# AN INTEGRAL PRESERVED BY A TRANSLATION ON THE SPACE $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$

# KEIKO NAKAGAMI

## Received October 2, 2000; revised October 30, 2000

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a translation invariant integral, called the  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral, such that the (E.R)-integrable function defined by using the Cantor set by Kunugi is integrable.

**1** Introduction In our paper [9], we defined the space  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$  of generalized functions on an interval D. A generalized function is expressed by a pair of elements in  $\Gamma_0(D)$  and  $M_0(D)$ . The set  $\Gamma_0(D)$  is the singular part of  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$  in the sense that it contains the  $\delta$ -function together with it's higher derivatives. The set  $M_0(D)$  consists of all real valued measurable functions on D, which is the regular part of  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ . In our papers [9] and [6], the translation invariant  $(E.R.\mathcal{M})$ -integral over this space was defined. This integral was defined for a function g such that there exists a Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n) \ni g$ , where the sets  $A_n$  are restricted to the sets of the form  $(-n, n) \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} B_k$  for some open intervals  $B_k$  with length 1/n. By this restriction to the sets  $A_n$ , the  $(E.R.\mathcal{M})$ -integrable function (Kunugi[1]), mentioned in Section 4, defined by using the Cantor set is not  $(E.R.\mathcal{M})$ -integrable.

In this paper, we introduce another translation invariant integral called the  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ integral. The definition of the integral is independent of the above restriction. The above function due to Kunugi is  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integrable. In Section 2, we recall some terminologies and notations containing the definition of the  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integral in the paper [9]. In Section 3, we give the definition of the  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral. In Section 4, the  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral is shown to be an extention of the (E.R)-integral.

**2** Terminologies and notations Let  $M_0(D)$  be the set of all real valued Lebesgue measurable functions defined on a finite or an infinite interval D. In what follows, we suppose that the set  $M_0(D)$  is classified by the usual equivalence relation f(x) = g(x) a.e. We denote measurable functions by symbols  $f(x), g(x), \ldots$  and a class in  $M_0(D)$  containing a measurable function g(x) by the same symbol g(x) or g. For each Lebesgue measurable subset A of D and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define a pre-neighbourhood  $V(f, \varepsilon, A)$  as

$$V(f,\varepsilon,A) = \{g \in M_0(D) : \int_A |f(x) - g(x)| dx \le \varepsilon\}.$$

We denote  $V(f, \varepsilon, A)$  by V(f) if there is no fear of confusion.

**Definition 1** A sequence  $(V(f_n)) = (V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  of preneighbourhoods in  $M_0(D)$  is called a Cauchy sequence if

(i)  $V(f_1) \sqsupseteq V(f_2) \sqsupseteq \dots$ , and (ii)  $\varepsilon_n \to 0$ .

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 46F-99.

Key words and phrases. generalized function, integral by a translation .

For a Cauchy sequence  $(V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  on D, we consider the following two conditions:  $(T_1) \operatorname{m}((D \setminus A_n) \cap [-1/\varepsilon_n, 1/\varepsilon_n]) \leq \varepsilon_n.^1$ 

 $(T_2)$   $f_n(x)$  is decomposed into a sum of measurable functions  $f_{1n}(x)$  and  $f_{2n}(x)$  on D, where supp  $f_{1n} \subseteq D \setminus A_n$ , and

$$\int_{D\setminus A_n} |f_{2n}(x)| dx \le \varepsilon_n$$

If  $(V(f_n)) = (V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  is a Cauchy sequence which satisfies conditions  $(T_1)$  and  $(T_2)$ , the Cauchy sequence is called a  $G_0$ -Cauchy sequence on D. Let  $G_0(D)$  be the set of sequences  $(f_n)$  such that there exists a  $G_0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(f_n))$  with  $0 \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(f_n)$ .

**Definition 2** A decomposition  $f_n = f_{1n} + f_{2n}$  in  $(T_2)$  for a  $G_0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(f_n))$  is called an associated decomposition of  $f_n$ .

