * y$,
- (II) $x * (x * y) \leq y$,
- (III) $x \leq x$,
- (IV) $x \leq y, y \leq x$ imply $x = y$,

where $x \leq y$ is defined by $x * y = 0$. A BCI -algebra X is said to be a BCK -algebra if $0 \leq x$, for all $x \in X$.

K. Iséki ([Is]) defined the notion of BCI -algebras with condition (S), i.e., for any $a, b \in X$, $A(a, b) := \{x \in X \mid x * a \leq b\}$ has the greatest element, say $a \circ b$.

Theorem 2.1 ([Is]). *If X is a BCI -algebra with condition (S), then (X, \circ) is a semi-group and 0 is the zero element.*

Theorem 2.2 ([Ho]). *If X is a BCI -algebra with condition (S), then*

- (i) $x * (y \circ z) = (x * y) * z$,
- (ii) $(x \circ z) * (y \circ z) \leq x * y \leq x \circ y$.

3. Main Results.

Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BCK/BCI -algebra and let $x \in X$. A mapping $R_x : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $R_x(y) := y * x$, for all $y \in X$, is called a *right map* of X . The set of all right maps on X is denoted by $\mathbf{R}(X)$. We define a binary operation “ \odot ” on $\mathbf{R}(X)$ as follows:

$$(R_a \odot R_b)(x) := R_a(R_b(x))$$

where $R_a, R_b \in \mathbf{R}(X)$ and $x \in X$.

Proposition 3.1. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is BCI -algebra with condition (S), then $R_a \odot R_b = R_{a \circ b}$ for any $R_a, R_b \in \mathbf{R}(X)$.*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35.

Key words and phrases. BCK/BCI -algebras, R -maps.

Proof. By applying Theorem 2.2-(i) we have $(R_a \odot R_b) = R_a(R_b(x)) = R_a(x * b) = (x * b) * a = x * (a \circ b) = R_{a \circ b}(x)$, proving the proposition. \square

Since $(X; \circ)$ is a semigroup if X is a *BCK/BCI*-algebra with condition (S) , we can easily see that $(\mathbf{R}(X); \circ)$ is a commutative semigroup. Concerning Theorem 3.12 and 3.13 ([MeJu, pp. 129-130]) the condition “positive implicative” is superfluous and the proof of Theorem 3.13 is incorrect. We restate Theorem 3.12 and give correct proof of Theorem 3.13 as follows:

Theorem 3.2. *If $(x; *, 0)$ is a *BCK/BCI*-algebra with condition (S) , then $(\mathbf{R}(X), \odot)$ is a commutative semigroup with zero element R_0 .*

Theorem 3.3. *If $(x; *, 0)$ is a *BCK/BCI*-algebra with condition (S) , then $(X, \circ) \cong (\mathbf{R}(X), \odot)$ as a semigroup.*

Proof. If we define $\phi : (X, \circ) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}(X), \odot)$ by $\phi(x) = R_x$, then ϕ is surjective. Since $\phi(x \circ y) = R_{x \circ y} = R_x \odot R_y = \phi(x) \odot \phi(y)$, it is a semigroup homomorphism. Assume $\phi(x) = \phi(y)$ for some $x \neq y$ in X . Then $R_x = R_y$ and hence $y * x = R_x(y) = R_y(y) = y * y = 0$ and $x * y = R_y(x) = R_x(x) = x * x = 0$. By (IV) we have $x = y$, a contradiction. \square

We can restate Theorem 7.9 ([MeJu, pp. 40]) in terms of R -maps as follows:

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a *BCK*-algebra. Assume that there is a binary operation ∇ on X such that $R_a \odot R_b = R_{a \nabla b}$ for any $a, b \in X$. Then X is with condition (S) and ∇ is exactly the operation “ \circ ”.*

Combining Proposition 3.4 with Proposition 3.1 we obtain:

Theorem 3.5. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a *BCK*-algebra. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) X is with condition (S) ,
- (ii) there is a binary operation ∇ on X such that $R_a \odot R_b = R_{a \nabla b}$ for any $a, b \in X$.

Lemma 3.6 ([MeJu]). *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a *BCK*-algebra with condition (S) . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) X is positive implicative,
- (b) $(x \circ y) * z = (x * z) \circ (y * z)$,
- (c) $x \circ y = x \circ (y * x)$.