If  $(f_n)$  and  $(g_n)$  have associated decompositions  $f_{1n} + f_{2n}$  and  $g_{1n} + g_{2n}$  of  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  respectively such that there is an  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying  $f_{1n} = g_{1n}$  a.e. for each  $n \ge n_0$ , we say that  $(f_n)$  and  $(g_n)$  are equivalent. Let  $\Gamma_0(D)$  be the quotient space of  $G_0(D)$  classified by this equivalence relation, whose element containing  $(f_n)$  is denoted by  $[f_n]$ .

The following set is the underling space of our whole theory:

$$\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D) = \{ ([f_n], g); [f_n] \in \Gamma_0(D), g \in M_0(D) \}$$

In what follows, we denote the pair  $([f_n], g)$  by  $[f_n] \oplus g$ .

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$  be a sequence of finite absolutely continuous measures on **R**. A Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  is called an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence if it satisfies the following three conditions on D:

 $(K_1)$  if B is a Lebesgue measurable subset of D with  $\lambda_n(D \setminus A_n) \ge \lambda_n(B)$ , then  $m(B \cap [-1/\varepsilon_n, 1/\varepsilon_n]) \le \varepsilon_n$ .

 $(K_2)$  if  $m(D \setminus A_n) > 0$  for all n, there exist k, k' > 0 such that

$$k \le \lambda_n (D \setminus A_n) \le k'$$

for all n.

 $(K_3)$  if B is a Lebesgue measurable subset of D with  $\lambda_n(D \setminus A_n) \geq \lambda_n(B)$ , then

$$\int_B |g_n(x)| dx \le \varepsilon_n.$$

Let  $\mathbf{F}_0(\Lambda)$  be the set of  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequences on D and let  $L_0(\Lambda)$  be the set of sequences  $(g_n)$  in  $L^1(D)$  such that there exists an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n))$ .

**Definition 3** A sequence  $(V(g_n)) \in \mathbf{F}_0(\lambda)$  is called on  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence for g if  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(g_n) = \{g\}$ .

**Definition 4** Let  $(g_n)$  be a sequence in  $L_0(\Lambda)$  with an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence for  $g \in M_0(D)$ . If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \int_D g_n(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \int_D g_n(x) dx,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We denote the Lebesgue measure of the set A by m(A).

this common value is denoted by

$$I(g,\Lambda) = (E.R.\Lambda) \int_D g(x) dx$$

and  $I(g, \Lambda)$  is called the  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integral of g on D. If  $-\infty < I(g, \Lambda) < \infty$ , g is called to be  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integrable on D.

Now we give the definition of the  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integration on  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ .

**Definition 5** Suppose that a sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $G_0(D)$  has an associated decomposition  $f_{1n} + f_{2n}$  of  $f_n$  such that the value

$$I([f_n]; D) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_D f_{1n}(x) dx$$

exists and the  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integral  $I(g,\Lambda)$  of  $g \in M_0(D)$  exists, where the values of these integrals may be finite or infinite. Then, if  $I([f_n]; D) + I(g,\Lambda)$  has a meaning, this sum is denoted by

$$(E.R.\Lambda)\int_D [f_n] \oplus gdx = (E.R.\Lambda)\int_D (f_n(x)) \oplus g(x)dx,$$

and the common value is called the  $(E.R.\Lambda)$ -integral of  $[f_n] \oplus g$  on D.

**3** The  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral. Our integral is considered on a finite or an infinite open interval D. We fix two increasing sequences  $\alpha = (\alpha_n)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_n)$  of real numbers with  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \beta_n = \infty$ , and a decreasing sequences  $(J_n)$  of measurable subsets with  $J_n \subseteq [-\beta_n, \beta_n]$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} m(J_n) = 0$ . Now, we define a sequence  $(\mu_n)$  of finite measures on  $\mathbf{R}$  as the following :

(1) Let  $\nu_n$  be absolutely continuous measure on **R** such that

(1.1) 
$$\nu_n(E_n) = \exp(-\alpha_n) ,$$

where  $E_n = \mathbf{R} \setminus [-\beta_n, \beta_n]$ , and , if  $J_n \neq \phi$  for n=1,2,3,...,

$$\nu_n(J_n) = \exp(-\alpha_n)$$

We fix  $\nu_n$  in the following .