We define $R_x \leq R_y$ if and only if $R_x(z) \leq R_y(z)$ for all $z \in X$, and $R_x = R_y$ if and only if $R_x \leq R_y$ and $R_y \leq R_x$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a *BCK/BCI*-algebras and $x, y, z \in X$. Then*

- (i) if $x \leq y$ then $R_y \leq R_x$,
- (ii) if $R_x \leq R_y$ then $R_x \odot R_z \leq R_y \odot R_z$, for any $z \in X$,
- (iii) if X is with condition (S) then $R_{(x \circ y) \circ z} \leq R_{(x * y) \circ z}$,
- (iv) if X is positive implicative with condition (S) then $R_{y \circ (z \circ y)} \leq R_{y \circ z}$.

Proof. (i). Refer to [DA].

(ii). If $R_x \leq R_y$ then $u * x \leq u * y$ for any $u \in X$ and hence $(u * x) * z \leq (u * y) * z$. Hence $(R_x \odot R_z)(u) \leq (R_y \odot R_z)(u)$, i.e., $R_x \odot R_z \leq R_y \odot R_z$.

(iii). If X is with condition (S) , then $x * y \leq x \circ y$. By (i) we have $R_{x \circ y} \leq R_{x * y}$. By applying Proposition 3.1 and (ii) we obtain

$$R_{(x \circ y) \circ z} = R_{x \circ y} \odot R_z \leq R_{x * y} \odot R_z = R_{(x * y) \circ z}.$$

(iv). If X is positive implicative with condition (S) , then by (iii) and Lemma 3.6-(c) we obtain:

$$R_{y \circ (z \circ y)} = R_{z \circ y} \odot R_y \leq R_{z * y} \odot R_y = R_{y \circ (z * y)} = R_{y \circ z},$$

proving the proposition. □

Remark. 1. By Proposition 3.7-(iv) we have $x * (y \circ (z \circ y)) \leq x * (y \circ z)$. Since X is a positive implicative BCK -algebra, using Theorem 2.2-(i) we obtain $x * (y \circ (z \circ y)) \leq (x * z) * (y * z)$.

2. Since every BCI -algebra with $(x * y) * y = x * y$ becomes a BCK -algebra, there is no non-trivial positive implicative BCI -algebra.

Using the notion of R -maps we can give very simple proof of Theorem 2.2-(i).

Theorem 3.8. *If X is a BCI -algebra with condition (S) then $x * (y \circ z) = (x * y) * z$.*

Proof. $x * (y \circ z) = R_{y \circ z}(x) = (R_y \odot R_z)(x) = R_y(R_z(x)) = R_y(x * z) = (x * z) * y = (x * y) * z$, completing the proof. □

Lemma 3.9 ([MeJu, pp. 129]). *If $(X; *, 0)$ is a positive implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then any right map $R_z : (X, \circ) \rightarrow (X, \circ)$, $z \in X$, is a semigroup homomorphism.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in X$, we have

$$R_z(x \circ y) = (x \circ y) * z = (x * z) \circ (y * z) = R_z(x) \circ R_z(y),$$

proving the lemma. □

It is known that a BCK -algebra is implicative if and only if it is both commutative and positive implicative. It is also known the useful properties in implicative BCK -algebras with condition (S) .

Proposition 3.10 ([MeJu, pp. 45]). *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then*

- (i) $c * (a \wedge b) = (c * a) \circ (c * b)$,
- (ii) $c * (a \circ b) = (c * a) \wedge (c * b)$.

Using the notion of R -maps we can restate the Proposition 3.10 as follows:

Proposition 3.11. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then*

- (i) $R_{a \circ b}(c) = R_a(c) \wedge R_b(c)$,
- (ii) $R_{a \wedge b}(c) = R_a(c) \circ R_b(c)$.

Using the Proposition 3.11-(i) we obtain the useful following properties:

Theorem 3.12. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then*

$$\begin{aligned} (p \circ q) * (a \circ b) &= \{p * (a \circ b)\} \circ \{q * (a \circ b)\} \cdots \cdots (*) \\ &= \{(p * a) \circ (q * a)\} \wedge \{(p * b) \circ (q * b)\} \cdots \cdots (**) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since X is a positive implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S), by Lemma 3.9 $R_{a \circ b}$ is a semigroup homomorphism. Hence

$$R_{a \circ b}(p \circ q) = R_{a \circ b}(p) \circ R_{a \circ b}(q),$$

which means that $(p \circ q) * (a \circ b) = \{p * (a \circ b)\} \circ \{q * (a \circ b)\}$. By applying Proposition 3.11-(i) we obtain

$$(p \circ q) * (a \circ b) = \{(p * a) \circ (q * a)\} \wedge \{(p * b) \circ (q * b)\},$$

proving the theorem. \square

Corollary 3.13. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S), then*

- (a) $(a \circ c) * (a \circ b) = (c * a) \wedge [(a * b) \circ (c * b)]$,
- (b) $(b * a) \wedge (a * b) = 0$,
- (c) $\{a * (a \circ b)\} \circ \{b * (a \circ b)\} = 0$,
- (d) $(a \circ c) * (a \circ b) = c * (a \circ b)$,
- (e) $(c \circ b) * (a \circ b) = (c * a) * b$.