(2) Denote  $J_n + a = \{x + a; x \in J_n\}$  by  $J_n^a$ . For any Lebesgue measurable subset E of **R** and for any mutually diffrent points  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l \in D$ , we set

(1.2) 
$$\mu_n^0(E) = \sum_{i=1}^l \nu_n ((E \cap J_n^{a_i}) - a_i) + \nu_n (E \cap E_n) + m(E \cap (CE_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^l J_n^{a_i})) .^2$$

(3) Put, for n=1,2,3,...,

1.3) 
$$\mu_n = \mu_n^0 \setminus \exp(-\alpha_n) .$$

Then  $(\mu_n)$  is called a sequence of measures defined for  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l$ . We denote  $(\mu_n)$  by  $T((a_i)_1^l)$  or  $T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l)$ . If  $J_{n_0} = \phi$  for some number  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ , for  $n \ge n_0$ , the measure  $\mu_n$  is independent of the choice of a finite number of points  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l$ .

As mentioned above, we fix sequences  $(J_n), (\alpha_n)$ , and  $(\nu_n)$  in the following.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the set of all sequences  $T((a_i)_1^l)$  of measures. The set  $\mathcal{T}$  is a direct set with respect to the order  $T((a_i)_1^l) \leq T((b_i)_1^k)$  defined by  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_l\} \subseteq \{b_1, b_2, ..., b_k\}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>We denote  $\mathbf{R} \setminus A$  by CA.

**Definition 6** Suppose that a sequence  $(g_n)$  of functions in  $M_0(D)$  satisfies the following condition which is called the (\*)-condition for  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l$ :

For any  $a \in D$  with  $a \neq a_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., l),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{J_n^a \cap D} |g_n(x)| dx = 0$$

Let  $L_0^*(T((a_i)_1^l))$  (or  $L_0^*(T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l))$ ) be the set of all sequences  $(g_n)$  in  $L^1(D)$  satisfying the (\*)-condition for  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_l$  for which an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n))$  exists.

**Remark 1** In the paper [9] and [6], we give a concrete expression for measures  $\nu_n$  and sets  $A_n$ . However, in this paper, their expressions are more general.

**Proposition 1** If  $(g_n) \in L_0^*(T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l))$ , then  $(g_n) \in L_0^*(T(a, a_1, ..., a_l))$  for any  $a \in D$  with  $a \neq a_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., l).

Proof. Let  $J_n \neq \phi$  for n = 1, 2, 3, ..., and let  $(g_n) \in L_o^*(T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l))$ . Then there exists an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n)) \in \mathbf{F}_0(T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l))$  and  $(g_n)$  satisfies the (\*)-condition. Let  $(\mu_n) = T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l)$ . Then we have  $\mu_n = \mu_n^0 / \exp(-\alpha_n)$  by (3). By virtue of  $(K_2)$ , there exist  $k_1, k_2 > 0$  such that

(1.4) 
$$k_1 \le \mu_n(CA_n) \le k_2$$
.

There exists an integer c > 1 such that

$$(1.5) (k_2 + l)/k_1 < c .$$

Put  $\rho_n = c \varepsilon_n + m(J_n) + \eta_n$ , where

$$\eta_n = \sup_{k \ge n} \int_{J_k^a \cap D} |g_k(x)| dx$$

We will show that

$$(V(g_n))_N^{\infty} = (V(g_n, \rho_n, B_n))_N^{\infty} \in \mathbf{F}_0(T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l))$$

for a sufficiently large N, where  $B_n = A_n \cap (D \setminus J_n^a)$ . Let  $(\tau_n) = T(a, a_1, ..., a_l)$ . Then we see that  $\tau_n = \tau_n^0 / \exp(-\alpha_n)$  by (3). By (1.1), (1.2), and (1.4), it follows that

(1.6) 
$$\exp(-\alpha_n) \le \tau_n^0(J_n^a) \le \tau_n^0(CB_n) \le \mu_n^0(CA_n \cap CJ_n^a) + \tau_n^0(J_n^a) \le (k_2 + l) \exp(-\alpha_n) .$$

Hence  $(V(g_n))$  satisfies  $(K_2)$ .