Proof. (a). Let $p := a, q := c$ in (**). (b). Let $c := b$ in (a). In fact, if X is implicative, then

$$\begin{aligned} (b * a) \wedge (a * b) &= (a * b) * [(a * b) * (b * a)] \\ &= (a * b) * [(a * (b * a)) * b] \\ &= (a * b) * (a * b) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(c). Let $p := a, q := b$ in (*). (d). Let $p := a, q := c$ in (*). (e). Let $p := c, q := b$ in (*). \square

Remark. By applying Theorem 2.2-(i) we can see that the condition (d) is equal to the condition (e) in the above Corollary 3.13.

Theorem 3.14. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S), then*

$$(a \circ b) * (a \wedge b) = (b * a) \circ (a * b) = (a * (a \wedge b)) \circ (b * a).$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.11-(ii) we have $R_{a \wedge b}(c) = R_a(c) \circ R_b(c)$. If we put $c := a \circ b$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a \circ b) * (a \wedge b) &= [(a \circ b) * a] \circ [(a \circ b) * b] \\ &= [(a * a) \circ (b * a)] \circ [(a * b) \circ (b * b)] \\ &= (b * a) \circ (a * b), \end{aligned}$$

since $(x \circ y) * z = (x * z) \circ (y * z)$ holds in any positive implicative BCK -algebra. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (a \circ b) * (a \wedge b) &= [a * (a \wedge b)] \circ [b * (a \wedge b)] \\ &= [a * (b * (b * a))] \circ [b * (b * (b * a))] \\ &= [a * (a \wedge b)] \circ (b * a). \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 3.15. *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) . If $b * a = 0$ then $(a \circ b) * b = a * b$.*

Proof. If $b * a = 0$ then $(a \circ b) * (a \wedge b) = (a \circ b) * (b * (b * a)) = (a \circ b) * b$ and $(b * a) \circ (a * b) = a * b$. \square

Theorem 3.16. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then*

$$(p \wedge q) * (a \wedge b) = [(p \wedge q) * a] \circ [(p \wedge q) * b].$$

Proof. It can be easily obtained from Proposition 3.11-(ii) simply replacing c by $p \wedge q$. \square

Corollary 3.17. *If $(X; *, 0)$ is an implicative BCK -algebra with condition (S) , then*

$$(a) \quad (p \wedge a) * (a \wedge b) = (p \wedge a) * b = (a * b) * (a * p),$$

$$(b) \quad a * (a * b) \leq b * (b * a).$$

Proof. (a). If we put $q := a$ in Theorem 3.16, then

$$\begin{aligned} (p \wedge a) * (a \wedge b) &= [(p \wedge a) * a] \circ [(p \wedge a) * b] \\ &= [\{a * (a * p)\} * a] \circ [(p \wedge a) * b] \\ &= 0 \circ [(p \wedge a) * b] \\ &= (p \wedge a) * b \\ &= (a * b) * (a * p). \end{aligned}$$

(b). Since $(a * b) * (a * p) \leq p * b$, if we let $p := b$ in (a), then $(b \wedge a) * (a \wedge b) \leq b * b = 0$, hence $b \wedge a \leq a \wedge b$, i.e., $a * (a * b) \leq b * (b * a)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. H. Dar and B. Ahmad, *Endomorphisms of BCK -algebras*, Math. Japonica **31** (1986), 855-857.
- [2] C. S. Hoo, *BCI -algebras with condition (S)* , Math. Japonica **32** (1987), 749-756.
- [3] K. Iséki, *On BCI -algebras with condition (S)* , Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ. **8** (1980), 171-172.
- [4] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK -algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa, Seoul, 1994.

Sun Shin Ahn, Department of Mathematics Education, Dongguk University, Seoul 100-715, Korea
sunshine@dgu.ac.kr

Hee Sik Kim, Department of Mathematics, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea
heekim@hanyang.ac.kr