Next we will show that  $(V(g_n))$  satisfies  $(K_1)$  for  $T(a, a_1, ..., a_l)$ . Let B be a subset of D such that  $\tau_n^0(CB_n) \ge \tau_n^0(B)$ . By (1.4), (1.5), and(1.6), we find that

(1.7) 
$$\tau_n^0(CB_n) \le (k_2 + l) \exp(-\alpha_n) \le ((k_2 + l)/k_1) \ \mu_n^0(CA_n) < c \ \mu_n^0(CA_n).$$

Therefore we have

(1.8) 
$$\mu_n^0(B \cap CJ_n^a) = \tau_n^0(B \cap CJ_n^a) \le \tau_n^0(B) < c \ \mu_n^0(CA_n) \ .$$

Since  $(V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  satisfies  $(K_1)$  for  $T(a_1, a_2, ..., a_l)$ , we have  $m(B_0 \cap [-1/\varepsilon_n, 1/\varepsilon_n]) \leq \varepsilon_n$  for  $B_0$  with  $\mu_n^0(CA_n) \geq \mu_n^0(B_0)$ . Hence, from  $\rho_n > \varepsilon_n$ , we obtain

(1.9) 
$$\mathbf{m}(B \cap CJ_n^a \cap [-1/\rho_n, 1/\rho_n]) \le c \ \varepsilon_n.$$

Moreover, we get

(1.10) 
$$m(B \cap J_n^a \cap [-1/\rho_n, 1/\rho_n]) \le m(J_n^a) = m(J_n) ,$$

so that  $m(B \cap [-1/\rho_n, 1/\rho_n]) \leq \rho_n$ . Thus  $(V(g_n))$  satisfies  $(K_1)$ .

Finally, we will show that  $(V(g_n))$  satisfies  $(K_3)$ . For any set  $B \subseteq D$  with  $\tau_n^0(CB_n) \ge \tau_n^0(B)$ , we find by (1.8) that

$$\int_{B\cap CJ_n^a} |g_n(x)| dx \le c \ \varepsilon_n \ .$$

Hence we have

$$\int_{B} |g_{n}(x)| dx \leq c \varepsilon_{n} + \eta_{n} \leq \rho_{n},$$

which means that  $(V(g_n))$  satisfies  $(K_3)$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 2** Let  $[f_n] \oplus g \in \Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$  and let  $(g_n)$  be an element in  $L^*_o(T((a_i)_1^l))$ such that there exists an  $L_o$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n)) \in \mathbf{F}_0(T((a_i)_1^l))$  for g. If  $\{b_1, b_2, ..., b_k\}$ contains  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_l\}$  and  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $(E.R.T((a_i)_1^l))$ -integrable (Definition 4), then  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $(E.R.T((b_i)_1^k))$ -integrable and their integrals coincide.

Proof. By Proposition 1, we obtain  $(g_n) \in L_o^*(T((b_i)_1^k))$ . Hence,  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $(E.R.T((b_i)_1^k))$ integrable for  $T((b_i)_1^k)$ . We obtain

$$(E.R.T((a_i)_1^l)) \int_D [f_n] \oplus gdx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_D (f_n(x) + g_n(x))dx$$
$$= (E.R.T((b_i)_l^k)) \int_D [f_n] \oplus gdx.$$

Now we define a translation invariant integral in  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ .

**Definition 7** Let  $[f_n] \oplus g \in \Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ . Suppose that there exist two sequences  $(g_n)$ and  $T((a_i)_1^l)$  such that  $(g_n)$  is an element in  $L_o^*(T((a_i)_1^l))$  with an  $L_o$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n)) \in \mathbf{F}_o(T((a_i)_1^l))$  for g. When  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $(E.R.T((a_i)_1^l))$ -integrable,  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is said to be  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integrable. The  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral

$$(E.R.\mathcal{T})\int_{D}[f_{n}]\oplus gdx$$

of  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is defined to be the  $(E.R.T((a_i)_1^l))$ -integral of  $[f_n] \oplus g$ .

**Remark 2** Let  $[f_n] \oplus g \in \Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$  and let  $(g_n)$  be an element in  $L_0^*(T((a_i)_1^l))$  such that there exists an  $L_o$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n)) \in \mathbf{F}_0(T((a_i)_1^l))$  for g. If  $\{b_1, b_2, ..., b_k\}$  contains  $\{a_1, a_2, ..., a_l\}$  and  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -differentiable for  $T((a_i)_1^l)$  ([9], Definition 8), we can also prove easily that  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -differentiable for  $T((b_i)_1^k)$  and they have the same derivatives.

Therefore, if  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -differentiable for  $T((a_i)_1^l)$ ,  $[f_n] \oplus g$  is said to be  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -differentiable for  $\mathcal{T}$ . The  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -derivative  $([f_n] \oplus g)'_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c,\mathcal{T}}$  for  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined to be the  $\overline{\mathcal{P}}_c$ -derivative of  $[f_n] \oplus g$  for  $T((a_i)_1^l)$ .

**Remark 3** In Definition in [9] and [6] (Section 4), we defined a translation invariant  $(E.R.\mathcal{M})$ -integral in  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ . In the similar way as Definition 7, this integral was defined for functions  $g \in M_0(D)$  such that there exists an  $L_o$ -Cauchy sequence  $(V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  satisfying  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(g_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n) \ni g$ ,  $A_n$  are restricted to the form

$$A_n = D \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l} (a_i - 1/(2n), a_i + 1/(2n)).$$

Owing to this restriction, the (E.R)-integrable function, mentioned in Section 4, defined by Kunugi by using Cantor set is not  $(E.R.\mathcal{M})$ -integrable. In order to remove this restriction, we use (\*)-condition for  $(g_n)$ .

**Example 1** Let  $\nu_n$  be a measure on **R** defined by

$$\nu_n(E) = \int_E k_n(x) dx,$$

where

$$k_n(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-1/x)/x^2, & \text{on } J_n \\ 2\exp(-2|x|), & \text{on } E_n \\ 1, & \text{on } \mathbf{R} \setminus (J_n \cup E_n). \end{cases}$$

Let c be a number with 0 < c < 2. Put  $J_n = [-1/(2n), 1/(2n)]$  and  $a_1 = c$ . There exists a number  $n_0 \in N$  such that  $c - 1/(2n_0), c + 1/(2n_0) \in [0,2]$ . For each  $n > n_0$ , a function  $g_n$  on D = [0,2] is defined to be 1/(x-c) on  $A_n$  and 0 elsewhere, where  $A_n = D \setminus J_n^c$ . Then  $(V(g_n, 2/n, A_n))_N^\infty \in \mathbf{F}_0(T(a_1))$  for a sufficiently large number  $N > n_0$ . It is easily verified that  $(g_n)$  satisfies (\*)-condition. Hence we have

$$(E.R.\mathcal{T})\int_D 0\oplus \frac{1}{x-c}dx = \log((2-c)/c).$$

4 Relation to the (E.R)-integral. In the paper [1], Kunugi defined a function by using the Cantor set as follows :

Let  $S_1^0$  be the open middle third of S = [0,1],  $S_1^0 = (1/3, 2/3)$ ; let  $S_1^1$  and  $S_2^1$  be the open middle thirds of two closed intervals which make up  $S \setminus S_1^0$ , i.e.  $S_1^1 = (1/9, 2/9)$  and  $S_2^1 = (7/9, 8/9)$ ; let  $S_1^2, S_2^2, S_3^2$ , and  $S_4^2$  be the open middle thirds of the four closed intervals which make up  $S \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^2 S_j^1$  and so on ad infinitum. Putting  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{2^n} S_j^n = U^n$ , we have the Cantor set  $S \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U^n$ . A function f is defined to be  $(-1)^n 3^{(n+1)}/(2^n(n+1))$  on  $U^n$  for each n and 0 on  $S \setminus \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} U^n$ . It is shown by Kunugi that f is (E.R)-integrable.

In this section, we will show that the  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integral is an extension of the (E.R)-integral. Here, we use the definition of the (E.R)-integral due to Okano. In the following, D is a finite open interval.

**Definition 8** [Okano[2]] Let  $(V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  be a Cauchy sequence on D satisfying the following three conditions:

- (i)  $m(CA_n) \leq \varepsilon_n$ ,
- (ii) For each n, there exists k > 0 such that  $k \operatorname{m}(CA_{n+1}) \ge \operatorname{m}(CA_n)$ .
- (iii) For any Lebesgue measurable subset B of D with  $m(CA_n) \ge m(B)$ ,

$$\int_{B} |f_n(x)| dx \le \varepsilon_n$$

Let  $(f_n)$  be a sequence with a Cauchy sequence  $(V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  such that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n) \ni f$ . If

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \int_D f_n(x) dx = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \int_D f_n(x) dx,$$

the common value is called the (E.R)-integral of f on D and is denoted by

$$(E.R)\int_D f(x)dx.$$

**Theorem 1** If f is (E.R)-integrable on D, then f is  $(E.R.\mathcal{T})$ -integrable on D.

Proof. Let  $(V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))$  be a Cauchy sequence satisfying conditions (i), (ii), and (iii). We may assume that  $0 < \varepsilon_n < 1$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n) \ni f$ . Put  $J_n = \phi, \ \beta_n = n$ , and  $\alpha_n = -\log \gamma_n$ , where  $\gamma_n = \mathrm{m}(CA_n)$ . Let  $\nu_n$  be a measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\nu_n(E) = \int_E h_n(x) dx$$

where

$$h_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{on } \mathbf{R} \setminus E_r \\ -\alpha_n \exp(-\alpha_n |x|/n)/(2n), & \text{on } E_n. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mu_n^0(E) = \mathbf{m}(E \cap (-n, n)) + \nu_n(E \cap E_n)$$

for any  $E \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ , and

$$\mu_n(E) = \mu_n^0(E) / \exp(-\alpha_n) \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3, ...).$$

There exists a number  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $[-n, n] \supset D$  for any  $n \ge n_0$ ,

We will show that  $(V(f_n))_{n_0}^{\infty} = (V(f_n, \varepsilon_n, A_n))_{n_0}^{\infty}$  is an  $L_0$ -Cauchy sequence.

Let B be any subset of D such that  $\mu_n^0(CA_n) \ge \mu_n^0(B)$ . Then we have, by (i),

$$m(B \cap [-1/\varepsilon_n, 1/\varepsilon_n]) \le m(B) \le m(CA_n) \le \varepsilon_n$$

for any  $n \ge n_0$ . Hence,  $(K_1)$  is satisfied. It holds that

$$\mu_n(CA_n) = \mu_n^0(CA_n) / \exp(-\alpha_n) = \operatorname{m}(CA_n) / \gamma_n = 1 ,$$

so  $(K_2)$  is satisfied.

Moreover, from (iii),  $(K_3)$  is satisfied. This completes the proof.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor M. Washihara for useful discussions and comments.

#### References

- K.Kunugi. Sur une gènèralisation de l'integrale, Fundamental and Applied Aspects of Math. 1 (1959), 1-30.
- H.Okano. Sur une gènèralisation de l'integrale (E.R.) et un théoréme gènèral de l'integration par parties, J.Math.Soc.Japan. 14 (1962), 432-442.
- [3] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function I, Math. Japon. 26 (1981), 297-317.
- [4] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function II, Math. Japon. 28 (1983), 519-533.

# KEIKO NAKAGAMI

- [5] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function III, Math. Japon. 28 (1983), 703-709.
- [6] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function IV, Math. Japon. **32** (1987), 621-641.
- [7] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function V, Math. Japon. 33 (1988), 751-761.
- [8] K.Nakagami. Integration and differentiation of  $\delta$ -function VI, Math. Japon. **34** (1989), 235-251.
- [9] K.Nakagami. The space  $\Gamma_o(D) \bigoplus M_o(D)$  of generalized functions, Math. Japon. **40** (1994),381-387.
- [10] K.Nakagami. A hyperbolic differential equation in the space  $\Gamma_0(D) \bigoplus M_0(D)$ , Math. Japon. 48 (1998),31-41.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCES, KYOTO SANGYO UNIVERSITY, KAMIGAMO, KITA-KU, KYOTO, 603-8555